

Degenerate Holomorphic Mappings of Nondegenerate CR -manifolds¹

A. V. ISAEV

Abstract. We announce the following result: the Jacobian of a locally defined holomorphic mapping between two real-analytic CR -manifolds M_1 and M_2 in \mathbb{C}^N , where M_1 has a nondegenerate Levi form and satisfies a certain rigidity condition, is identically zero, if it is zero at one point on M_1 . We also give a description of the image of the mapping in terms of the geometry of M_2 .

In this note we consider real-analytic CR -manifolds in a complex space \mathbb{C}^N with nondegenerate Levi forms. Let us start with definitions.

Definition 1. Let M be a real submanifold of \mathbb{C}^N , $p \in M$, and $T_p(M)$ – the tangent space to M at p . The complex tangent space $T_p^c(M)$ to M at the point p is the maximal complex subspace of $T_p(M)$, i.e.

$$T_p^c(M) = T_p(M) \cap iT_p(M).$$

Definition 2. A real submanifold M in \mathbb{C}^N is called a CR -manifold if $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} T_p^c(M)$ is constant on M . The dimension $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} T_p^c(M)$ is the CR -dimension of M and is denoted by $CR \dim M$.

Definition 3. Let M be a CR -manifold in \mathbb{C}^N , and $r(z_1, \bar{z}_1, \dots, z_N, \bar{z}_N)$ – its defining function, i.e.

$$M = \{r = 0\}, \quad \text{grad } r \neq 0 \quad \text{on } M.$$

The Levi form $\mathcal{L}_M(p)$ of M at $p \in M$ is the restriction of the Hermitian form

$$\sum_{j,l=1}^N \frac{\partial^2 r}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_l}(p) dz_j d\bar{z}_l$$

to the complex tangent space $T_p^c(M)$.

Suppose now that M is real-analytic and passes through the origin. Choose local holomorphic coordinates $(z_1, \dots, z_n, w_1 = u_1 + iv_1, \dots, w_k = u_k + iv_k)$ near the origin such that M is given by the equations

$$(1) \quad v = F(z, \bar{z}, u).$$

¹Mathematics Subject Classification: 32F25.

Here $n = CR \dim M$, $k = \text{codim}_{\mathbb{R}} M$, $n + k = N$, $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$, $u = (u_1, \dots, u_k)$, $v = (v_1, \dots, v_k)$, $F(z, \bar{z}, u)$ is a real-analytic vector-function defined in a neighbourhood of the origin. Clearly, we can assume that $\text{grad} F(0) = 0$, and hence $T_0^c(M) = \{w = 0\}$, $w = u + iv$. Therefore the Levi form of M at the origin is given by the vector with matrix components

$$\mathcal{L}_M(0) = \left(\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_l}(0) \right).$$

We will consider manifolds with nondegenerate Levi forms. The nondegeneracy of a vector-valued Hermitian form is given by the following definition.

Definition 4. Let $H(z, z') = (\langle z, z' \rangle^1, \dots, \langle z, z' \rangle^k)$, $z, z' \in \mathbb{C}^n$ be a vector-valued Hermitian form in \mathbb{C}^n . Then H is said to be *nondegenerate* if the following two conditions are satisfied.

(*) The Hermitian forms $\langle z, z' \rangle^1, \dots, \langle z, z' \rangle^k$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{R} .

(**) If for some $z' \in \mathbb{C}^n$ $H(z, z') = 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ then $z' = 0$.

For $k = 1$ (*) trivially follows from (**), and the definition coincides with the usual definition of nondegeneracy. For $k > 1$ generally speaking neither of the above conditions implies the other. It should be also noted that the definition does not imply the existence of a nondegenerate linear combination of the components of H .

Example 1. Consider the Hermitian form in \mathbb{C}^3 $H(z, z') = (z_1 \bar{z}'_2 + z_2 \bar{z}'_1, z_1 \bar{z}'_3 + z_3 \bar{z}'_1)$. It is given by two 3×3 -matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The form H is nondegenerate, but obviously every linear combination of the above matrices is degenerate.

Suppose now that two manifolds M_1 and M_2 are given in the form (1), and in some neighbourhood U of the origin a holomorphic mapping f is defined. Let $f(M_1) \subset M_2$, $f(0) = 0$. Assume further that the Jacobian $J_f(0)$ of f at the origin is zero. The problem we consider here is to describe the zero set of J_f in U . A conjecture due to Vitushkin (1985) says that for the case of hypersurfaces (i.e. $k = 1$) with nondegenerate Levi forms $J_f \equiv 0$ in U . The conjecture turned out to be true and was proved in [I]. An interesting fact is that the Levi form of M_2 is allowed to be degenerate.

To formulate the results we note first that every real-analytic hypersurface M (with possibly degenerate $\mathcal{L}_M(0)$) after a suitable holomorphic change of coordinates near the origin can be written as

$$(2) \quad v = \langle z, z \rangle + \sum_{j \geq 1, l \geq 1} F_{jl}(z, \bar{z}, u),$$

where $\langle z, z \rangle$ is a Hermitian form representing $\mathcal{L}_M(0)$, $F_{jl}(z, \bar{z}, u)$ is a polynomial of order j in z and l in \bar{z} with coefficients depending on u , $\frac{\partial^2 F_{11}}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_l}(0) = 0$, $j, l = 1, \dots, n$. Further, if $\mathcal{L}_M(0)$ is nondegenerate then the equation (2) can be reduced to

$$(3) \quad v = \langle z, z \rangle + \sum_{j \geq 2, l \geq 2} F_{jl}(z, \bar{z}, u)$$

(see [CM] for details).

Suppose now that two hypersurfaces M_1 and M_2 with M_1 having a nondegenerate Levi form are given in the forms (3) and (2) respectively. Then as it is shown in [I] the following is true:

- (i) $J_f \equiv 0$ in U , and moreover
- (ii) $f(U) \subset M_2 \cap \{w = 0\}$.

In particular, if f is written as

$$f: \quad z \mapsto g(z, w), \quad w \mapsto h(z, w),$$

then $h \equiv 0$.

If $\mathcal{L}_{M_2}(0)$ is nondegenerate, the second statement immediately gives the following estimate: $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} f(U) \leq \chi$, where χ is the signature of $\mathcal{L}_{M_2}(0)$ (the minimum of the numbers of positive and negative eigenvalues). In particular, if M_2 is strictly pseudoconvex near the origin ($\chi = 0$), then $f \equiv 0$. This last fact is known since 1975 [P] and also follows from [V].

The proof of the above result is very technical and involves detailed analysis of the power series defining f and M_1, M_2 . In particular, it heavily relies on the representation (3) for M_1 . However, there is a short geometric proof of (i) due to Kruzhilin (unpublished) valid even for smooth hypersurfaces based on the technique of chains (special curves introduced in [CM]). Namely, it follows from [K] that a chain decreasing its angle with the complex tangent space near a point can not have finite length.

There is a number of results of Baouendi, Bell and Rothschild for more general hypersurfaces and mappings (see [BBR], [BR1], [BR2], [BR3], [BR4]). In particular, if M_1, M_2 are given in the form (2) and M_1 is essentially finite, then for any holomorphic mapping f either (i) and (ii) above are true, or

$$(4) \quad \frac{\partial h}{\partial w}(0) \neq 0,$$

and f is of finite multiplicity. For M_1 having a nondegenerate Levi form (4) implies that $J_f(0) \neq 0$. If M_2 is only smooth, then in (ii) $f(U)$ does not necessarily lie on

M_2 . In general, $f(U)$ has only infinite order of contact with M_2 . Also, the condition $h \equiv 0$ must be understood in terms of formal power series.

Note that if the Levi form of M_1 degenerates, (i) may not be true, even if the Levi form of M_2 is nondegenerate.

Example 2. Let M_1 and M_2 be hypersurfaces in \mathbb{C}^2 given by the equations

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 : \quad v &= |z|^4, \\ M_2 : \quad v &= |z|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then the mapping

$$z \mapsto z^2, \quad w \mapsto w$$

obviously maps M_1 to M_2 and has vanishing Jacobian only for $z = 0$.

In the present paper we consider manifolds of codimension $k > 1$. The first difficulty that we encounter trying to generalize the result for hypersurfaces to the case of higher codimensions is that not every manifold with even nondegenerate Levi form for $k > 1$ can be given by an equation analogous to (3) (see [B1], [B2], [B3], [L]). Generally speaking, the second order term may depend on u and terms of type F_{j1} , F_{1l} may occur, i.e. we only have the representation (2) instead. At the moment we can not resolve this problem, and the possibility to write M_1 in the form (3) is our extra requirement.

THEOREM. *Let M_1 and M_2 be two manifolds of codimension $k \geq 1$ in \mathbb{C}^N . Suppose that M_2 is given in the form (2), M_1 is given in the form (3) and the Levi form of M_1 is nondegenerate.*

Let f be a holomorphic mapping defined in a neighborhood U of the origin such that $f(M_1) \subset M_2$, $f(0) = 0$ and $J_f(0) = 0$,

$$f : \quad z \mapsto g(z, w), \quad w \mapsto h(z, w).$$

Then

(i) $J_f \equiv 0$ in U , and moreover

(ii)' there exist an integer $m \geq 1$ and a linear change of coordinates of the form

$$z \mapsto z, \quad w \mapsto Sw,$$

where S is a real $k \times k$ -matrix, such that after applying it to M_2 one can write M_2 as the intersection $M_2' \cap M_2''$, where M_2' is given by the first m equations defining M_2 , M_2'' by the last $k - m$ equations, and

$$f(U) \subset M_2' \cap \{w' = 0\},$$

with $w' = (w_1, \dots, w_m)$. Here $m = k - \text{rank } \frac{\partial h}{\partial w}(0)$.

The statement (ii)' of the Theorem says that we can always rewrite the equations of M_2 as certain combinations of the original ones and split them into two groups such that the manifold of codimension $m \leq k$ defined by the first group being intersected with its complex tangent space at the origin contains $f(U)$. For the case of hypersurfaces this coincides with the statement (ii) above.

Note that m may be strictly less than k , and therefore (ii) can not be generalized directly to the case of higher codimensions. An obvious obstruction for that is possible reducibility of manifolds in consideration. Indeed, take $M_1 = M_2 = M = M^1 \times M^2$, where $M^j \subset \mathbb{C}^{n_j+k_j}$, $\text{CR dim } M^j = n_j$, $\text{codim}_{\mathbb{R}} M^j = k_j$, $n_1 + n_2 = n$, $k_1 + k_2 = k$, and define a mapping f as $f = f^1 \times f^2$, with $f^1 : M^1 \rightarrow M^1$, $f^1 = id$, $f^2 : M^2 \rightarrow M^2$, $f^2 \equiv 0$.

However, even for irreducible manifolds (those which can not be represented as a direct product in any holomorphic coordinates near the origin) there are examples of holomorphic self-mappings with $m < k$. The following example is due to Ezhov.

Example 3. Let $M_1 = M_2 = M \subset \mathbb{C}^5$ be given by

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} v_1 &= |z_1|^2 - |z_2|^2, \\ v_2 &= |z_1|^2 - |z_3|^2, \end{aligned}$$

and f be the following linear mapping

$$\begin{aligned} z_1 &\mapsto z_1, & z_2 &\mapsto z_1, & z_3 &\mapsto z_3, \\ w_1 &\mapsto 0, & w_2 &\mapsto w_2. \end{aligned}$$

Here $k = 2$ and $m = 1$. It also can be shown that M is irreducible. Indeed, as it is proved in [ES], for manifolds of the form

$$v = \langle z, z \rangle$$

(often called quadrics) the irreducibility is equivalent to the irreducibility of the algebra \mathfrak{A} consisting of pairs (D, d) of complex $n \times n$ - and $k \times k$ -matrices respectively such that $\langle Dz, z' \rangle = d \langle z, z' \rangle$ for all $z, z' \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

It is an easy computation to show that for the quadric (5) the corresponding algebra \mathfrak{A} consists of pairs of the form

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & t \end{pmatrix}, \quad d = \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix}, \quad t \in \mathbb{C},$$

and being one-dimensional does not split.

The mapping f in the above example is linear. It turns out that this is a typical situation. Indeed, as we see from (ii)', the only obstructions for generalizing (ii) to higher codimensions are linear mappings. Namely, it is easy to note that the linear mapping

$$\tilde{f}: z \mapsto Az, \quad w \mapsto Dw$$

with $A = \frac{\partial g}{\partial z}(0)$, $D = \frac{\partial h}{\partial w}(0)$, is a mapping between the quadrics

$$\tilde{M}_1: v = \langle z, z \rangle_1,$$

$$\tilde{M}_2: v = \langle z, z \rangle_2,$$

where $\langle z, z \rangle_j$ is the Levi form of M_j at 0, and it follows from (ii)' that if the image of \tilde{f} is in $\tilde{M}_2 \cap \{w = 0\}$ (i.e. if $m = k$, or equivalently $D = 0$), then the image of f is in $M_2 \cap \{w = 0\}$.

The proof of the Theorem is a generalization of the proof in [I] to higher codimensions and is also based on analysis of power series. It is very likely that the Theorem is true under much weaker assumptions for the manifold M_1 . For example, it would be interesting to find a proof for essentially finite M_1 dropping all the other conditions for its power series.

REFERENCES

- [B1] Beloshapka V., *Construction of the Normal Form of an Equation of a Surface of High Codimension*, Math. Notes 48 (1990), 721–725.
- [B2] Beloshapka V., *A Uniqueness Theorem for Automorphisms of a Nondegenerate Surface in a Complex Space*, Math. Notes 47 (1990), 239–242.
- [B3] Beloshapka V., *Finite Dimensionality of the Group of Automorphisms of a Real-Analytic Surface*, Math. USSR Izv. 32 (1989), 443–448.
- [BBR] Baouendi M.S., Bell S.R., Rothschild L.P., *Mappings of Three-Dimensional CR-Manifolds and Their Holomorphic Extension*, Duke Math. J. 56 (1988), 503–530.
- [BR1] Baouendi M.S., Rothschild L.P., *Geometric Properties of Mappings Between Hypersurfaces in Complex Space*, J. Diff. Geom. 31 (1990), 473–499.
- [BR2] Baouendi M.S., Rothschild L.P., *Images of Real Hypersurfaces under Holomorphic Mappings*, J. Diff. Geom. 36 (1992), 75–88.
- [BR3] Baouendi M.S., Rothschild L.P., *Remarks on the Generic Rank of a CR-Mapping*, J. Geom. Anal. 2 (1992), 1–9.
- [BR4] Baouendi M.S., Rothschild L.P., *A Generalized Complex Hopf Lemma and Its Applications to CR-Mappings*, Invent. Math. 111 (1993), 331–348.
- [CM] Chern S., Moser J., *Real Hypersurfaces in Complex Manifolds*, Acta Math. 133 (1974), 219–271.
- [ES] Ezhov V., Schmalz G., *Poincaré Automorphisms for Nondegenerate CR-Quadric*, Preprint (1993).
- [I] Isaev A., *An Estimate of the Dimension of the Image under a Holomorphic Mapping of Real-Analytic Hypersurfaces*, Math. USSR-Izv. 30 (1988), 89–102.

- [K] Kruzhilin N.G., *Local Automorphisms of Smooth Strictly Pseudoconvex Hypersurfaces*, Math. USSR-Izv. **26** (1986), 531–552.
- [L] Loboda A.V., *Generic Real-Analytic Manifolds of Codimension 2 in \mathbb{C}^4 and Their Biholomorphic Mappings*, Math. USSR-Izv. **30** (1988), 970–990.
- [P] Pinchuk S., *On Analytic Extension of Holomorphic Mappings (Russian)*, Math. Sbornik **98** (1975), 416–435.
- [V] Vitushkin A., *Real Hypersurfaces of Complex Manifolds*, Russian Math. Surveys **40** (1985), 1–35.

Centre for Mathematics and Its Applications, The Australian National University, G.P.O. Box 4
Canberra, ACT 2601, Australia.

4. 凡在本市行政区域内，凡从事生产、经营活动的法人、其他组织、个体工商户、自然人，均应当依法缴纳房产税。

5. 房产税的征收，依照《中华人民共和国房产税暂行条例》及《上海市房产税实施细则》的有关规定执行。