

SINGULAR INTEGRALS ON BMO

Douglas S. Kurtz

Let f be a locally integrable function on \mathbb{R}^n . We say f has bounded mean oscillation, $f \in \text{BMO}$, if

$$(1) \quad \sup_B \inf_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |f(y) - c| dy < +\infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all balls $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Identifying functions which differ by an additive constant a.e. makes BMO a Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|_{\text{BMO}}$ equal to the left hand side of (1). Note that L^∞ is a proper subset of BMO, since $\log|x| \in \text{BMO}$.

Let K be a locally integrable function on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ such that $Tf(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{\{|y| > \epsilon\}} K(y)f(x-y)dy$ is a bounded operator on L^2 . We say K satisfies condition H_r , $1 \leq r < \infty$, if there is a non-decreasing function s on $(0,1)$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} s(2^{-j}) < +\infty$ and

$$\left[\int_{\{x: R < |x| < 2R\}} |K(x-y) - K(x)|^r dx \right]^{1/r} \leq s\left(\frac{|y|}{R}\right) R^{-n/r'}, \text{ for } |y| < R/2.$$

Define H_∞ by the obvious modification.

If $f \in L^\infty$ is supported on a set of finite measure and $K \in H_1$, then Tf exists a.e. (i.e., the limit exists and is finite), $Tf \in \text{BMO}$, and $\|Tf\|_{\text{BMO}} \leq C\|f\|_{\text{BMO}}$ [2]. On the other hand, if f is merely bounded, then without a suitable modification Tf may fail to exist on a set of positive measure. For example, if $f(x) = \chi_E(x)$ is the characteristic function of $E = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n: x_i > 0, i=1, \dots, n\}$, then the Riesz transforms of

f , defined by the kernels $K_j(x) = \frac{x_j}{|x|^{n+1}}$, $j=1, \dots, n$, are infinite a.e.

Let $I(x)$ be a constant function on \mathbb{R}^n . We say $K \in H_r^+$, $1 \leq r \leq \infty$, if $K \in H_r$, $T_I = 0$, and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j s(2^{-j}) < +\infty$.

THEOREM: Suppose $K \in H_r^+$, $1 < r \leq \infty$, and $f \in \text{BMO}$. Either Tf fails to exist almost everywhere or $Tf \in \text{BMO}$ and

$$\|Tf\|_{\text{BMO}} \leq C \|f\|_{\text{BMO}}.$$

The constant C is independent of f .

Given $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\delta > 0$, set $B(x, \delta) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n: |x-y| \leq \delta\}$. For $B = B(x, \delta)$, let $f_B = \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B f(y) dy$. The proof of the theorem is based on the following lemma. (See [4].)

LEMMA: Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. There is a constant C depending on n and p so that for $f \in \text{BMO}$, $B = B(x, \delta)$, and $k \geq 1$,

$$\left[\int_{B(x, 2^k \delta)} |f(y) - f_B|^p dy \right]^{1/p} \leq C k (2^k \delta)^{n/p} \|f\|_{\text{BMO}}.$$

We now sketch a proof of the theorem. (See [6,4].) Suppose $E = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n: Tf(x) \text{ exists}\}$ has positive measure. Let x_0 be a point of density of E and $\delta > 0$. Set $B = B(x_0, \delta)$ and $\check{B} = B(x_0, 4\delta)$. Write $f(x) = f_B + \left[f(x) - f_B \right] \chi_{\check{B}}(x) + \left[f(x) - f_B \right] \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \check{B}}(x) = f_B + g_B(x) + h_B(x)$. Since f_B is constant, $Tf_B = 0$. By the lemma, $g_B \in L^2$ and

$$(2) \quad \int_B |Tg_B(y)| dy \leq |B|^{1/2} \|Tg_B\|_2 \leq C_1 |B|^{1/2} \|g_B\|_2 \leq C_2 |B| \|f\|_{\text{BMO}}.$$

It follows that Tg_B exists a.e. so that Tf exists at almost every point such that

Since x_0 is a point of density of E and Tg_B exists a.e., there is a point $y_0 \in B(x_0, \delta)$ such that $Th_B(y_0) = Tf(y_0) - Tg_B(y_0)$ exists. Suppose $x \in B$. Set $A_j = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^n: 2^j \delta < |x_0 - z| \leq 2^{j+1} \delta\}$. By the lemma, since $K \in H_r^+$ and $|x - y_0| \leq 2\delta$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3) \quad |Th_B(x) - Th_B(y_0)| &\leq \int |K(x-z) - K(y_0-z)| |h_B(z)| dz \\
 &= \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \int_{A_j} |K(x-z) - K(y_0-z)| |f(z) - f_B| dz \\
 &\leq \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \left[\int_{A_j} |K(x-z) - K(y_0-z)|^r dz \right]^{1/r} \left[\int_{B(x_0, 2^{j+1} \delta)} |f(z) - f_B|^{r'} dz \right]^{1/r'} \\
 &\leq C \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} s \left[\frac{|x - y_0|}{2^j \delta} \right] (2^j \delta)^{-n/r'} j (2^{j+1} \delta)^{n/r'} \|f\|_{BMO} \\
 &\leq C' \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} s(2^{-j}) j \|f\|_{BMO} = C'' \|f\|_{BMO}.
 \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence of (3), Th_B exists a.e. in B , which implies Tf exists a.e. in B . By considering only $B(x_0, \delta)$ with δ a positive integer, it follows that Tf exists a.e. in \mathbb{R}^n .

To show $\|Tf\|_{BMO} \leq C \|f\|_{BMO}$, fix $B = B(x, \delta)$ and choose y_0 as before. By (2) and (3),

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |Tf(y) - Th_B(y_0)| dy &\leq \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |Tg_B(y)| dy + \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |Th_B(y) - Th_B(y_0)| dy \\
 &\leq C \|f\|_{BMO}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since B was arbitrary, we see that $Tf \in BMO$ and $\|Tf\|_{BMO} \leq C \|f\|_{BMO}$.

Let $\Sigma_{n-1} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n: |x|=1\}$ and ρ be a rotation of Σ_{n-1} with $|\rho| = \sup_{x \in \Sigma_{n-1}} |x - \rho x|$. Suppose $K(x) = \frac{\Omega(x)}{|x|^n}$, where Ω is homogeneous of degree 0 and $\int_{\Sigma_{n-1}} \Omega(x) d\sigma(x) = 0$. Let ω_r be the L^r modulus of continuity of Ω on Σ_{n-1} , $\omega_r(\delta) = \sup_{|\rho| \leq \delta} \left[\int_{\Sigma_{n-1}} |\Omega(x) - \Omega(\rho x)|^r d\sigma(x) \right]^{1/r}$. (For $r=\infty$, use the L^∞ norm). Then $K \in H_r^+$ if $\int_0^1 \frac{\omega_r(\delta) \ln \delta}{\delta} d\delta < +\infty$. (This is a slightly stronger condition than the L^r -Dini condition, which implies $K \in H_r$.) In particular, if $\Omega \in \text{Lip}(\alpha)$, $\alpha > 0$,

$$|\Omega(x) - \Omega(y)| \leq C |x - y|^\alpha,$$

then $\Omega \in H_\infty^+$. Thus, the Riesz transforms satisfy the theorem.

REFERENCES

1. C. Fefferman and E.M. Stein, H^p spaces of several variables, *Acta Math.* **129** (1972), 137–193.
2. J. García-Cuerva and J.L. Rubio de Francia, *Weighted norm inequalities and related topics*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1985.
3. F. John and L. Nirenberg, On functions of bounded mean oscillation, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **14** (1961), 415–216.
4. D.S. Kurtz, Littlewood–Paley operators on BMO, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **99** (1987), 657–666.
5. X. Shi and A. Torchinsky (oral communication)
6. S. Wang, Some properties of the Littlewood–Paley g -function, *Contem. Math.* **42** (1985), 191–202.

Department of Mathematical Sciences
New Mexico State University
Las Cruces NM 88003 USA