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§0 INTRODUCTION

In this paper we introduce the notion of a "normal" subrelation of an ergodic equivalence relation, and study some of its consequences. Details will appear elsewhere [2].

Throughout, we deal with a countable non-singular equivalence relation $S$ on a standard non-atomic probability space $(X, B, \mu)$, as in [1] or [4]. Thus $S \subseteq X \times X$ is a Borel set, for each $x \in X$, $S(x) = \{y \in X : (y, x) \in S\}$ is countable, and for each $E \in B$ with $\mu(E) = 0$, $\mu(S(E)) = 0$ where $S(E) = \cup\{S(x) : x \in E\}$. For our purposes, there is no loss of generality in assuming that $\mu$ is a probability measure, and that $S$ is ergodic i.e. for $E \in B$, $\mu(S(E)) \in \{0, 1\}$; we will hence forth make these assumptions. In addition, we assume for simplicity that the measure $\mu$ is finite and invariant, as in [1] i.e. that $S$ is of type $\text{II}_1$.

In recent years, much has been learned about the structure of such relations, up to isomorphism; here $S_1$ on $(X_1, B_1, \mu_1)$ is isomorphic to $S_2$ on $(X_2, B_2, \mu_2)$ if there is a bimeasurable map $\phi : X_1 \to X_2$ between conull subsets of $X_1$ and $X_2$ for which $\mu_2 \circ \phi$ has the same null sets as $\mu_1$, and for which $(x, y) \in S_1$ if and only if $(\phi(x), \phi(y)) \in S_2$. The reader is referred to [1,3,7] for a glimpse of what is known. Our interest however is not so much in the relations themselves, but in the possible subrelations $R$ of a given ergodic equivalence relation $S$.
on \((X,B,\mu)\); alternatively, if \(R\) and \(S\) are given equivalence relations, we are interested in the various embeddings of \(R\) in \(S\).

\section{The Index Cocycle}

Let \(S\) be an ergodic, countable, non-singular II\(_1\)-equivalence relation on \((X,B,\mu)\) as above, and let \(R \subseteq S\) be a (Borel) subrelation; we do not assume \(R\) is ergodic. A modification of the selection argument of [1] guarantees there is a set \(J = \{1, 2, \ldots, N\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}\) (where we allow \(N = \infty\)), and a Borel map \(\Psi = (y,x) \in S \to (\psi_x(y), x) \in J \times X\) such that \(\psi(y,x) = \psi(y', x)\) if and only if \((y,y') \in R\); effectively, \(\{\psi_x(y) : y \in S(x)\}\) are a set of labels for the \(R\)-equivalence classes within \(S(x)\) – the number of such classes \((N)\) is essentially independent of \(x\) by the ergodicity of \(S\). \(N\) is called the \textit{index} of \(R\) in \(S\). We now define a map \(\sigma_{\psi} : S \to \Sigma(J)\), the group of all permutations on the set \(J\), by

\[
\sigma_{\psi}(x,y)(\psi_y(z)) = \psi_x(z)
\]

for \((x,y) \in S\), \((y,z) \in S\).

\textbf{Theorem 1.1} [(2)] In the situation described above

\begin{enumerate}[(a)]
    \item \(\sigma_{\psi}\) is a 1-cocycle, or \(\sigma_{\psi} \in \mathbb{Z}^1(S, \Sigma(J))\) i.e. for \((x,y) \in S\), \((y,z) \in S\) we have

    \[
    \sigma_{\psi}(x,y)\sigma_{\psi}(y,z) = \sigma_{\psi}(x,z);
    \]

    \item If \(\Phi : S \to J \times X\) is another "labelling map", then there is a Borel map \(x \in X \to \nu_x \in \Sigma(J)\) such that for \((x,y) \in S\),

    \[
    \sigma_{\Phi}(x,y) = \nu_x \sigma_{\psi}(x,y)\nu_y^{-1};
    \]

    thus the cohomology class \(\sigma\) of \(\sigma_{\psi}\) depends only on the pair \(R \subseteq S\).
\end{enumerate}
c) If $R, R' \subseteq S$ are ergodic and define cohomology classes $\sigma, \sigma' \in H^1(S, \Sigma(J))$, then there is an automorphism $\alpha$ of $S$ such that $\alpha^{(2)}(R) = R'$ if and only if there is an automorphism $\beta$ of $S$ such that $\sigma \circ \beta^{(2)} = \sigma'$. (As in [4], $\alpha^{(2)}$ is the restriction of $\alpha \times \alpha$ to $S$).

Thus the isomorphism classes of ergodic subrelations $R$ of $S$ are controlled completely by (a subset of) the cohomology $H^1(S, \Sigma(J))$. We will refer to the cocycle $\sigma$ (or its class) corresponding to a subrelation $R$ of $S$ as the index cocycle of the pair; where appropriate it will be denoted $\sigma_R$.

We may recover $R \subseteq S$ (up to a transitive relation) from $\sigma_R$ as follows. Given $\kappa \in Z^1(S, \Sigma(J))$, we define the skew product $\hat{R} = S \times J$ as the equivalence relation on $X \times J$ given by

$$((x, i), (y, j)) \in \hat{R} \text{ if and only if } (x, y) \in S \text{ and } \kappa(x, y)(j) = i.$$ 

We also define $\hat{S}$ on $X \times J$ by

$$((x, i), (y, j)) \in \hat{S} \text{ if and only if } (x, y) \in S.$$ 

**Theorem 1.2** ([2]) If $R \subseteq S$ is an ergodic subrelation and $\kappa = \sigma_R$, then there is an isomorphism of $\hat{S}$ with $S \times T(J)$ which carries $\hat{R}$ to $R \times T(J)$, where $T(J)$ denotes the transitive relation $J \times J$ on $J$.

Conversely, if $\kappa \in Z^1(S, \Sigma(J))$ is arbitrary, then the index cocycle $\hat{\sigma}$ for $\hat{R} \subseteq \hat{S}$ can be taken to be given by $\hat{\sigma}((x, i), (y, j)) = \kappa(x, y)$.

Finally, if $\kappa \in Z^1(S, \Sigma(J))$ is ergodic (i.e. $S \times J$ is ergodic), the index cocycle for $R = \{(x, y) \in S : \kappa(x, y)(1) = 1\}$ is cohomologous to $\kappa$. 

Thus there is a complete correspondence between ergodic subrelations $R \subset S$ (up to automorphisms of $S$) and the ergodic cocycle in $L^1(S, \Sigma(J))$.

§2 NORMALITY OF SUBRELATIONS

Definition 2.1 A subrelation $R \subset S$ on $(X, \mu)$ is said to be normal if the restriction of $\sigma_R$ to $R$ cobounds i.e. if there is a measurable map $x \in X \rightarrow v \in \Sigma(J)$ such that $\sigma_R(x, y) = v_{xy}^{-1}$ a.e. for $(x, y) \in R$.

Theorem 2.2 [2] Let $R \subset S$ be equivalence relations on $(X, \mu)$, and suppose $R$ is ergodic. Then the following are equivalent:

i) $R$ is normal in $S$.

ii) there are endomorphisms $\phi_j \in \text{End}(R), j \in J$, such that $S(x) = \cup_{j \in J} R(\phi_j(x))$ and $R(\phi_j(x)) \cap R(\phi_k(x)) = \emptyset$ for $j \neq k$;

iii) there is a discrete group $G$ and a homomorphism $\theta : S \rightarrow G$ such that

a) $\ker \theta = \{(x, y) \in S : \theta(x, y) = e\} = R$;

b) if $g \in G$ and $x \in X$ are given, there exists $y \in X$ with $(y, x) \in S$ and $\theta(y, x) = g$;

c) for any other discrete group $H$ and homomorphism $\kappa : S \rightarrow H$ with $\ker \kappa \subset R$, there is a homomorphism $\kappa' : G \rightarrow H$ with $\kappa = \kappa' \circ \theta$.

iv) The extension $S \times J$ of $S$ by the index cocycle of $R$ is normal in the sense of [6].

The theorem may be generalized to nonergodic subrelations $R$ of $S$ at the expense of replacing the group $G$ of iii) by a discrete measured ergodic groupoid $\mathcal{G}$. The group $G$ or groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ is termed the quotient of $S$ by $R$, and it is routine to see that any discrete
group or groupoid can occur as a quotient; we should also note that the "quotient relations" of [4] are a special case of our construction.

It is easy to see where the group $G$ of 2.2.iii) comes from: for $j, k \in J$ and $x \in X$, define $j^x k = l$ to mean $R(\phi_j (\phi_k (x))) = R(\phi_l (x))$ where $\{\phi_j\}$ are "choice functions as in 2.2.ii). Since $j^x k = y^x k$ for $(x, y) \in R$ (as $\phi_j \in \text{End}(R)$ for all $j$) and since $R$ is ergodic $j^x k$ is (essentially) independent of $x$, and provides a group law on $J$.

The group $G$ is of course the same as that provided by Zimmer's theory of normal extensions.

Theorem 2.2 has some surprising consequences for group actions.

**COROLLARY 2.3** Let $H_j$ be discrete groups with normal subgroups $N_j$, and suppose $H_j$ acts freely on $(X_j, \mu_j)$ with $\mu_j$ finite and invariant, and with $N_j$ acting ergodically (j=1,2). Then, if there is a measure space isomorphism of $(X_1, \mu_1)$ with $(X_2, \mu_2)$ carrying $H_1$-orbits to $H_2$-orbits and $N_1$-orbits to $N_2$ orbits, we have $H_1/N_1$ isomorphic with $H_2/N_2$.

Note that if $R$ is normal in $S$ and ergodic the quotient group $G$ "acts" as endomorphisms of $R$; in the notation of Theorem 2.2. we may choose $\phi_g \in \text{End}(R)$, $g \in G$ such that $\theta(\phi_g x, x) = g$ for all $x \in X$ and $g \in G$, and we have $(\phi_g \phi_h(x), \phi_{gh}(x)) \in R$ for all $x \in X$. It is routine to show that we may assume $\phi_g$ is invertible for each $g$ (although this may fail if $R$ is not ergodic - see [4]). Furthermore, we have
THEOREM 2.4 [2] Suppose $R$ is normal in $S$ and ergodic with the quotient group $G$ being amenable. Then there is a homomorphism $g \in G \rightarrow \phi_g \in \text{Aut}(R)$ such that $\theta(\phi_g x, x) = g$ for all $g \in G$.

§3 CLASSIFICATION RESULTS

In this section, $S$ on $(X, \mu)$ denotes the hyperfinite relation of type $\text{III}_1$ (c.f. [4]); Our objective is to classify (some of) the subrelations $R$ of $S$.

THEOREM 3.1 [2] Let $S$ on $(X, \mu)$ be as above.

a) There is a bijective correspondence between ergodic (not necessarily normal) subrelations of $S$ (up to automorphisms of $S$) of finite index $N$ and conjugacy classes of transitive subgroups of the symmetric group $\Sigma_N$ on $N$ symbols.

b) There is a bijective correspondence between ergodic normal subrelations $R$ of $S$ (up to automorphisms of $S$) and (isomorphism classes of) countable amenable groups.

§4 RIGIDITY RESULTS

Throughout this section $H$ will denote a connected, non-compact simple Lie group with finite centre, and $\Gamma \subset H$ will denote a lattice (see [7] for definitions and discussion).

THEOREM 4.1 ([2]) For $j = 1, 2$, Let $S_j$ on $(X_j, \mu_j)$ be a $\text{II}_1$ ergodic relation with normal ergodic subrelation $R_j$. Suppose that the quotient groups $S_j/R_j$ are amenable, that $R_j$ is generated by a free action of a lattice $\Gamma_j$ in $H_j$, and that $R$-rank $H_j \geq 2$. Then if $S_1$ is isomorphic to $S_2$, $H_1$ is locally isomorphic with $H_2$. 
THEOREM 4.2 [2] Let $\Gamma \subset H$ be a lattice and let $S$ be the equivalence relation generated by a free $\text{II}_1$ ergodic action of $\Gamma$ and suppose $R$-rank $H \geq 2$. Then if $R \subset S$ is normal and ergodic, $R$ has finite index in $S$.

THEOREM 4.3 [2] Let $\Gamma \subset H$ be a lattice (with no rank assumption on $H$) and let $S$ be the equivalence relation generated by a free ergodic $\text{II}_1$-action of $\Gamma$. Then, if $R \subset S$ is amenable and strongly normal, $R$ is finite (i.e. $R(x)$ is finite for each $x$).

The same conclusion as in Theorem 4.3 holds if $\Gamma$ is the fundamental group of a complete Riemannian manifold with sectional curvature $k$ satisfying $k \leq c < 0$ for some constant $c$. In particular, it holds for free groups.

In Theorem 4.3, $R$ being strongly normal means that $(g \in [S] : g$ normalizes $R)$ generates $S$. Strong normality implies normality in general; the converse is true for ergodic subrelations, but not in general (c.f. [4]).

§5 COMMENTS

Many ergodic equivalence relations (but not all) admit normal, ergodic, amenable subrelations, and hence maximal such ones. While these maximal, normal, ergodic, amenable subrelations are not unique, we know of no example of an equivalence relation $\xi$ with maximal, normal, ergodic, amenable subrelations which are not conjugate via automorphisms of $S$.

Finally, we should note there is a strong connection between subrelations and pairs of von Neumann algebras $(M,N)$ with $M \subset N$. This aspect will be discussed in [5].
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