

OPERATORS WHICH HAVE AN H_∞ FUNCTIONAL
CALCULUS

Alan McIntosh

1. INTRODUCTION

An operator T in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is said to be of type ω if the spectrum is contained in the sector $S_\omega = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\arg \zeta| \leq \omega\}$ and the resolvent satisfies a bound of the type $\|(T - \zeta I)^{-1}\| \leq C_\mu |\zeta|^{-1}$ for all ζ with $|\arg \zeta| \geq \mu$ and all $\mu > \omega$. Let us suppose for now that T is one-one with dense range.

Such an operator has a fractional power T^s and, if $\omega < \pi/2$, generates an analytic semi-group $\{\exp(-tT)\}$. See [3] for details. However it may or may not happen that it generates a C^0 -group $\{T^{is} \mid s \in \mathbb{R}\}$ of bounded operators. It was shown by Yagi that the operators T for which $T^{is} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ are precisely those for which the domains of the fractional powers of T (and of T^{*}) are the complex interpolation spaces between \mathcal{H} and $\mathcal{D}(T)$ (and between \mathcal{H} and $\mathcal{D}(T^{*})$). They are also precisely those operators for which T and T^{*} satisfy quadratic estimates [4].

It is shown in this paper that another equivalent property is the existence of an $H_\infty(S_\mu^0)$ functional calculus for $\mu > \omega$ (where S_μ^0 denotes the interior of S_μ).

In writing up this paper it seemed useful to have a precise definition of the operators $f(T)$ for functions which are analytic (but not necessarily bounded) on S_μ^0 and for operators T which do not necessarily satisfy quadratic estimates. Such a definition is given in section 5, where it is shown in what sense formulae of the form $(fg)(T) = f(T)g(T)$ hold. It appears that the basic properties of the

semigroups $\{\exp(-tT)\}$ and of the fractional powers T^s can be derived more simply in this way than usual.

The material in this paper has two heritages. One is operator theory, in which area we use results of Kato, Yagi, and many others; the other is harmonic analysis, where the power of quadratic estimates has been recognized since the Littlewood-Paley theory appeared and the theory of g -functions was developed by Zygmund and his followers. In particular Stein has explored the relationship of quadratic estimates with multiplier results (which concern the functional calculus of $i^{-1}d/dx$). The motivation for this paper is to better understand the functional calculus of $i^{-1}d/dz|_{\gamma}$, where γ is a Lipschitz curve in the complex plane, though in fact this material is only briefly alluded to in the last section. This builds upon the work of Calderón, and of Coifman and Meyer.

Thanks are due to Michael Cowling, who has been studying similar problems in L_p -spaces, and to Raphy Coifman, Carlos Kenig, Yves Meyer, James Picton-Warlow, Werner Ricker, Wesley Wildman and Atsushi Yagi, all of whom have contributed to my understanding of these topics.

2. OPERATORS

Throughout this paper \mathcal{H} denotes a complex Hilbert space. By an operator is meant a linear mapping $T : \mathcal{D}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ where the domain $\mathcal{D}(T)$ is a linear subspace of \mathcal{H} . The range of T is denoted by $\mathcal{R}(T)$ and the nullspace by $\mathcal{N}(T)$. The norm of T is the (possibly infinite) number

$$\|T\| = \sup\{\|Tu\| \mid u \in \mathcal{D}(T), \|u\| = 1\}.$$

We say that T is *bounded* if $\mathcal{D}(T) = \mathcal{H}$ and $\|T\| < \infty$, and denote the algebra of all bounded operators by $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$. We call T *densely-defined* if $\mathcal{D}(T)$ is dense in \mathcal{H} , and *closed* if its graph $\{(u, Tu) \mid u \in \mathcal{D}(T)\}$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$.

When new operators are constructed from old, the domains are taken to be the largest for which the construction makes sense. For example,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}(S+T) &= \mathcal{D}(S) \cap \mathcal{D}(T) , \\ \mathcal{D}(ST) &= \{u \in \mathcal{D}(T) \mid Tu \in \mathcal{D}(S)\} ,\end{aligned}$$

and, if T is one-one,

$$\mathcal{D}(T^{-1}) = \mathcal{R}(T) .$$

We write $S \subset T$ if $\mathcal{D}(S) \subset \mathcal{D}(T)$ and $Su = Tu$ for all $u \in \mathcal{D}(S)$. So $S = T$ if and only if $S \subset T$ and $T \subset S$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}(ST)U &= S(TU) , \\ S(T+U) &\supset ST + SU , \\ (S+T)U &= SU + TU , \\ S - S &\subset 0 ,\end{aligned}$$

and, if S is one-one,

$$S^{-1}S \subset I \text{ and } SS^{-1} \subset I .$$

We remark that if B is bounded and T is closed then the following operators are closed: B , TB , $B^{-1}T$ (if B is one-one) and T^{-1} (if T is one-one).

The *adjoint* of a densely-defined operator T is the operator T^* with largest domain which satisfies

$$\langle Tu, v \rangle = \langle u, T^* v \rangle$$

for all $u \in \mathfrak{D}(T)$ and $v \in \mathfrak{D}(T^*)$. We remark that T^* is closed and $(T^{-1})^* = (T^*)^{-1}$ if T is one-one and has dense range.

The resolvent set $\rho(T)$ of T is the set of all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ for which $(T - \lambda I)$ is one-one and $(T - \lambda I)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H})$. The spectrum $\sigma(T)$ of T is the complement of $\rho(T)$, together with ∞ if T is unbounded.

3. RATIONAL FUNCTIONS OF T

Suppose T is a closed densely-defined operator in \mathfrak{H} with non-empty resolvent set. Then T^n is a closed densely-defined operator for all integers $n \geq 0$. (We take $T^0 = I$.) Moreover, if $m \geq n$, then $\mathfrak{D}(T^m)$ is a dense subspace of $\mathfrak{D}(T^n)$ under the norm $\|u\|_n = \{\|u\|^2 + \|T^n u\|^2\}^{1/2}$.

If p denotes the polynomial $p(\zeta) = \sum_{k=0}^m c_k \zeta^k$, then $p(T)$ is defined by $p(T) = \sum_{k=0}^m c_k T^k$. This too is a closed operator with domain $\mathfrak{D}(p(T)) = \mathfrak{D}(T^m)$, dense in \mathfrak{H} .

If q denotes a polynomial with no zeros in $\sigma(T)$, and $r(\zeta) = p(\zeta) / q(\zeta)$, then we define $r(T)$ by $r(T) = p(T)(q(T))^{-1}$. This too is a closed densely-defined operator with domain $\mathfrak{D}(T^n)$ where $n = \max\{0, \deg p - \deg q\}$.

If r and r_1 are two such rational functions and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, then the following identities hold:

$$(1) \quad \alpha(r(T)) + r_1(T) = (\alpha r + r_1)(T) \Big|_{\mathfrak{D}(r(T))}$$

$$(2) \quad r_1(T)r(T) = (r_1r)(T) \Big|_{\mathfrak{D}(r(T))}$$

$$(3) \quad \sigma(r(T)) = r(\sigma(T))$$

$$(4) \quad r(T)^* = \bar{r}(T^*)$$

where $\bar{r}(\zeta) = \bar{p}(\zeta)/\bar{q}(\zeta)$, $\bar{p}(\zeta) = \sum \bar{c}_k \zeta^k$ and \bar{q} is defined similarly.

Although the preceding paragraphs can be read quickly and appear reasonable, it is actually quite tedious to verify every detail. For example it is easy to see that $r(T)^* \supset \bar{r}(T^*)$, but it takes more work to get the equality. Note that (2) includes the statement

$$\mathfrak{D}(r_1(T)r(T)) = \mathfrak{D}((r_1r)(T)) \cap \mathfrak{D}(r(T)) .$$

If r has no zeros in $\sigma(T) \cap \mathbb{C}$, it is a consequence of (2) and (4) that

$$(r(T)^*)^{-1} = \bar{r}(T^*)^{-1} = (1/\bar{r})(T^*) = ((1/r)(T))^* = (r(T)^{-1})^* .$$

4. OPERATORS OF TYPE ω

If $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$, then

$$S_\theta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid z = 0 \text{ or } |\arg z| \leq \theta\}$$

and

$$S_\theta^0 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid z \neq 0 \text{ and } |\arg z| < \theta\} .$$

If $0 \leq \omega < \pi$, then an operator T in \mathfrak{K} is said to be of type ω if T is closed and densely-defined, $\sigma(T) \subset S_\omega \cup \{\infty\}$, and for each $\theta \in (\omega, \pi]$ there exists $c_\theta < \infty$ such that $\|(T-zI)^{-1}\| \leq c_\theta |z|^{-1}$ for all non-zero $z \notin S_\theta^0$.

If $0 < \mu \leq \pi$, then

$$H_\infty(S_\mu^0) = \{f : S_\mu^0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f \text{ is analytic and } \|f\|_\infty < \infty\}$$

where $\|f\|_\infty = \sup\{|f(z)| \mid z \in S_\mu^0\}$, and

$$\Psi(S_\mu^0) = \left\{ f \in H_\infty(S_\mu^0) \mid \exists s > 0, c \geq 0 \text{ such that} \right. \\ \left. |f(z)| \leq \frac{c|z|^s}{1+|z|^{2s}} \text{ for all } z \in S_\mu^0 \right\}.$$

It is straightforward to define $\psi(T)$ if $\psi \in \Psi(S_\mu^0)$ and T is of type ω , where $0 \leq \omega < \mu \leq \pi$. We proceed as follows.

Let $\omega < \theta < \mu$ and let γ be the contour defined by the function

$$g(t) = \begin{cases} -t e^{-i\theta} & , \quad -\infty < t \leq 0 \\ t e^{i\theta} & , \quad 0 \leq t < \infty \end{cases}.$$

Define $\psi(T) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ by

$$\psi(T) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\gamma (T - \zeta I)^{-1} \psi(\zeta) d\zeta.$$

This integral is absolutely convergent in the norm topology on $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$.

It is not difficult to show that the definition is independent of

$\theta \in (\omega, \mu)$, and that, if ψ is a rational function, then this

definition is consistent with the previous one. We can also show that,

if ψ_1 is also in $\Psi(S_\mu^0)$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, then

$$(1) \quad \alpha(\psi(T)) + \psi_1(T) = (\alpha\psi + \psi_1)(T)$$

$$(2) \quad \psi_1(T)\psi(T) = (\psi_1\psi)(T)$$

$$(3) \quad \sigma(\psi(T)) = \psi(\sigma(T))$$

$$(4) \quad \psi(T)^* = \overline{\psi(T^*)}.$$

Moreover, if r is a rational function which is bounded on S_μ and $\psi \in \Psi(S_\mu^0)$, then $r\psi \in \Psi(S_\mu^0)$ and

$$r(T)\psi(T) = (r\psi)(T) = \psi(T)r(T) .$$

The operator $(r+\psi)(T)$ can be defined without ambiguity by

$$(r+\psi)(T) = r(T) + \psi(T) .$$

We conclude this section with a convergence theorem.

THEOREM Let T be an operator of type ω where $0 \leq \omega < \mu \leq \pi$. Let (ψ_α) be a net in $\Psi(S_\mu^0)$ such that $\|\psi_\alpha\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$.

(a) If there exist c and $s > 0$ such that

$$|\psi_\alpha(\zeta)| \leq c|\zeta|^s(1+|\zeta|^{2s})^{-1} \text{ for all } \zeta \in S_\mu^0 \text{ and all } \alpha, \text{ then}$$

$$\|\psi_\alpha(T)\| \rightarrow 0 .$$

(b) If there exist c, M and $s > 0$ such that $|\psi_\alpha(\zeta)| \leq c|\zeta|^s$ for all $|\zeta| \leq 1$ and all α and $\|\psi_\alpha(T)\| \leq M$ for all α , and if $u \in \mathfrak{K}$, then $\psi_\alpha(T)u \rightarrow 0$.

(c) If there exists M such that $\|\psi_\alpha(T)\| \leq M$ for all α and if $u \in \overline{\mathfrak{R}(T)}$, then $\psi_\alpha(T)u \rightarrow 0$.

Proof To prove (a), use the definition of $\psi_\alpha(T)$ and break up the integral into three parts corresponding to $|\zeta| < \delta$, $\delta \leq |\zeta| \leq \Lambda$, and $|\zeta| > \Lambda$ for δ sufficiently small and Λ sufficiently large.

To prove (b) apply part (a) to the functions φ_α defined by $\varphi_\alpha(\zeta) = (1+\zeta)^{-1}\psi_\alpha(\zeta)$ to see that $\psi_\alpha(T)u \rightarrow 0$ for all $u \in \mathfrak{D}(T)$. Then use the uniform boundedness to obtain the result.

Part (c) has a similar proof.

5. MORE GENERAL FUNCTIONS OF T

In this section $0 \leq \omega < \mu \leq \pi$ and T is an operator in \mathfrak{H} which is not only of type ω but also one-one with dense range. Let

$$\mathfrak{F}(S_\mu^0) = \{f : S_\mu^0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f \text{ is analytic and } |f(z)| \leq c(|z|^k + |z|^{-k}) \text{ for some } k \text{ and } c\} .$$

For $f \in \mathfrak{F}(S_\mu^0)$ with $|f(z)| \leq c(|z|^k + |z|^{-k})$ define $f(T)$ by

$$f(T) = (\psi(T))^{-1}(f\psi)(T)$$

where $\psi(\zeta) = \left(\frac{\zeta}{1+\zeta^2}\right)^{k+1}$. The operator $(f\psi)(T) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H})$ was defined in section 4, while the operator $\psi(T) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H})$ was defined in section 3. It follows from equation (2) of section 3 that $\psi(T)$ is one-one with dense range as its inverse is $(1/\psi)(T)$. So $f(T)$ is a closed operator which is densely-defined because its domain includes $\mathfrak{R}(\psi(T))$ as is seen by noting that

$$\begin{aligned} f(T)\psi(T) &= \psi(T)^{-1}(f\psi)(T)\psi(T) \\ &= \psi(T)^{-1}\psi(T)(f\psi)(T) \\ &= (f\psi)(T) . \end{aligned}$$

It is not difficult to show that this definition is consistent with those of sections 3 and 4. Moreover if $f, f_1 \in \mathfrak{F}(S_\mu^0)$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, then

$$(1) \quad \alpha(f(T)) + f_1(T) = (\alpha f + f_1)(T) \Big|_{\mathfrak{D}(f(T))}$$

$$(2) \quad f_1(T)f(T) = (f_1 f)(T) \Big|_{\mathfrak{D}(f(T))}$$

$$(3) \quad f(T)^* = \bar{f}(T^*) .$$

This time however there is no spectral mapping theorem. The problem is that $f(T)$ may be unbounded even if f is bounded.

The following can be said about bounds, as is seen by applying (2) above. Suppose $f, g \in \mathcal{F}(S_\mu^0)$ and $g = hf$ for some $h \in \mathcal{F}(S_\mu^0)$ for which $h(T) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$. (e.g. $h = \psi + r$ where $\psi \in \Psi(S_\mu^0)$ and r is a bounded rational function.) Then $\mathcal{D}(g(T)) \supset \mathcal{D}(f(T))$ and $\|g(T)u\| \leq c\|f(T)u\|$ for all $u \in \mathcal{D}(f(T))$ and some $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

We conclude this section, like the last, with a convergence theorem.

THEOREM Let $0 \leq \omega < \mu \leq \pi$. Let T be an operator of type ω which is one-one with dense range. Let (f_α) be a net in $H_\omega(S_\mu^0)$, let $f \in H_\omega(S_\mu^0)$, and suppose, for some $M < \infty$, that

$$(a) \quad \|f_\alpha(T)\| \leq M,$$

and

$$(b) \quad \text{for each } 0 < \delta < \Lambda < \infty, \quad \sup\{|f_\alpha(\zeta) - f(\zeta)| \mid \zeta \in S_\mu^0 \\ \text{and } \delta \leq |\zeta| \leq \Lambda\} \rightarrow 0.$$

Then $f(T) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ and $f_\alpha(T)u \rightarrow f(T)u$ for all $u \in \mathcal{H}$. So $\|f(T)\| \leq M$.

Proof Let $\psi(\zeta) = \zeta(1+\zeta)^{-2}$. Apply part (c) of the earlier theorem to see that $f_\alpha(T)\psi(T)u = (f_\alpha\psi)(T)u \rightarrow (f\psi)(T)u = f(T)\psi(T)u$ for all $u \in \mathcal{H}$. As $\psi(T)$ has dense range, $f(T) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\|f(T)\| \leq M$. Now use the uniform boundedness to see that $f_\alpha(T)u \rightarrow f(T)u$ for all $u \in \mathcal{H}$.

6. COMPLEX POWERS OF T

We continue to assume that T is an operator of type ω which is one-one with dense range.

Let $f_\lambda(\zeta) = \zeta^\lambda$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. For each λ , $f_\lambda \in \mathcal{F}(S_\mu^0)$, so we can define a closed densely-defined operator T^λ by $T^\lambda = f_\lambda(T)$. This seems to be an efficient way to define T^λ , for not only is it included as part of a general functional calculus, but also the following facts follow from the results of section 5 without further ado:

$$(1) \quad T^\lambda T^\mu = T^{\lambda+\mu} \Big|_{\mathcal{D}(T^\mu)}$$

$$(2) \quad T^{-\lambda} = (T^\lambda)^{-1} = (T^{-1})^\lambda$$

$$(3) \quad (T^*)^\lambda = (\bar{T}^\lambda)^*$$

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{D}(T^\mu) \supset \mathcal{D}(T^\lambda) = \mathcal{D}((I+T)^\lambda)$$

if $0 \leq \Re(\mu) < \Re(\lambda)$, and

$$\|T^\mu u\| \leq c \|T^\lambda u\|$$

and

$$c^{-1} (\|T^\lambda u\| + \|u\|) \leq \|(I+T)^\lambda u\| \leq c (\|T^\lambda u\| + \|u\|)$$

for $u \in \mathcal{D}(T^\lambda)$.

The formulae usually used to define T^λ can now be derived using the theorem in section 4. For example, to show that, if $0 < s < 1$, then

$$T^s u = \beta_s \lim_{\substack{\epsilon \rightarrow 0 \\ R \rightarrow \infty}} \int_{\epsilon}^R t^{-s} (I+tT)^{-1} T u \, dt$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{D}(T)$, we apply that theorem to the net $\psi_{\epsilon, R}$ defined by

$$\psi_{\epsilon, R}(\zeta) = \beta_s \int_{\epsilon}^R t^{-s} (1+t\zeta)^{-1} dt \zeta (1+\zeta)^{-1}.$$

(Here $\beta_s^{-1} = \int_0^{\infty} t^{-s} (1+t)^{-1} dt$.)

What if we drop the assumption that T is one-one with closed range? We can proceed as follows. Let

$$\mathcal{F}_0(S_{\mu}^0) = \{f \in \mathcal{F}(S_{\mu}^0) \mid \exists f(0) \in \mathbb{C} \text{ such that } |f(\zeta) - f(0)| \leq c|\zeta|^s \\ \text{for } |\zeta| \leq 1 \text{ and some } s > 0\}.$$

For $f \in \mathcal{F}_0(S_{\mu}^0)$ define $f(T)$ by

$$f(T) = (\theta(T))^{-1} (f\theta)(T)$$

where $\theta(\zeta) = (1+\zeta)^{-k-1}$ and k is large enough that $|f(\zeta)| \leq c|\zeta|^k$ for $|\zeta| \geq 1$. Then $(f\theta)(T) = f(0)(1+T)^{-1} + g(T) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ because $g \in \Psi$, where $g(\zeta) = (1+\zeta)^{-k-1} f(\zeta) - (1+\zeta)^{-1} f(0)$. Also $\theta(T)$ is a bounded one-one operator with dense range. So $f(T)$ is a closed densely-defined operator. Now proceed as before and we find that operators T^{λ} can be defined which satisfy properties (1)-(4) provided $\Re \lambda > 0$.

7. QUADRATIC ESTIMATES

In the theory developed in section 5, there is no guarantee that $f(T) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ when f is bounded. Indeed this is not always the case. However it is if T and T^* satisfy quadratic estimates.

Let T be an operator of type ω where $0 \leq \omega < \mu \leq \pi$ and let $\psi \in \Psi(S_\mu^0)$. To say that T satisfies a quadratic estimate with respect to ψ means that

$$\left\{ \int_0^\infty \|\psi(tT)u\|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \right\}^{1/2} \leq q \|u\|$$

for some constant q and all $u \in \mathcal{H}$.

Such an estimate holds for example if T is positive self-adjoint with $q = \left\{ \int_0^\infty |\psi(t)|^2 t^{-1} dt \right\}^{1/2}$. It also holds in a lot of other interesting cases.

Let us use the notations

$$\Psi^+(S_\mu^0) = \{ \psi \in \Psi(S_\mu^0) \mid \psi(t) > 0 \text{ for all } t \in (0, \infty) \}$$

and

$$\psi_t(\zeta) = \psi(t\zeta) \quad , \quad 0 < t < \infty \quad .$$

THEOREM Let $0 \leq \omega < \mu \leq \pi$, and let T be an operator of type ω which is one-one with dense range. Suppose that T and T^* satisfy quadratic estimates with respect to functions ψ and ψ in $\Psi^+(S_\mu^0)$. If $f \in H^\infty(S_\mu^0)$, then the operator $f(T)$ is bounded, and there exists a constant c such that

$$\|f(T)\| \leq c \|f\|_\infty$$

for all $f \in H_\infty(S_\mu^0)$.

Proof Let θ be any function in $\Psi(S_\mu^0)$ such that $\int_0^\infty \varphi(t)t^{-1}dt = 1$

where $\varphi = \psi\theta$.

For $f \in H_\infty(S_\mu^0)$ and $0 < \epsilon < R < \infty$, define $f_{\epsilon,R} \in \Psi(S_\mu^0)$ by

$$f_{\epsilon,R}(\zeta) = \int_\epsilon^R (f\varphi_t)(\zeta) \frac{dt}{t}.$$

We shall use the quadratic estimates to show that

$$\|f_{\epsilon,R}(T)\| \leq c\|f\|_\infty$$

for some constant c depending only on T , μ and θ . The theorem in section 5 can then be applied to give the result. We note that it also gives the formula

$$f(T)u = \lim_{\substack{\epsilon \rightarrow 0 \\ R \rightarrow \infty}} \int_\epsilon^R (f\varphi_t)(T)u \frac{dt}{t}$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{H}$.

To prove the bounds on $f_{\epsilon,R}(T)$ we proceed as follows. Let $u, v \in \mathcal{H}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & | \langle f_{\epsilon,R}(T)u, v \rangle | \\ &= \left| \int_\epsilon^R \langle (f\theta_t)(T)\psi_t(T)u, \psi_t(T^*)v \rangle \frac{dt}{t} \right| \\ &\leq \sup_t \|(f\theta_t)(T)\| \left\{ \int_0^\infty \|\psi_t(T)u\|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \right\}^{1/2} \\ &\quad \left\{ \int_0^\infty \|\psi_t(T^*)v\|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \right\}^{1/2} \\ &\leq q_1 q_2 \sup_t \|(f\theta_t)(T)\| \|u\| \|v\| \end{aligned}$$

where q_1 and q_2 are the constants appearing in the quadratic estimates. Recall that $(f\theta_t)(T)$ was defined in section 4 by a contour integral,

$$(f\theta_t)(T) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\gamma (T-\zeta I)^{-1} f(\zeta) \theta(t\zeta) d\zeta.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|(f\theta_t)(T)\| &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|f\|_\infty \int_\gamma \|(T-\zeta I)^{-1}\| |\theta(t\zeta)| |d\zeta| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|f\|_\infty \int_\gamma c|\zeta|^{-1} \left\{ \frac{ct^s |\zeta|^s}{1+t^{2s} |\zeta|^{2s}} \right\} |d\zeta| \\ &= \kappa \|f\|_\infty \end{aligned}$$

where κ depends on T , μ and θ but not f . So

$$|\langle f_{\epsilon,R}(T)u, v \rangle| \leq q_1 q_2 \kappa \|f\|_\infty \|u\| \|v\|$$

for all $0 < \epsilon < R < \infty$, $f \in H_\infty^0(S_\mu^0)$, and $u, v \in \mathcal{H}$.

We have thus obtained the bounds on $f_{\epsilon,R}$ and hence the result. //

The assumption in the theorem that T has dense range is in fact redundant as it follows from the other hypotheses. In fact if we drop the assumption that T is one-one then we find that $\mathcal{H} = N(T) \oplus \overline{\mathcal{R}(T)}$, where the symbol \oplus denotes the direct sum in the sense of Banach spaces (and does not imply orthogonality). This is seen as follows.

Define E_+ by

$$E_+ u = \int_0^\infty \varphi_t(T) u \frac{dt}{t}, \quad u \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Then E_+ is a bounded operator which is zero on $N(T)$ and the identity on $\mathcal{R}(T)$. So $N(T) \oplus \overline{\mathcal{R}(T)} \subset \mathcal{H}$. Similarly $N(T^*) \oplus \overline{\mathcal{R}(T^*)} \subset \mathcal{H}$. So $N(T) \oplus \overline{\mathcal{R}(T)} = \mathcal{H}$ as required. In general we find that

$$f(T_{\mathcal{R}})E_+ u = \int_0^\infty (f\varphi_t)(T) u \frac{dt}{t}$$

for $u \in \mathcal{H}$, where $T_{\mathcal{R}}$ is the restriction of T to $\overline{\mathcal{R}(T)}$.

8. NECESSITY OF QUADRATIC ESTIMATES

THEOREM Let T be a one-one operator of type ω . Write $T = UA$ and $T^* = VB$ where A and B are positive self-adjoint operators and U and V are isomorphisms.

The following statements are equivalent:

(a) for all $\mu > \omega$ there exist c_μ such that

$$\|f(T)\| \leq c_\mu \|f\|_\omega, \quad f \in H_\omega(S_\mu^0);$$

(b) there exist $\mu > \omega$ and c such that

$$\|f(T)\| \leq c \|f\|_\omega, \quad f \in H_\omega(S_\mu^0);$$

(c) $\{T^{is} \mid s \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is a C^0 group and, for all $\mu > \omega$, there exist c_μ such that

$$\|T^{is}\| \leq c_\mu e^{\mu|s|}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R};$$

(d) there exists c such that

$$\|T^{is}\| \leq c, \quad -1 \leq s \leq 1;$$

(e) for each $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $\mathfrak{D}(T^\alpha) = \mathfrak{D}(A^\alpha)$, $\mathfrak{D}(T^{*\alpha}) = \mathfrak{D}(B^\alpha)$ and there exists $c > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} c^{-1} \|A^\alpha u\| &\leq \|T^\alpha u\| \leq c \|A^\alpha u\|, & u \in \mathfrak{D}(T^\alpha), \\ c^{-1} \|B^\alpha u\| &\leq \|T^{*\alpha} u\| \leq c \|B^\alpha u\|, & u \in \mathfrak{D}(T^{*\alpha}); \end{aligned}$$

(f) there exist $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$ and c such that $\mathfrak{D}(T^\alpha) \subset \mathfrak{D}(A^\alpha)$, $\mathfrak{D}(T^{*\beta}) \subset \mathfrak{D}(B^\beta)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|A^\alpha u\| &\leq c \|T^\alpha u\|, & u \in \mathfrak{D}(T^\alpha) \\ \|B^\beta u\| &\leq c \|T^{*\beta} u\|, & u \in \mathfrak{D}(T^{*\beta}); \end{aligned}$$

(g) for all $\mu > \omega$ and all $\psi \in \Psi(S_\mu^0)$ there exist q such that

$$\left\{ \int_0^\infty \|\psi(tT)u\|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \right\}^{1/2} \leq q\|u\| \quad \text{and}$$

$$\left\{ \int_0^\infty \|\psi(tT^*)u\|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \right\}^{1/2} \leq q\|u\| \quad , \quad u \in \mathcal{H} ;$$

(h) there exist $\mu > \omega$, $\psi \in \Psi^+(S_\mu^0)$, $\psi \in \Psi^+(S_\mu^0)$ and q such that

$$\left\{ \int_0^\infty \|\psi(tT)u\|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \right\}^{1/2} \leq q\|u\| \quad \text{and}$$

$$\left\{ \int_0^\infty \|\psi(tT^*)u\|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \right\}^{1/2} \leq q\|u\| \quad , \quad u \in \mathcal{H} .$$

Proof We shall verify the implications (a) \Rightarrow (c) \Rightarrow (e) \Rightarrow (g) \Rightarrow (a) .

The cycle (b) \Rightarrow (d) \Rightarrow (e) \Rightarrow (f) \Rightarrow (h) \Rightarrow (b) is proved similarly.

(a) \Rightarrow (c) : The bounds in (c) are obtained by applying part (a) to $f_{(s)}(\zeta) = \zeta^{-is}$. The theorem in section 5 can then be applied to see that $f(s)(T)u \rightarrow u$ as $s \rightarrow 0$ for all $u \in \mathcal{H}$.

(c) \Rightarrow (e) : This is a result on complex interpolation which can be proved as usual by applying the maximum modulus theorem on the strip $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid 0 \leq \Re z \leq 1\}$. For example, the first inequality can be verified for $u \in \mathcal{H}(T)$ by applying it to the function

$$f(z) = e^{z^2} A^z T^{-z} u .$$

All the technicalities needed to do so have been derived in sections 5 and 6 . For example the continuity of f can be proved using the theorem in section 5 as can the analyticity of f on the open strip. Further details are left to the reader.

(e) \Rightarrow (g) : For $\alpha \in (0,1)$, let $\psi_{(\alpha)}(\zeta) = \zeta^{1-\alpha}(1+\zeta)^{-1}$. We first show that T satisfies a quadratic estimate with respect to $\psi_{(\alpha)}$.

There exist bounded operators U and W_α such that

$$TA^{-1} = U|_{\mathcal{R}(A)} \quad \text{and} \quad A^{\alpha}T^{-\alpha} = W_\alpha|_{\mathcal{R}(T^\alpha)} .$$

It can easily be computed that

$$\psi_{(\alpha)}(T) = \{T(I+T)^{-1} + (I+T)^{-1}U\}\psi_{(\alpha)}(A)W_\alpha .$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \|\psi_{(\alpha)}(tT)u\|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \\ & \leq c \int_0^\infty \|\psi_{(\alpha)}(tA)W_\alpha u\|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \\ & \leq c' \|W_\alpha u\|^2 \leq q_\alpha \|u\|^2 \quad , \quad u \in \mathcal{R} , \end{aligned}$$

as required. We used the fact that the positive operator A satisfies a quadratic estimate.

Now let $\psi \in \Psi(S_\mu^0)$. There exist $\theta, \varphi \in \Psi(S_\mu^0)$ and $\alpha, \beta \in (0,1)$ such that

$$\psi(T) = \theta(T)\psi_{(\alpha)}(T) + \varphi(T)\psi_{(\beta)}(T) .$$

On returning to the definition of $\psi(tT)$ we find that

$$\|\psi(tT)\| \leq \kappa \{ \|\psi_{(\alpha)}(tT)\| + \|\psi_{(\beta)}(tT)\| \}$$

where κ depends on θ and φ . It now follows from the quadratic estimates for $\psi_{(\alpha)}$ and $\psi_{(\beta)}$ that T satisfies a quadratic estimate with respect to ψ .

The dual estimate is proved similarly.

(g) \Rightarrow (a) : This was proved in the previous section. //

The above theorem, with the exception of parts (a) and (b), is essentially due to Yagi [4], though various parts of it were known previously. The implication (c) \Rightarrow (e), for example, is taken from the proof of the Heinz-Kato theorem.

9. OPERATORS SATISFYING QUADRATIC ESTIMATES

We have already seen that positive self-adjoint operators satisfy quadratic estimates. So do normal operators with spectra in a sector, and also maximal accretive operators.

One could point to a large number of instances where estimates of one type or another of those listed in section 8 have been used by people working in partial differential equations or harmonic analysis. Yagi has used some of this material to show that certain classes of elliptic operators with smooth coefficients satisfy quadratic estimates. (See the references at the end of his paper in this volume.) Thus such operators have an H_∞ -functional calculus.

How about the operator S in $L_2(\mathbb{R})$ with domain $H^2(\mathbb{R})$ defined by

$$(Su)(x) = -g(x)^{-1}u''(x)$$

where $g \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $\Re g(x) \geq \kappa > 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$? This can be handled via the following result.

THEOREM Let $T = W^{-1}A$ where A is a positive self-adjoint operator and W is a bounded operator satisfying $\Re(Wu, u) \geq \kappa \|u\|^2$ for some $\kappa > 0$ and all $u \in \mathfrak{H}$. Then T and T^* are one-one operators of type $\omega < \pi/2$ which satisfy quadratic estimates if the following condition (C) is satisfied:

(C) There exist constants c and m such that

$$\left\{ \int_0^\infty \|Q_t (BP_t)^k u\|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \right\}^{1/2} \leq c(1+k^m) \|B\|^k \|u\|$$

and

$$\left\{ \int_0^\infty \|Q_t (B^*P_t)^k u\|^2 \frac{dt}{t} \right\}^{1/2} \leq c(1+k^m) \|B\|^k \|u\|$$

for all $u \in \mathfrak{H}$ and $k = 1, 2, \dots$, where

$$P_t = (I + t^2 A^2)^{-1}, \quad Q_t = tA(I + t^2 A^2)^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad B = I - \lambda W$$

for some $\lambda \in (0, 2\kappa \|W\|^{-2})$.

Proof It is straightforward to check that $\|B\| < 1$, so

$T = \lambda(I - B)^{-1}A$. Let $\tau = t\lambda$. Then

$$(I + itT)^{-1} = R_\tau \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (BR_\tau)^k (I - B)$$

where

$$R_\tau = (I + i\tau A)^{-1} = P_\tau - iQ_\tau$$

and the series converges because $\|R_\tau\| \leq 1$ and $\|B\| < 1$.

It is not difficult to show that T is a one-one operator of type ω for some $\omega < \pi$.

Let $\psi(\zeta) = \zeta(1+\zeta^2)^{-1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(tT) &= \frac{i}{2} \left\{ (I+itT)^{-1} - (I-itT)^{-1} \right\} \\ &= \frac{i}{2} \left\{ R_{-T} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (BR_{-T})^k - R_{-T} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (BR_{-T})^k \right\} (I-B) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^k \left\{ (R_{-T}B)^{k-s} Q_T (BP_{-T})^s + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (R_{-T}B)^{k-s} Q_T (BP_{-T})^s \right\} (I-B). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\{ \int_0^{\infty} \|\psi(tT)\|_{\text{ul}}^2 \frac{dt}{t} \right\}^{1/2} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^k \|B\|^{k-s} \left\{ \int_0^{\infty} \|Q_T (BP_{-T})^s (I-B)\|_{\text{ul}}^2 \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \right\}^{1/2} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^k \|B\|^{k-s} (1+s^m) \|I-B\| \|u\| \\ &= q \|u\| \quad , \quad u \in \mathcal{H} , \end{aligned}$$

as required. The dual estimate is proved similarly. //

To apply this theorem in the case when W denotes multiplication by the L_{∞} function g , and $A = D^2$ where $D = -i \frac{d}{dx}$, we need to check that the condition (C) is satisfied. However this is a consequence of the similar estimates proved in [1] where P_t and Q_t were defined in terms of D rather than A .

We thus have that the operator S defined before the theorem satisfies square function estimates, along with S^* . So, by the results in section 8, $\mathcal{D}(S^{1/2}) = \mathcal{D}(D^2)^{1/2} = H^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $\|f(S)\| \leq c \|f\|_{\infty}$ for $f \in H_{\infty}^0(S_{\pi/2}^0)$. As pointed out in Meyer's lecture notes in Madrid, these facts can be used as follows. Say we want to solve the elliptic boundary value problem:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} g(x) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}(x, t) + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}(x, t) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t > 0, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x, 0) = g(x), \quad t > 0. \end{array} \right.$$

Then we find that the solution

$$u(\cdot, t) = -e^{-t\sqrt{S}} S^{-1/2} g$$

is defined for all $g \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ and satisfies

$$\sup_{t>0} \left\{ \int |u(x, t)|^2 dx \right\}^{1/2} \leq c \left\{ \int \left| \frac{dg}{dx}(x) \right|^2 dx \right\}^{1/2}.$$

10. DOUBLE SECTORS

It is just as interesting, if not more so, to consider operators T with spectra in a double sector

$$S_\omega = \left\{ \zeta \in \mathbb{C} \mid \zeta \in S_\omega \quad \text{or} \quad -\zeta \in S_\omega \right\}$$

for $0 \leq \omega < \pi/2$. We can again show that if T and T^* satisfy quadratic estimates then $f(T)$ is defined and satisfies $\|f(T)\| \leq c \|f\|_\infty$ for all $f \in H_\omega^0(S_\mu^0)$ where $\mu > \omega$.

In particular this applies when $\mathcal{H} = L_2(\gamma)$, $\gamma = \{s+ig(s) \mid s \in \mathbb{R}\}$, g is a Lipschitz function, and $T = D_\gamma = \frac{1}{i} \frac{d}{dz} \Big|_\gamma$. Then T and T^* satisfy quadratic estimates, so T has an H_ω -functional calculus. In particular $\text{sgn}(T) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ where $\text{sgn} \zeta = +1$ if $\Re \zeta > 0$ and $\text{sgn} \zeta = -1$ if $\Re \zeta < 0$. The operator $\text{sgn}(T)$ is none other than the Cauchy singular integral operator on γ . See [1] and [2], where the case of Lipschitz surfaces is treated too.

This paper is already too long, so details will be left as a challenge to the reader.

REFERENCES

1. R. Coifman, A. McIntosh, Y. Meyer, *L'intégral de Cauchy définit un opérateur borné sur L^2 pour les courbes lipschitziennes*, Annals of Mathematics, **116** (1982) 361-387.
2. Alan McIntosh, *Clifford algebras and the higher dimensional Cauchy integral*, Proceedings of the XVII semester, "Approximation theory and function spaces", Banach Center, Warsaw, Poland, 1986.
3. Hiroki Tanabe, *Equations of Evolution*, Pitman, London, S.F., Melbourne, 1979.
4. Atsushi Yagi, *Coincidence entre des espaces d'interpolation et des domaines de puissances fractionnaires d'opérateurs*, C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris, **299**, Série I, 1984, 173-176.

School of Mathematics and Physics
Macquarie University NSW 2109
AUSTRALIA