

REGULARITY FOR SOLUTIONS TO OBSTACLE PROBLEMS

J.H. Michael

This is a report on some research done jointly with William P. Ziemer at the Centre for Mathematical Analysis. The research establishes interior regularity for a solution to a classical obstacle problem of general type.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Ω be a bounded non-empty open set of R^n . Let K be the convex subset of the Sobolev space $W^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$ consisting of all v , such that v agrees with a boundary function θ on $\partial\Omega$ in a suitable way and

$$v(x) \geq \psi(x)$$

for almost all $x \in \Omega$, where ψ is a function defined on Ω (the "obstacle"). Put

$$I(v) = \int_{\Omega} F(x, v(x), Dv(x)) dx \quad (1)$$

for $v \in K$, where F is a function with suitable properties. Let

$$\sigma = \inf_{v \in K} I(v) \quad (2)$$

and suppose there is a function $u \in K$, such that

$$I(u) = \sigma. \quad (3)$$

The above is a general description of a classical obstacle problem and u is a solution. A great deal of research has been done on the regularity of such solutions [1,2,4]. Our research assumes much less about the function ψ than has been assumed in earlier work.

Actually our results are obtained in a slightly more general setting. It is well known that if $u \in K$ is such that (3) holds and the function F satisfies appropriate conditions, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_i}(x, u(x), Du(x)) \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i}(x) dx \\ + \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial F}{\partial z}(u, u(x), Du(x)) \phi(x) dx \geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

for all $\phi \in W_0^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$ with

$$\phi(x) \geq \psi(x) - u(x) \quad (5)$$

for almost all $x \in \Omega$.

This is a special case of the weak inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega} A_i(x, u(x), Du(x)) \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i}(x) dx \\ + \int_{\Omega} B(x, u(x), Du(x)) \phi(x) dx \geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

for all $\phi \in W_0^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$ with

$$\phi(x) \geq \psi(x) - u(x) \quad (7)$$

for almost all $x \in \Omega$. Our research is concerned with this more general inequality. It will be assumed that $u \in W^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$ (where $1 < \alpha < \infty$)

$$u(x) \geq \psi(x) \quad (8)$$

for almost all $x \in \Omega$ and u satisfies the inequality (6) for all ϕ satisfying (7). It will also be assumed that ψ is an upper semi-continuous function on Ω satisfying the approximate continuity condition:

$$\psi(x) = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{|\xi-x|<\rho} \psi(\xi) d\xi. \quad (9)$$

[The symbol \int denotes the integral average.] The coefficients A_i and B are Borel measurable functions on $\Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and they satisfy the following standard conditions.

$$|A(x, z, p)| \leq \mu |p|^{\alpha-1} + \mu |z|^{\alpha-1} + \nu, \quad (10)$$

$$p \cdot A(x, z, p) \geq |p|^\alpha - \mu |z|^\alpha - \nu, \quad (11)$$

$$|B(x, z, p)| \leq \mu |p|^{\alpha-1} + \mu |z|^{\alpha-1} + \nu \quad (12)$$

for $x \in \Omega$, $z \in \mathbb{R}$, $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where μ, ν are non-negative constants.

2. DISCUSSION OF THE RESULTS.

We observe to begin with that as a consequence of the upper semicontinuity, ψ is locally bounded above.

A standard iteration procedure followed by an interpolation argument (see [3] and [5]) yields the following.

2.1 LEMMA *Let $M_0 > 0$ and $\gamma > 0$. There exists a constant $c > 0$ and such that, for every $x_0 \in \Omega$, every $\rho \in (0, 1]$ for which $\overline{B_\rho(x_0)} \subset \Omega$ and every constant M for which $|M| \leq M_0$, it is true that*

(i) *the inequality*

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{|x-x_0|<\frac{1}{2}\rho} (u(x)-M)^- \\ & \leq c \left[\int_{|x-x_0|<\rho} \{(u(x)-M)^-\}^\gamma dx \right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} + c\rho \end{aligned}$$

always holds and

(ii) the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{|x-x_0| < \frac{1}{2}\rho} (u(x)-M)^+ \\ & \leq C \left[\int_{|x-x_0| < \rho} \{ (u(x)-M)^+ \}^\gamma dx \right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} + C\rho \end{aligned}$$

holds, provided that $\psi(x) \leq M$ for all $x \in \overline{B_\rho(x_0)}$.

It follows immediately from 2.1 that u is locally bounded on Ω .

By using a standard iteration, combined with the John-Nirenberg lemma, we are able to prove

2.2 LEMMA *Let $M_0 > 0$. There exist $B > 0$, $c > 0$, $\gamma \in (0,1]$, such that for every $x_0 \in \Omega$, every $\rho \in (0,1]$ for which $\overline{B_\rho(x_0)} \subset \Omega$ and every M for which $|M| \leq M_0$ and $u(x) \geq M$ for almost all $x \in B_\rho(x_0)$, the inequality*

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{|x-x_0| < \frac{1}{2}\rho} (u(x)-M) \\ & \geq C \left[\int_{|x-x_0| < \rho} (u(x)-M)^\gamma dx \right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} - B\rho \end{aligned}$$

holds.

Consider an arbitrary $x_0 \in \Omega$ and a $\rho \in (0,1]$ such that $\overline{B_\rho(x_0)} \subset \Omega$.

Put

$$m_\lambda = \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{|x-x_0| < \lambda} u(x)$$

for $0 < \lambda \leq \rho$. By 2.2

$$m_{\frac{1}{2}\rho} - m_\rho \geq C \left[\int_{|x-x_0| < \rho} (u(x)-m_\rho)^\gamma dx \right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}$$

and hence

$$m_{\frac{1}{2}\rho} - m_{\rho} \geq C(M - m_{\rho})^{-\frac{1-\gamma}{\gamma}} \left[\int_{|x-x_0| < \rho} (u(x) - m_{\rho}) dx \right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}, \quad (13)$$

where M is an upper bound for u . But, since u is locally bounded above, m_{ρ} approaches a limit as $\rho \rightarrow 0+$. Hence

$$\int_{|x-x_0| < \rho} (u(x) - m_{\rho}) dx \rightarrow 0$$

as $\rho \rightarrow 0+$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0+} \int_{|x-x_0| < \rho} u(x) dx \text{ exists and} \\ = \text{ess lim inf}_{\rho \rightarrow 0+} u(x). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

We now define

$$u(x_0) = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0+} \int_{|x-x_0| < \rho} u(x) dx \quad (15)$$

for all $x_0 \in \Omega$. Then

$$u(x) \geq \psi(x)$$

for all $x \in \Omega$. It follows from (14) and (15) that u is lower semicontinuous on Ω .

Put

$$H = \{x; x \in \Omega \text{ and } u(x) = \psi(x)\} \quad (16)$$

and

$$\Omega_0 = \Omega \sim H. \quad (17)$$

Then H is closed relative to Ω and Ω_0 is open. Standard regularity theory for solutions to quasi-linear partial differential equations gives

2.3 LEMMA *There exists a $\delta \in (0,1)$ and such that, for every compact subset K of Ω_0 , u is Hölder continuous with exponent δ on K .*

Consider a point x_0 of the contact set H . Let

$$\Gamma > u(x_0) = \psi(x_0)$$

and let $\rho \in (0, 1]$ be such that $\overline{B_\rho(x_0)} \subset \Omega$ and

$$\sup_{|x-x_0| \leq \rho} \psi(x) < \Gamma.$$

By 2.1 (ii), with $\gamma = 1$,

$$\sup_{|x-x_0| < \frac{1}{2}\rho} (u(x) - \Gamma)^+ \leq c \int_{|x-x_0| < \rho} (u(x) - \Gamma)^+ dx + C\rho. \quad (18)$$

Now let

$$w(x) = \inf\{u(x), \Gamma\}.$$

Then

$$u = (u - \Gamma)^+ + w$$

so that by (15)

$$\int_{|x-x_0| < \rho} (u(x) - \Gamma)^+ dx + \int_{|x-x_0| < \rho} w(x) dx \rightarrow u(x_0) \quad (19)$$

as $\rho \rightarrow 0+$. But

$$w(x) \geq \inf_{|x-x_0| < \rho} u(x)$$

when $|x-x_0| < \rho$, so that by (14) and (15)

$$\liminf_{\rho \rightarrow 0+} \int_{|x-x_0| < \rho} w(x) dx \geq u(x_0).$$

Therefore, by (19)

$$\limsup_{\rho \rightarrow 0+} \int_{|x-x_0| < \rho} (u(x) - \Gamma)^+ dx \leq 0$$

and hence by (18)

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow x_0} u(x) \leq \Gamma. \quad (20)$$

Since Γ was arbitrary and we already know that u is lower semicontinuous at x_0 . Thus u is continuous on Ω .

Now we consider a point x_0 of the contact set H at which ψ is Hölder continuous; i.e., we suppose there exists a $\delta \in (0,1)$ and an E , such that

$$|\psi(x) - \psi(x_0)| \leq E|x-x_0|^\delta \quad (21)$$

for all $x \in \Omega$. By (13),

$$m_{\frac{1}{2}\rho} - m_\rho \geq C' \left[\int_{|x-x_0| < \rho} (u(x) - m_\rho) dx \right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}, \quad (22)$$

so that (putting $\Lambda = (C')^{-\gamma}$),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|x-x_0| < \rho} u(x) dx &\leq m_\rho + \Lambda(m_{\frac{1}{2}\rho} - m_\rho)^\gamma \\ &\leq u(x_0) + \Lambda(\psi(x_0) - m_\rho)^\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

But

$$m_\rho \geq \inf_{|x-x_0| < \rho} \psi(x) \geq \psi(x_0) - E\rho^\delta$$

and hence

$$\int_{|x-x_0| < \rho} u(x) dx \leq u(x_0) + \Lambda E^\gamma \rho^{\delta\gamma}. \quad (23)$$

Put

$$\Gamma_\rho = \sup_{|x-x_0| < \rho} \psi(x)$$

and

$$w_\rho(x) = \inf\{u(x), \Gamma_\rho\}.$$

Then

$$u = w_\rho + (u - \Gamma_\rho)^+$$

so that by (23)

$$\int_{|x-x_0|<\rho} w_\rho(x) dx + \int_{|x-x_0|<\rho} (u(x)-\Gamma_\rho)^+ dx \leq u(x_0) + \Lambda E Y_\rho^{\delta Y} . \quad (24)$$

But

$$\int_{|x-x_0|<\rho} w_\rho(x) dx \geq \int_{|x-x_0|<\rho} \psi(x) dx \geq \psi(x_0) - E\rho^\delta$$

and therefore by (24)

$$\int_{|x-x_0|<\rho} (u(x)-\Gamma_\rho)^+ dx \leq \Lambda E Y_\rho^{\delta Y} + E\rho^\delta .$$

Hence, by Lemma 2.1 (ii),

$$\sup_{|x-x_0|<\frac{1}{2}\rho} (u(x)-\Gamma_\rho) \leq C\Lambda E Y_\rho^{\delta Y} + CE\rho^\delta + C\rho .$$

Therefore

$$\sup_{|x-x_0|<\frac{1}{2}\rho} (u(x)-u(x_0)) \leq C\Lambda E Y_\rho^{\delta Y} + CE\rho^\delta + C\rho + E\rho^\delta . \quad (25)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{|x-x_0|<\frac{1}{2}\rho} (u(x)-u(x_0)) &\geq \inf_{|x-x_0|<\frac{1}{2}\rho} (\psi(x)-\psi(x_0)) \\ &\geq -E\rho^\delta \end{aligned}$$

it follows from (25) that u is Hölder continuous at x_0 .

It is now possible to prove the following theorem:

2.3 THEOREM *Suppose $\delta \in (0,1)$ is such that ψ is Hölder continuous with exponent δ on every compact subset of Ω . Then there exists a $\delta' \in (0,1)$ such that u is Hölder continuous with exponent δ' on every compact subset of Ω .*

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Biroli, *Regularity results for some elliptic variational inequalities with bounded measurable coefficients and applications*. Nonlinear analysis (Berlin, 1979) 29-40, Abh. Akad. Wiss. DDR, Abt. Math. Naturwiss. Tech., 1981, 2, Akademie-Verlage, Berlin 1981.
- [2] H. Beirão da Veiga, *Sur la régularité des solutions de l'équation $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{A}(x, u, \nabla u) = B(x, u, \nabla u)$ avec des conditions aux limites unilatérales et mêlées*. Ann. Mat. 93, 173-230 (1972).
- [3] E. Di Benedetto and N.S. Trudinger, *Harnack inequalities for quasi-minima of variational integrals*. Ann. L'Inst. Henri Poincaré, Nonlinear Analysis, 1, No.4, 295-308 (1984).
- [4] D. Kinderlehrer and G. Stampacchia, *An introduction to variational inequalities and their applications*. Academic Press, 1980.
- [5] N.S. Trudinger, *On Harnack type inequalities and their application to quasilinear elliptic equations*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 20, 721-747, (1967).