

NON-LINEAR CHARACTERIZATIONS OF
SUPERREFLEXIVE SPACES

S. Swaminathan

1. The classical theorem of Weierstrass on approximation says that a real continuous function on a closed, bounded set in a finite dimensional space is the limit of a uniformly convergent sequence of polynomials. While this theorem has very interesting extensions, such as the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem, it does not generalise in this form to infinite dimensional spaces. A.S. Nemirovski and S.M. Semenov [5] have given an example of a real continuous function on a separable, infinite Hilbert space H , possessing uniformly continuous Fréchet derivatives of all orders but, which, on the unit ball of H cannot be approximated uniformly by polynomials. However, they show that every uniformly continuous function on the unit ball of H is the uniform limit of restrictions of functions which are uniformly continuously differentiable on bounded sets. For a discussion of these results see [7]. Results of this type in global analysis on infinite dimensional manifolds raise the question of existence of uniformly continuously differentiable functions on a Banach space which have bounded support. R. Bonic and J. Frampton [2] studied questions of similar nature. If X and Y are Banach spaces, let $C^{p,q}(X,Y)$, $0 \leq q \leq p \leq \infty$, denote those functions in $C^p(X,Y)$ whose derivatives of order less than or equal to q are bounded. Call a Banach space X , $C^{p,q}$ -smooth if there exists a nonzero $C^{p,q}$ -function on X with bounded support. In this notation, finite dimensional spaces are $C^{\infty,\infty}$ -smooth and if an L_p space is C^p -smooth, then it is also $C^{p,q}$ -smooth. Consider the space c_0 of all real bounded

null sequences with the supremum norm. There exists a C^∞ -function on c_0 which is nonzero in the open ball and zero off it. To see this, let $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a C^∞ -function satisfying

$$g(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |t| \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} < |t| < 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } |t| \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

If $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots) \in c_0$, let $f_n(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n g(x_i)$. Then f is the required function on c_0 . However, J. Wells [8] showed that if f is a real valued continuous function on c_0 with a uniformly continuous derivative, then the support of f must be unbounded. Thus c_0 is not $C^{2,2}$ -smooth. R. Aron [1] has shown that such a result is true for $C(X)$, the space of all real continuous functions on a compact Hausdorff space X .

The question arises, then, as to what type of spaces X have the property that there exist a nonzero real continuous function f on X such that the derivative Df is uniformly continuous and f has a bounded support. Let us call a space U-b-smooth if it possesses the above property. The examples of spaces which are not U-b-smooth, namely c_0 and $C(X)$, are not reflexive. Is reflexivity essential for a space to be U-b-smooth? The answer is yes and it was proved by Sundaresan [6], and also by K. John, H. Torunczyk and V. Zizler [7] using different methods. Actually, the property of U-b-smoothness characterises an important subclass of reflexive spaces, known as superreflexive spaces.

2. SUPERREFLEXIVE SPACES

Let X be a Banach space. X is uniformly convex if, for any pair $\{x_n\}$, $\{y_n\}$ of sequences in the unit ball of X such that $\left\| \frac{x_n + y_n}{2} \right\| \rightarrow 0$ then $\|x_n - y_n\| \rightarrow 0$.

X is uniformly smooth iff the norm of X is uniformly Frechet differentiable. Uniform convexity and uniform smoothness are dual properties in the sense that X is uniformly convex iff X^* is uniformly smooth; and, in either case, the space is reflexive. It was a long-standing open problem whether X having a uniformly convex norm implied that X also had a uniformly smooth norm. The concept of superreflexivity arose from a solution to this problem by R.C. James and Per Enflo. See van Dulst [4] for details. A Banach space Y is finitely-representable in X , iff, for a finite dimensional subspace Y_0 of Y and $\lambda > 1$, there exists an isomorphism T of Y_0 into X such that

$$\lambda^{-1}\|y\| \leq \|Ty\| \leq \lambda\|y\|$$

for all $y \in Y_0$.

A Banach space X is called superreflexive if every Banach space Y that is finitely representable in X is reflexive.

For $\varepsilon > 0$, an ε -tree T in a Banach space X is a set of points x_{ij} in X , $i, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, j < 2^i$, such that for each such i, j ,

$$x_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(x_{i+1,2j} + x_{i+1,2j+1}) \text{ and}$$

$$\|x_{i,2j} - x_{i,2j+1}\| \geq \varepsilon.$$

If i is restricted to be only $\leq n$, then we have an $(n-\varepsilon)$ tree, denoted by $T_{n,\varepsilon}$.

It is a beautiful theorem of R.C. James-Per Enflo that the following are equivalent for a Banach space X .

- (a) X is superreflexive,
- (b) X has an equivalent uniformly convex norm,

- (c) X has an equivalent uniformly smooth norm,
- (d) X has an equivalent norm which is both uniformly convex and uniformly smooth,
- (e) For each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists \underline{n} such that no $(n-\varepsilon)$ tree, $T_{n,\varepsilon}$, lies in the unit ball of X .

3. ULTRAPOWERS AND U-b-SMOOTHNESS

Let S be an infinite set and \mathcal{U} , a non-trivial ultrafilter on S . The limit of a real bounded function f on S with respect to \mathcal{U} is defined by:

$$\lim_{\mathcal{U}} \{f(s)\} = \sup\{\lambda : \{s \in S : f(s) > \lambda\} \in \mathcal{U}\}.$$

If X is a Banach space and f is a bounded X -valued function on S , let

$$|f| = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \{\|f(x)\|\}.$$

Then $|\cdot|$ is a semi-norm on the vector space V of all bounded X -valued functions on S . The quotient space of V modulo the kernel of $|\cdot|$, equipped with the quotient-norm is called the ultrapower of X with respect to the pair (S, \mathcal{U}) and is denoted by $X(S, \mathcal{U})$. The space X is isometrically embedded in $X(S, \mathcal{U})$. The usefulness of this notion in Banach space theory stems from the following results: (a) The ultrapower of a Banach space is also a Banach space. (b) If a Banach space Y is finitely represented in a Banach space X , then Y is isometric with a subspace of some ultrapower $X(S, \mathcal{U})$. For proofs of these results and other allied results, see [7].

Sundaresan [6] proved that:

If X is U-b-smooth, then an ultrapower $X(S, \mathcal{U})$ of X is also U-b-smooth.

For a proof, see [6] or [7]. It follows from this theorem that, if Y is finitely representable in a U-b-smooth space, then Y is also U-b-smooth.

Since U-b-smooth spaces are reflexive, it follows further that if X is U-b-smooth, then X is superreflexive. The converse result that when X is superreflexive then X is U-b-smooth is also true. To see this, we first note that when X is superreflexive, then X is isomorphic to a uniformly smooth space, and that U-b-smoothness is invariant under isomorphisms. The norm of a uniformly smooth space is uniformly continuously differentiable on regions $\{x: \lambda \leq \|x\| \leq \mu\}$ and by composing the norm with a suitable real C^1 -function on the reals, it can be shown that the composition is also uniformly continuously differentiable. One can then construct, given $r, \varepsilon > 0$, a function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $0 \leq f \leq 1$, f is uniformly continuously differentiable, and $f \equiv 1$ on an open ball of radius r , centered at 0 , while f vanishes outside the closed ball of radius $r + \varepsilon$, center 0 . The details can be had from [6], [7].

4. UNIFORMLY SMOOTH PARTITIONS OF UNITY

K. John, H. Toruńczyk and V. Zizler approach the problem in a different manner. They introduce the notion of a dual tree as follows and show that a space is superreflexive if it admits partitions of unity formed by functions with uniformly continuous differentials. Let X be a Banach space and $K, \varepsilon, \eta > 0, \delta > 1$ be given. Let $|\cdot| \leq K\|\cdot\|$ be a pseudonorm on X . Then a dual tree $D(K, \varepsilon, |\cdot|, \delta, \eta)$ is a set of points $x_{ij} \in X$, $i, j = 0, 1, \dots, j < 2^i$ such that, for each such i, j

$$x_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(x_{i+1, 2j} + x_{i+1, 2j+1}), \quad \|x_{i, 2j} - x_{i, 2j+1}\| \leq 2\delta$$

$$|x_{ij} + t(x_{i+1, 2j} - x_{ij})| \geq |x_{ij}| + \varepsilon\delta|t| - \eta$$

for any $|t| \leq 1$. If i is restricted to be only $\leq n$ then we have a dual n -tree $D_n(K, \varepsilon, |\cdot|, \delta, \eta)$. Dual trees can be constructed in, say, the space ℓ_1 .

With such a definition, these three authors devote their paper [4] proving the following theorem:

The following are equivalent on a Banach space X :

- (i) X is superreflexive.
- (ii) X is U-b-smooth.
- (iii) For any open cover \mathcal{U} of X , there is a locally finite partition of unity on X subordinated to \mathcal{U} and consisting of functions which are uniformly continuously differentiable.
- (iv) *Negation* of: There exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and $K > 0$ such that, for any n and $\delta \in (0,1)$, $\eta > 0$, there is a pseudo-norm $|\cdot| \leq K\|\cdot\|$ on X and a dual- n -tree, $D_n(K, \varepsilon, |\cdot|, \delta, \eta) \subset X$.

For proving the implications, they do not use ultrapowers; the most involved part of the proof is in the implication (i) \Rightarrow (iii). We refer to [4] for details.

5. APPLICATIONS

(1) It is a well known fact that all separable Banach spaces are homeomorphic. It can be shown, using the results of section 3 that there cannot exist uniformly continuously differentiable homeomorphisms for certain Banach space, whether they are separable or not. Specifically, the following result is proved [6]: Suppose E and F are Banach spaces and there exists a uniformly continuously differentiable homeomorphism from E to F , then E is superreflexive.

(2) Let ϕ be a real function on a Banach space X such that $\phi(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $\|x\| \rightarrow \infty$. Let α be a non-trivial continuous function on \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R} with compact support, and let $Q: X \rightarrow$ space of symmetric bilinear

forms on X , such that Q is bounded and $\alpha(\|x\|) \cdot Q(x) \neq 0$ for at least one point $x \in X$. Then the following differential equation is of interest in theory of dynamical flows: $D^2\phi(x) = \alpha(\|x\|) \cdot Q(x)$. It can be shown [2] that for a non-superreflexive space X , there can be no solution ϕ vanishing at infinity.

REFERENCES

- [1] R.M. Aron, *Approximation of differentiable functions*, Math. Studies 12, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1977, 1-12.
- [2] R. Bonic and J. Frampton, *Smooth functions on Banach manifolds*, J. Math. Mech., 15 (1966), 877-898.
- [3] D. van Dulst, *Reflexive and Superreflexive spaces*, Math. Centrum Tracts 102, 1978, Amsterdam.
- [4] K. John, H. Toruńczyk and V. Zizler, *Uniformly smooth partitions of unity on superreflexive spaces*, Studia Math. LXX (1981), 129-137.
- [5] A. S. Nemirovskii and S.M. Semenov, *On polynomial approximation of functions on Hilbert space*, Math. Sbornik, 92(134) (1973)2, English Translation, Math. USSR Sbornik, Vol 21 1973, No. 2, 255-277, AMS.
- [6] K. Sundaresan, *Geometry and nonlinear analysis in Banach spaces*, Pacific J. Math, 102, 1982, 487-498.
- [7] K. Sundaresan and S. Swaminathan, *Geometry and non-linear analysis in Banach spaces*, (to appear) Springer-Verlag Lecture Notes.
- [8] J. Wells, *Differentiable functions on c_0* , Bull. AMS 75 (1969) 117-118.