

A QUALITATIVE UNCERTAINTY PRINCIPLE FOR  
 LOCALLY COMPACT ABELIAN GROUPS

*Jeffrey A. Hogan*

1. INTRODUCTION

It has long been known that if a function  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and the supports of  $f$  and its Fourier transform  $\hat{f}$  are contained in bounded rectangles, then  $f = 0$  almost everywhere. In 1974, Benedicks [2] strengthened this result by showing that the supports of  $f$  and  $\hat{f}$  having finite measure is sufficient to imply that  $f = 0$  almost everywhere. Amrein and Berthier [1] reached the same conclusion in 1977 using Hilbert space methods. This result may be thought of as a qualitative uncertainty principle since it limits the "concentration" of the Fourier transform pair  $(f, \hat{f})$ . Little is known, however, of analogous behaviour for functions on locally compact abelian (LCA) groups.

Let  $G$  be an LCA group with dual group  $\Gamma$ . Equip  $G$  with a Haar measure  $m_G$ . If  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $f \in L^1(G)$ , the Fourier transform  $\hat{f}$  of  $f$  is given by

$$\hat{f}(\gamma) = \int_G f(x) \overline{\gamma(x)} \, dm_G(x).$$

We choose a Haar measure  $m_\Gamma$  on  $\Gamma$  for which the Plancherel identity is valid.

If  $G$  is compact, then  $\Gamma$  is discrete and we insist  $m_G(G) = 1$ . With this convention,  $m_\Gamma(\{0\}) = 1$ .

If  $f, g$  are measurable functions on  $G$ , we define their convolution  $f * g$  by

$$f * g(x) = \int_G f(xy^{-1})g(y)dm_G(y)$$

whenever the integral exists. With this notation,

$$(f * g)^\wedge(\gamma) = \hat{f}(\gamma)\hat{g}(\gamma) \quad (\gamma \in \Gamma).$$

For  $f \in L^2(G)$ , let  $A_f = \{x \in G; |f(x)| > 0\}$  and  $B_f = \{\gamma \in \Gamma; |\hat{f}(\gamma)| > 0\}$ . In 1973, Matolcsi and Szücs [5] showed that for all LCA groups  $G$ ,  $m_G(A_f)m_\Gamma(B_f) < 1 \Rightarrow f = 0$   $m_G$  - a.e.

We say that an LCA group  $G$  satisfies the qualitative uncertainty principle (QUP) if, for each  $f \in L^2(G)$ ,

$$m_G(A_f) < m_G(G), m_\Gamma(B_f) < m_\Gamma(\Gamma) \Rightarrow f = 0 \text{ } m_G \text{ - a.e.}$$

We show that the satisfaction (or otherwise) of the QUP is determined by the "level of connectedness" of the group  $G$ .

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space with inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  (the set of bounded linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ ). Let  $\{\phi_k\}$  be a complete orthonormal set in  $\mathcal{H}$ . We define the Hilbert-Schmidt norm  $\|T\|_2$  of  $T$  by

$$\|T\|_2^2 = \sum_k \|T\phi_k\|^2 = \sum_k \sum_j |(T\phi_k, \phi_j)|^2.$$

We say  $T$  is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator if  $\|T\|_2 < \infty$ . Suppose  $t \in L^2(X \times X)$  where  $X$  is a measure space with measure  $dx$ . Define an operator  $T$  on  $L^2(X)$  by

$$(T\phi)(y) = \int_X t(x, y)\phi(x)dx \quad (y \in X).$$

Then  $T \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(X))$  and

$$(1.1) \quad \|T\| \leq \|T\|_2 = \|t\|_{L^2(X \times X)} < \infty,$$

where  $\|T\|$  denotes the usual operator norm of  $T$ .

Now let  $E$  and  $F$  be orthogonal projections on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let  $E \cap F$  denote the unique orthogonal projection onto  $\mathcal{H}_1$ , the intersection of the ranges of  $E$  and  $F$ .  $\mathcal{H}$  then decomposes as  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_1^\perp$  where  $\mathcal{H}_1^\perp = \{\psi \in \mathcal{H}; (\psi, \phi) = 0 \text{ for all } \phi \in \mathcal{H}_1\}$ . Choose complete orthonormal bases  $\{\phi_k\}$  for  $\mathcal{H}_1$  and  $\{\psi_j\}$  for  $\mathcal{H}_1^\perp$ . Then  $\{\phi_k\} \cup \{\psi_j\}$  form a complete orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{H}$ . Also, for each  $k$  and  $j$ ,  $(E \cap F)\phi_k = \phi_k$  and  $(E \cap F)\psi_j = 0$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} \|E \cap F\|_2^2 &= \sum_k \|(E \cap F)\phi_k\|^2 + \sum_j \|(E \cap F)\psi_j\|^2 \\ &= \sum_k \|\phi_k\|^2 \\ &= \dim \mathcal{H}_1. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose  $\phi \in \mathcal{H}_1$ . Then  $E\phi = F\phi = \phi = (EF)\phi$ . In particular,  $(EF)\phi_k = \phi_k$  for each  $k$ . Therefore,

$$(1.2) \quad \|E \cap F\|_2 \leq \|EF\|_2.$$

If  $E$  is a measurable subset of an LCA group  $G$ , we denote its characteristic function by  $\chi_E$  and its complement by  $E'$  or  $G \setminus E$ . If  $H$  is a closed subgroup of  $G$ , the annihilator  $A(H)$  of  $H$ , is that closed subgroup of  $\Gamma$  defined by

$$A(H) = \{\gamma \in \Gamma, \gamma(h) = 1 \text{ for all } h \in H\}.$$

## 2. RESULTS

**PROPOSITION 1** *Let  $G$  be an LCA group with non-compact identity component  $G_0$ . Let  $m_G$  denote Haar measure on  $G$  and  $C$  be a measurable subset of  $G$  with  $0 < m_G(C) < \infty$ . If  $C_0 \subseteq C$  ( $m_G(C_0) > 0$ ) and  $\varepsilon > 0$  are given, then there exists  $a \in G_0$  such that*

$$m_G(C) < m_G(C \cup aC_0) < m_G(C) + \varepsilon.$$

**Proof** Define  $h: G_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  ( $= \{y \in \mathbb{R}; y \geq 0\}$ ) by  $h(a) = m_G(C \cup aC_0)$ .

Then  $h$  may also be written as

$$h(a) = \|L(a)\chi_{C_0} - \chi_C\|_2^2 + (L(a)\chi_{C_0}, \chi_C)$$

where we have made use of the continuous unitary representation  $L$  of  $G$  on  $L^2(G)$  given by  $(L(a)f)(x) = f(a^{-1}x)$ . The strong continuity of the representation  $L$  implies the continuity of  $h$  on  $G_0$ .

Choose  $\delta$  such that  $0 < 2\delta < m_G(C_0)$ . By the regularity of Haar measure, there exists a compact set  $K$ ,  $K \subseteq C$ , with  $m_G(C \setminus K) < \delta$ . Let  $M = KK^{-1}$ , a compact subset of  $G$ .  $M \cap G_0$  is then either compact in  $G_0$  or empty and since  $G_0$  is not compact, we may choose  $a \in G_0 \setminus (M \cap G_0)$ . With this choice of  $a$ ,  $aK \cap K$  is empty and so,

$$\begin{aligned} aC_0 \cap K &= a((C_0 \cap K) \cup (C_0 \cap K')) \cap K \\ &= (aC_0 \cap aK \cap K) \cup (aC_0 \cap aK' \cap K) \\ &= aC_0 \cap aK' \cap K \\ &\subseteq a(C \cap K'). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(2.1) \quad m_G(aC_0 \cap K) \leq m_G(C \cap K') < \delta.$$

Then,  $h(a) = m_G(C \cup aC_0)$

$$\begin{aligned} &= m_G((C \cap K) \cup (C \cap K') \cup (aC_0 \cap K) \cup (aC_0 \cap K')) \\ &\geq m_G((C \cap K) \cup (aC_0 \cap K')) \\ &= m_G(C \cap K) + m_G(aC_0 \cap K') \\ &= m_G(C) - m_G(C \cap K') + m_G(aC_0) - m_G(aC_0 \cap K) \\ &> m_G(C) + m_G(aC_0) - 2\delta \end{aligned}$$

(by (2.1) and the choice of  $K$ )

$$> m_G(C) = h(0)$$

(by the choice of  $\delta$ ).

So  $h$  is a non-constant continuous function on the connected set  $G_0$ .

We may then choose  $a \in G_0$  with

$$m_G(C) = h(0) < h(a) = m_G(C \cup aC_0) < h(0) + \varepsilon = m_G(C) + \varepsilon. \quad \square$$

Now let  $G$  be an LCA group with dual group  $\Gamma$  and suitably normalized Haar measures  $m_G, m_\Gamma$  on  $G, \Gamma$  respectively. Let  $A \subseteq G$  and  $B \subseteq \Gamma$  be measurable subsets with  $m_G(A)m_\Gamma(B) < \infty$ . Define projections  $E_A$  and  $F_B$  on  $L^2(G)$  by

$$(E_A f)(x) = \chi_A(x)f(x)$$

(2.2)

$$(F_B f)(x) = (\chi_B \hat{f})^\vee(x) = \chi_B^\vee * f(x)$$

where  $\vee$  denotes the inverse Fourier transform. With this notation, a non-compact LCA group  $G$  satisfies the QUP if, for all such subsets  $A$  and  $B$ ,  $(E_A \cap F_B)L^2(G) = \{0\}$ .

**THEOREM 1** *If  $G$  is an LCA group with non-compact identity component, then  $G$  satisfies the QUP.*

*Proof* Suppose  $f_0 \in (E_A \cap F_B)L^2(G)$  and  $f_0 \neq 0$ .

Let  $A_0 = \{x \in G; |f_0(x)| > 0\}$  ( $m_G(A_0) > 0$ ). Choose  $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  ( $= \{n \in \mathbb{Z}; n > 0\}$ ) with  $2m_G(A_0)m_\Gamma(B) < N$ . We define a sequence of measurable sets  $\{A_i; 1 \leq i \leq N\}$ ,  $A_i \subseteq A_{i+1}$ , by applying Proposition 1 with  $\epsilon = 1/(2m_\Gamma(B))$ ,  $C = A_{i-1}$ ,  $C_0 = A_0$ . For each  $i$ , choose  $a_i \in G_0$  with

$$m_G(A_{i-1}) < m_G(A_{i-1} \cup a_i A_0) < m_G(A_{i-1}) + 1/(2m_\Gamma(B))$$

and set  $A_i = A_{i-1} \cup a_i A_0$ . A simple calculation shows

$$(2.3) \quad (E_{A_i} F_B f)(x) = \int_G \chi_{A_i}(x) \chi_B^\vee(xy^{-1}) f(y) dm_G(y)$$

and so, by (1.1),

$$(2.4) \quad \|E_{A_i} F_B f\|_2^2 = \int_G \int_G |\chi_{A_i}(x) \chi_B^\vee(xy^{-1})|^2 dm_G(y) dm_G(x) \\ = m_G(A_i) m_\Gamma(B).$$

Therefore, by (1.2) and (2.4),

$$(2.5) \quad \dim(E_{A_N} \cap F_B)L^2(G) \leq m_G(A_N) m_\Gamma(B) \\ < [m_G(A_0) + \frac{N}{2m_\Gamma(B)}] m_\Gamma(B)$$

< N

(by our choice of N). Define  $f_i = L(a_i)f_0$ , so that  $(f_i)^\wedge(\gamma) = \overline{\gamma(a_i)}\hat{f}_0(\gamma)$  ( $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ). Then  $F_B f_i = f_i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq N$ ). Since  $A_m = A_0 \cup a_1 A_0 \cup \dots \cup a_m A_0$  and  $f_i = 0$   $m_G$ -a.e. on  $(a_i A_0)'$ , we see that  $E_{A_m} f_i = f_i$  for  $0 \leq i \leq m$ . Further  $E_{A_m \setminus A_{m-1}} f_i = 0$  for  $0 \leq i \leq m-1$  and  $E_{A_m \setminus A_{m-1}} f_m \neq 0$ . Therefore,  $f_m$  is not a linear combination of  $f_0, \dots, f_{m-1}$  and so  $\{f_0, \dots, f_N\}$  is a set of  $N + 1$  linearly independent functions in  $(E_{A_N} \cap F_B)L^2(G)$ , thus contradicting (2.5). We conclude that  $(E_A \cap F_B)L^2(G) = \{0\}$ . □

A simple argument extends this result to functions in  $L^p(G)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ .

**COROLLARY 1** Let  $G, \Gamma, A, B$  be as above and  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . If  $f \in L^p(G)$ ,  $f(x) = 0$   $m_G$ -a.e. on  $A'$  and  $\hat{f}(\gamma) = 0$   $m_\Gamma$ -a.e. on  $B'$ , then  $f = 0$   $m_G$ -a.e.

**Proof** If  $f \in L^p(G)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  and  $f(x) = 0$   $m_G$ -a.e. on  $A'$ , then  $f \in L^1(G)$  since

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_1 &= \int_G |f(x)| \chi_A(x) dm_G(x) \\ &\leq \|f\|_p \|\chi_A\|_{p'} < \infty \end{aligned}$$

(by Hölder's inequality with  $p' = p/(p-1)$  for  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $1' = \infty$  and  $\infty' = 1$ ). So  $\hat{f} \in L^\infty(\Gamma)$  and  $f \in L^2(G)$  since

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_2^2 &= \|\hat{f}\|_2^2 = \int_\Gamma |\hat{f}(\gamma)|^2 \chi_B(\gamma) dm_\Gamma(\gamma) \\ &\leq \|\hat{f}\|_\infty^2 m_\Gamma(B) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Theorem 1 we see that  $f = 0$   $m_G$ -a.e. □

Restricting attention for the moment to non-compact groups, we might ask whether the conditions given in Theorem 1 for the QUP to be satisfied are necessary, i.e., does there exist a non-compact group  $G$  with compact identity component  $G_0$  that satisfies the QUP?

**THEOREM 2** *Let  $G$  be a non-compact LCA group with compact identity component  $G_0$ . Then the QUP is violated.*

**Proof** The quotient group  $G/G_0$  is totally disconnected and therefore has a compact open subgroup  $K$ . Let  $\pi: G \rightarrow G/G_0$  be the natural homomorphism.  $\pi$  is continuous and open and there exists a compact open subset  $C$  of  $G$  such that  $\pi(C) = K$ . (See [7], App. B6, A7.) Then  $G_1 = \pi^{-1}(K) = CG_0$  is a compact open subgroup of  $G$ . Let  $m_G(G_1) = \alpha > 0$ . If  $\mu_{G_1}$  is the restriction of  $m_G$  to  $G_1$  then  $m_{G_1} = \alpha^{-1}\mu_{G_1}$  is a Haar measure on  $G_1$  for which  $m_{G_1}(G_1) = 1$ . Let  $f = \chi_{G_1}$ . Then

$$\|f\|_2^2 = \int_G |\chi_{G_1}(x)|^2 dm_G(x) = m_G(G_1) = \alpha$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f}(\gamma) &= \int_G \chi_{G_1}(x) \overline{\gamma(x)} dm_G(x) \\ &= \int_{G_1} \overline{\gamma(x)} \alpha dm_{G_1}(x) \\ &= \alpha \chi_{A(G_1)}(\gamma). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then, } \|\hat{f}\|_2^2 &= \int_{\Gamma} |\alpha \chi_{A(G_1)}(\gamma)|^2 dm_{\Gamma}(\gamma) \\ &= \alpha^2 m_{\Gamma}(A(G_1)). \end{aligned}$$

By Plancherel's theorem,  $\alpha = \alpha^2 m_{\Gamma}(A(G_1))$ , so  $m_{\Gamma}(A(G_1)) = \alpha^{-1}$ . For this function  $f$ ,  $A_f = G_1$ ,  $B_f = A(G_1)$  and so  $m_G(A_f) m_{\Gamma}(B_f) = \alpha \alpha^{-1} = 1$ , hence the QUP is violated.  $\square$

A compact group  $G$  satisfies the QUP if, for each  $f \in L^2(G)$ ,

$$m_G(A_f) < 1, m_{\Gamma}(B_f) < \infty \Rightarrow f = 0 \text{ } m_G\text{-a.e.}$$

Once again, the connectedness of the group  $G$  determines whether or not the QUP is satisfied.

**THEOREM 3** *If  $G$  is a compact abelian group, the QUP is satisfied iff  $G$  is connected.*

**Proof** First note that those functions  $f \in L^2(G)$  satisfying  $m_\Gamma(B_f) < \infty$  are the trigonometric polynomials, and it is well known that if  $G$  is connected, the only such function  $f$  satisfying  $m_G(A_f) < 1$  is  $f = 0$ .

Now suppose  $G$  has identity component  $G_0 \subsetneq G$ . There exists an open subgroup  $H$  with  $G_0 \subset H \subsetneq G$ . Since  $H$  is open, it is also closed and  $0 < m_G(H) < 1$ . Let  $f = \chi_H$ . Then as before,  $\|f\|_2^2 = m_G(H)$ ,  $A_f = H$  and  $\hat{f}(\gamma) = m_G(H)\chi_{A(H)}(\gamma)$ . So  $B_f = A(H)$  and the Plancherel theorem then implies  $m_\Gamma(B_f) = m_G(H)^{-1} < \infty$ , so the QUP is violated.  $\square$

A pair of projections  $P, Q$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  have numerical range defined by

$$\text{num ran}(P, Q) = \{(x, y) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1] ; x = \|Pf\|^2, y = \|Qf\|^2 \text{ for some } f \in \mathcal{H}, \|f\| = 1\}.$$

For general Hilbert spaces  $\mathcal{H}$  and projections  $P, Q$ ,  $\text{num ran}(P, Q)$  was studied extensively by Lenard in [4]. If  $G$  is an LCA group satisfying the QUP and  $F_B, E_A$  are projections on  $L^2(G)$  defined by (2.2), a closer examination of the operator  $F_B E_A$  allows a fairly complete description of  $\text{num ran}(E_A, F_B)$  - the only ambiguity being the delicate question of whether the points  $(0, 1)$  and  $(1, 0)$  lie in  $\text{num ran}(E_A, F_B)$ . The form of the numerical range (in particular, the fact that it is

bounded away from  $(1,1)$  furnishes a more quantitative uncertainty principle that that established here. The case  $G = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $A = [-1,1]$ ,  $B = [-1,1]$  is treated in [3] and [4].

The same analysis gives some familiar results related to the space of bandlimited functions, i.e. those functions in the range of  $F_B$ .

The techniques used in this paper (in particular, the proof of Theorem 1) may be applied to give a QUP on a fairly broad class of locally compact (not necessarily abelian) groups, similar to the type considered in [6].

#### REFERENCES

- [1] W.O. Amrein and A.M. Berthier, On Support properties of  $L^p$ -functions and their Fourier transforms, *J. Functional Anal.* 24 (1977) 258-267.
- [2] M. Benedicks, On Fourier transforms of functions supported on sets of finite Lebesgue measure, *J. Math. Anal. App.* 106 (1985) 180-183.
- [3] H.J. Landau and H.O. Pollak, Prolate spheroidal wave functions, Fourier analysis and uncertainty - II, *Bell Syst. Tech. Jour.* 40 (1961) 65-84.
- [4] A. Lenard, The numerical range of a pair of projections, *J. Functional Anal.* 10 (1972) 410-423.
- [5] T. Matolsi and J. Szücs, Intersection des mesures spectrales conjuguées, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. A* 277 (1973) 841-843.
- [6] J.F. Price and A. Sitaram, Functions and their Fourier transforms with supports of finite measure for certain locally compact groups, *J. Functional Anal.* (to appear).

- [7] W. Rudin, *Fourier analysis on groups*, Interscience, New York, London, 1967.

School of Mathematics  
University of New South Wales  
P.O. Box 1  
KENSINGTON NSW 2033 AUSTRALIA