

## MONOTONE OPERATORS AND OBSTACLE PROBLEMS

*J.H. Michael*

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open set in  $R^n$  and let  $\psi$  be a continuous function on  $\partial\Omega$  (the boundary of  $\Omega$ ). Consider first of all the following problem.

Does there exist a continuous function  $u$  on the closure  $\bar{\Omega}$  of  $\Omega$ , agreeing with  $\psi$  on  $\partial\Omega$ ,  $C''$  on  $\Omega$  and satisfying the non-linear partial differential equation

$$(1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} a_i(x, u(x), Du(x)) - b(x, u(x), Du(x)) = 0$$

for  $x \in \Omega$ . The  $a_i$  and  $b$  are given functions.

If the  $a_i$  and  $b$  are sufficiently smooth and satisfy an ellipticity condition as well as certain growth conditions and if  $\partial\Omega$  is sufficiently smooth, then such a function  $u$  is known to exist. One way of proving this is to use fixed point theory.

When such a function  $u$  exists and  $\phi$  is a suitably smooth function, vanishing on  $\partial\Omega$ , then repeated integration and integration by parts gives

$$(2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega} a_i(x, u, Du) \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i} dx + \int_{\Omega} b(x, u, Du) \phi dx = 0.$$

Equation (2) still makes sense when the  $a_i$ ,  $b$  and  $u$  are less smooth.  $u$  is called a weak solution when (2) holds for all  $\phi$ .

When showing that a weak solution exists (under appropriate conditions on  $a_i$ ,  $b$  and  $\partial\Omega$ ), fixed point theory does not seem to work. But a valuable tool is provided by the theory of monotone and pseudo-monotone operators. This theory is based on the following two results in  $R^n$ .

(A) The Brouwer fixed point theorem.

(B) If  $K$  is a compact convex, non-empty subset of  $R^n$  and  $x \in R^n \sim K$ , then there is a  $y \in K$ , such that

$$(u - y) \cdot (y - x) \geq 0$$

for all  $u \in K$ .

The theory of monotone operators was begun by George Minty in 1962 and since then has been considerably developed and expanded into the theory of pseudo-monotone operators. The theory is fairly easily developed from (A) and (B) but applying it to problems like (2) requires quite a lot of hard work. It was not easy, however, to develop the theory in such a way that it could be applied extensively.

The theory has such wider applications than to problems like (2). If we put

$$(3) \quad \alpha = \inf \int_{\Omega} |Dv(x)|^2 dx = \inf \int_{\Omega} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i}(x) \right)^2 \right] dx,$$

where the infimum is taken over the set  $E$  of all Sobolev functions on  $\Omega$ , agreeing with  $\psi$  on  $\partial\Omega$  in a suitable way and if we assume that  $E \neq \emptyset$ , then it is well-known that there exists a  $u \in E$ , such that

$$(4) \quad \int_{\Omega} |Du(x)|^2 dx = \alpha$$

and that

$$(5) \quad \Delta u(x) = 0; \text{ i.e. } \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i^2}(x) = 0$$

for  $x \in \Omega$ .

Now let  $\theta$  be a function defined on a non-empty subset  $F$  of  $\Omega$ . Put

$$(6) \quad \beta = \inf \int_{\Omega} |Dv(x)|^2 dx,$$

where now the infimum is taken over the set  $E^*$  of all Sobolev functions  $v$ , agreeing with  $\psi$  on  $\partial\Omega$  in a suitable way and such that

$$(7) \quad v(x) \geq \theta(x)$$

for all  $x \in F$  (except for certain exceptional values of  $x$ , which will be specified later).

This is a simple example of an obstacle problem. If one assumes that  $E^* \neq \emptyset$ , then one can show that there exists a  $u \in E^*$ , with

$$(8) \quad \int_{\Omega} |Du(x)|^2 dx = \beta.$$

But in this case,  $u$  does not satisfy an equation, not even weakly. An elementary argument shows that

$$(9) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}(x) \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i}(x) dx \geq 0$$

for all Sobolev functions  $\phi$  on  $\Omega$  with  $\phi = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$  (i.e.  $\phi \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ ) and with

$$(10) \quad \phi(x) \geq \theta(x) - u(x)$$

for all  $x \in F$  (excluding the exceptional values).

(9) is a simple example of a variational inequality. Variational inequalities can come from many sources other than obstacle problems.

## 2. GENERAL OBSTACLE PROBLEMS

Let  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  be real numbers, such that  $\alpha > 1$  and  $\sup\{\alpha - 1, 1\} < \gamma < \alpha$ . Let  $a_i$  and  $b$  be functions on  $\Omega \times R \times R^n$ , which satisfy the following conditions.

(i) Each  $a_i$  and  $b$  is a Caratheodory function; i.e., for almost all  $x \in \Omega$ ,  $a_i(x, \cdot, \cdot)$  and  $b(x, \cdot, \cdot)$  are continuous on  $R \times R^n$ , while for all  $z \in R$  and  $p \in R^n$ ,  $a_i(\cdot, z, p)$  and  $b(\cdot, z, p)$  are measurable on  $\Omega$ .

(ii) There exists a constant  $\mu > 0$  and such that

$$(11) \quad |a(x, z, p)| \leq \mu|p|^{\alpha-1} + \mu|z|^{\gamma-1} + \mu,$$

$$(12) \quad |b(x, z, p)| \leq \mu|p|^{\alpha-1} + \mu|z|^{\alpha-1} + \mu$$

and

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} p \cdot a(x, z, p) + zb(x, z, p) \\ \geq |p|^{\alpha} - \mu|z|^{\gamma} - \mu \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in \Omega$ ,  $z \in R$  and  $p \in R^n$ .

(iii)  $[a(x, z, p) - a(x, z, q)] \cdot (p - q) > 0$  for all  $z \in \Omega$ ,  $z \in R$  and  $p, q \in R^n$  with  $p \neq q$ .

Consider the following general obstacle problem.

Does there exist a  $u \in W^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$  agreeing with  $\psi$  on  $\partial\Omega$  in some way, with  $u(x) \geq \theta(x)$  for  $x \in F$  (excluding the exceptional values) and such that

$$(14) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega} a_i(x, u(x), b(x)) \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i}(x) dx + \int_{\Omega} b(x, u(x), Du(x)) \phi(x) dx \geq 0$$

for all  $\phi \in W_0^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$  with

$$(15) \quad \phi(x) \geq \theta(x) - u(x)$$

for all  $x \in F$  (excluding the exceptional values).

If the inequality (14) comes from a variational problem, then the existence of  $u$ ; can be proved by variational methods as described earlier. If it does not, then under certain assumptions on  $\theta, \psi$  and  $\partial\Omega$ , monotone or pseudo-monotone operators may be used.

First of all, one must decide in what sense the solution is required to be  $\geq \theta$ . The solution will be a Sobolev function and these are defined almost everywhere, so one is tempted to say  $u(x) \geq \theta(x)$  except for a set of measure zero. This approach would rule out an important case, discussed by H. Lewy in 1968. In Lewy's case,  $\Omega \subset R^3$ , the set  $F$  is a straight line segment and  $\theta$  is a continuous function on  $F$ . Since  $F$  has measure zero, then for every Sobolev function  $v$ , one has  $v(x) \geq \theta(x)$  for almost all  $x \in F$ .

In some work done jointly with W.P. Ziemer on obstacle problems, capacity was used. In this work, Bessel capacity was used, but it will be easier to explain here, if I use Riesz capacity. The Riesz capacity  $R(E)$  of a bounded subset  $E$  of  $R^n$  is defined to be

$$(16) \quad \inf \int_{R^n} f(x)^\alpha dx,$$

where the infimum is taken over all non-negative  $f \in L^\alpha(R^n)$  such that

$$(17) \quad \int_{R^n} |y-x|^{1-n} f(x) dx \geq 1$$

for all  $y \in E$ . Capacity is subadditive but not additive. A set of capacity zero is much smaller than a set of measure zero.

For each Sobolev function  $g \in W^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$ , it can be shown that

$$(18) \quad \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{B_\rho(x)} g(\xi) d\xi$$

exists, except for a set of capacity zero ( $f$  denotes the integral average). (18) is used to extend the domain of definition of each Sobolev function.

We then require that the solution  $u$  of (14) should satisfy  $u(x) \geq \theta(x)$  for quasi-all  $x \in F$ ; i.e. for all  $x \in F$  except for a set of capacity zero and that (15) should hold for quasi-all  $x \in F$ . It is not difficult to show that a theory based on capacity will include the Lewy example. When applying the theory of pseudo-monotone operators to (14), one needs the following theorem of Egeroff type, which is proved in [Mi].

## 2.1. THEOREM

Let  $\Gamma$  be an open set in  $R^n$  and let  $\{v_r\}$  converge strongly to  $v$  in  $W^{1,\alpha}(\Gamma)$ . Then there is a subsequence  $\{v_{r_s}\}$  which converges pointwise to  $v$ , quasi-everywhere on  $\Gamma$ .

In [MZ] it is assumed that  $\Omega$  satisfies a Wiener criterion at each boundary point and that (i), (ii) and (iii) hold. A restriction has to be placed on the obstacle function  $\theta$ , particularly when  $\theta$  is unbounded. By using pseudo-monotone operators, it is shown that there exists a function  $u \in W_{loc}^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$ , such that

$$u(x) \geq \theta(x) - u(x)$$

for quasi-all  $x \in F$ , (14) holds for every  $\phi \in W^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$  which vanishes outside a compact subset of  $\Omega$  and has

$$\phi(x) \geq \theta(x) - u(x)$$

for quasi-all  $x \in F$  and

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow x} u(\xi) = \psi(x)$$

for all  $x \in \partial\Omega$ .

In [Mi] obstacle problems involving higher order operators are discussed, general bounded domains are considered, but the solutions only satisfy the boundary conditions in a weak sense. Again, the theory of pseudo-monotone operators is used.

## REFERENCES

- [L] Lewy, Hans, On a variational problem with inequalities on the boundary. *Journ. of Math. and Mech.*, 17(1968), 861-884.
- [M1] Minty G.J. Monotone (nonlinear) operators in Hilbert space, *Duke Math. J.* 29(1962), 341-346.
- [M2] Minty G.J. On a "monotonicity" method for the solution of nonlinear equations in Banach spaces, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 50(1963), 1038-1041.
- [Mi] Michael J.H., Higher order obstacle problems. To appear.
- [MZ] Michael J.H. and Ziemer William P., Existence of solutions to obstacle problems.

Department of Pure Mathematics  
University of Adelaide  
South Australia

AMS Subject Classification: 35J25