

# Wigner Measures and Effective Mass Theorems

Victor Chabu<sup>1</sup>, Clotilde Fermanian Kammerer<sup>2</sup>, Fabricio Macia<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Université de Sao Paulo

<sup>2</sup>Université Paris Est - Créteil & CNRS

<sup>3</sup>Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, ETSI Navales

Murramarang, March 2018

# Content:

- ① Schrödinger Equation in a Lattice
- ② Floquet-Bloch theory
- ③ Quantification of the lack of dispersion
- ④ Strategy of the proof
- ⑤ Back to effective mass theory

# Schrödinger Equation in a Lattice : The equation

The equation:

$$i\partial_t\psi^\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2}\Delta_x\psi^\varepsilon - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}V_{\text{per}}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)\psi^\varepsilon - V(x)\psi^\varepsilon = 0, \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d,$$
$$\psi^\varepsilon|_{t=0} = \psi_0^\varepsilon \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

where  $V_{\text{per}}$  is a potential periodic with respect to  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ ,

In this scaling (see [Poupaud & Ringhofer 96]),  $\varepsilon$  is the ratio between the mean spacing of the lattice and the scale of variation of the external potential.

$$\varepsilon \ll 1.$$

For  $0 < a < b$ ,  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , describe the limit as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  of

$$\int_a^b \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) |\psi^\varepsilon(t, x)|^2 dx dt.$$

# Schrödinger Equation in a Lattice : The equation

The equation:

$$i\partial_t\psi^\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2}\Delta_x\psi^\varepsilon - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}V_{\text{per}}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)\psi^\varepsilon - V(x)\psi^\varepsilon = 0, \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d,$$
$$\psi^\varepsilon|_{t=0} = \psi_0^\varepsilon \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

where  $V_{\text{per}}$  is a potential periodic with respect to  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ ,

In this scaling (see [Poupaud & Ringhofer 96]),  $\varepsilon$  is the ratio between the mean spacing of the lattice and the scale of variation of the external potential.

$$\varepsilon \ll 1.$$

For  $0 < a < b$ ,  $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , describe the limit as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  of

$$\int_a^b \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) |\psi^\varepsilon(t, x)|^2 dx dt.$$

# Schrödinger Equation in a Lattice : The equation

The equation:

$$i\partial_t\psi^\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2}\Delta_x\psi^\varepsilon - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}V_{\text{per}}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)\psi^\varepsilon - V(x)\psi^\varepsilon = 0, \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d,$$
$$\psi^\varepsilon|_{t=0} = \psi_0^\varepsilon \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

where  $V_{\text{per}}$  is a potential periodic with respect to  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ ,

In this scaling (see [Poupaud & Ringhofer 96]),  $\varepsilon$  is the ratio between the mean spacing of the lattice and the scale of variation of the external potential.

$$\varepsilon \ll 1.$$

For  $0 < a < b$ ,  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , describe the limit as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  of

$$\int_a^b \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) |\psi^\varepsilon(t, x)|^2 dx dt.$$

# Schrödinger Equation in a Lattice : Effective mass theory

**Effective Mass Theory** consists in showing situations where  $\psi^\varepsilon(t)$  can be approximated by the solution of a **Effective Mass Equation**:

$$i\partial_t\phi(t, x) + \frac{1}{2}\langle M D_x, D_x \rangle\phi(t, x) - V(x)\phi(t, x) = 0.$$

where  $M$  is a  $d \times d$  matrix called the **effective mass tensor**.

In the sense that

$$\int_a^b \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) |\psi^\varepsilon(t, x)|^2 dt \sim \int_a^b \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) |\phi(t, x)|^2 dt dx.$$

# Schrödinger Equation in a Lattice : Effective mass theory

**Effective Mass Theory** consists in showing situations where  $\psi^\varepsilon(t)$  can be approximated by the solution of a **Effective Mass Equation**:

$$i\partial_t\phi(t, x) + \frac{1}{2}\langle M D_x, D_x \rangle\phi(t, x) - V(x)\phi(t, x) = 0.$$

where  $M$  is a  $d \times d$  matrix called the **effective mass tensor**.

In the sense that

$$\int_a^b \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) |\psi^\varepsilon(t, x)|^2 dt \sim \int_a^b \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) |\phi(t, x)|^2 dt dx.$$

# Schrödinger Equation in a Lattice : Effective mass theory

⇒ In the literature, two questions have been extensively addressed :

- Finding initial conditions for which the above analysis holds,
- Clarifying the dependence of  $M$  on the sequence of initial data.

⇒ [Bensoussan, Lions & Papanicolaou 78], [Poupaud & Ringhofer 96], [Allaire & Piatniski 05], [Hofer & Weinstein 11], [Barletti & Ben Abdallah 11].



# Schrödinger Equation in a Lattice : our purpose

- The semiclassical Schrödinger eq. has been widely studied in the limit  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

$$\begin{cases} i\varepsilon\partial_t v^\varepsilon(t, x) + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}\Delta_x v^\varepsilon(t, x) - V_{\text{per}}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) v^\varepsilon(t, x) - \varepsilon^2 V(x)v^\varepsilon(t, x) = 0, \\ v^\varepsilon|_{t=0} = \psi_0^\varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

[Gérard], [GMMP] [Poupaud & Ringhofer], [Bechouche, Mauser & Poupaud], [Spohn & Teufel] [Panati, Spohn & Teufel], [Dimassi, Guillot & Ralston] [Allaire & Palombaro] [Carles & Sparber]

- Both problems are related through:  $\psi^\varepsilon(t, x) = v^\varepsilon\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}, x\right)$ .  
 $\implies$  Perform **simultaneously** the s.c. limit  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  with the limit  $t/\varepsilon \rightarrow +\infty$ .  
[Macia and his collaborators Anantharaman, Léautaud, Rivière, C.F.K.].
- **Our goal** : apply the aforementioned viewpoint to effective mass theory.  
 $\implies$  a **generalized effective mass equation** of Heisenberg type.

# Schrödinger Equation in a Lattice : our purpose

- The semiclassical Schrödinger eq. has been widely studied in the limit  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

$$\begin{cases} i\varepsilon\partial_t v^\varepsilon(t, x) + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}\Delta_x v^\varepsilon(t, x) - V_{\text{per}}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) v^\varepsilon(t, x) - \varepsilon^2 V(x)v^\varepsilon(t, x) = 0, \\ v^\varepsilon|_{t=0} = \psi_0^\varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

[Gérard], [GMMP] [Poupaud & Ringhofer], [Bechouche, Mauser & Poupaud], [Spohn & Teufel] [Panati, Spohn & Teufel], [Dimassi, Guillot & Ralston] [Allaire & Palombaro] [Carles & Sparber]

- Both problems are related through:  $\psi^\varepsilon(t, x) = v^\varepsilon\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}, x\right)$ .  
 $\implies$  Perform **simultaneously** the s.c. limit  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  with the limit  $t/\varepsilon \rightarrow +\infty$ .

[Macia and his collaborators Anantharaman, Léautaud, Rivière, C.F.K.].

- **Our goal** : apply the aforementioned viewpoint to effective mass theory.  
 $\implies$  a **generalized effective mass equation** of Heisenberg type.

# Schrödinger Equation in a Lattice : our purpose

- The semiclassical Schrödinger eq. has been widely studied in the limit  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

$$\begin{cases} i\varepsilon\partial_t v^\varepsilon(t, x) + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}\Delta_x v^\varepsilon(t, x) - V_{\text{per}}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) v^\varepsilon(t, x) - \varepsilon^2 V(x)v^\varepsilon(t, x) = 0, \\ v^\varepsilon|_{t=0} = \psi_0^\varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

[Gérard], [GMMP] [Poupaud & Ringhofer], [Bechouche, Mauser & Poupaud], [Spohn & Teufel] [Panati, Spohn & Teufel], [Dimassi, Guillot & Ralston] [Allaire & Palombaro] [Carles & Sparber]

- Both problems are related through:  $\psi^\varepsilon(t, x) = v^\varepsilon\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}, x\right)$ .  
 $\implies$  Perform **simultaneously** the s.c. limit  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  with the limit  $t/\varepsilon \rightarrow +\infty$ .

[Macia and his collaborators Anantharaman, Léautaud, Rivière, C.F.K.].

- **Our goal** : apply the aforementioned viewpoint to effective mass theory.  
 $\implies$  a **generalized effective mass equation** of Heisenberg type.

# Floquet-Bloch theory : Bloch waves and energies

- We use the Ansatz :  $\psi^\varepsilon(t, x) = U^\varepsilon\left(t, x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)$ , where  $U^\varepsilon(t, x, y)$  is assumed to be  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -periodic in  $y$  and solves

$$i\varepsilon^2 \partial_t U^\varepsilon(t, x, y) = P(\varepsilon D)U^\varepsilon(t, x, y) + \varepsilon^2 V(x)U^\varepsilon(t, x, y), \quad U^\varepsilon|_{t=0} = \psi_0^\varepsilon$$

$$\text{where } P(\xi) = \frac{1}{2} (\xi + D_y)^2 + V_{\text{per}}(y), \quad y \in \mathbb{T}^d := \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

- The Bloch energies are the eigenvalues of the self-adjoint operator on the torus  $P(\xi)$  :

$$\lambda_1(\xi) \leq \lambda_2(\xi) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n(\xi) \rightarrow +\infty.$$

They are  $2\pi\mathbb{Z}^d$  periodic and smooth in domain where they are of constant multiplicity.

- The Bloch waves are the orthonormal eigenfunctions of  $P(\xi)$

$$P(\xi)\varphi_n(\xi, y) = \lambda_n(\xi)\varphi_n(\xi, y), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad y \in \mathbb{T}^d, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

# Floquet-Bloch theory : Bloch waves and energies

- We use the Ansatz :  $\psi^\varepsilon(t, x) = U^\varepsilon\left(t, x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)$ , where  $U^\varepsilon(t, x, y)$  is assumed to be  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -periodic in  $y$  and solves

$$i\varepsilon^2 \partial_t U^\varepsilon(t, x, y) = P(\varepsilon D) U^\varepsilon(t, x, y) + \varepsilon^2 V(x) U^\varepsilon(t, x, y), \quad U^\varepsilon|_{t=0} = \psi_0^\varepsilon$$

$$\text{where } P(\xi) = \frac{1}{2} (\xi + D_y)^2 + V_{\text{per}}(y), \quad y \in \mathbb{T}^d := \mathbb{R}^d / \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

- **The Bloch energies** are the eigenvalues of the self-adjoint operator on the torus  $P(\xi)$  :

$$\lambda_1(\xi) \leq \lambda_2(\xi) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n(\xi) \rightarrow +\infty.$$

They are  $2\pi\mathbb{Z}^d$  periodic and smooth in domain where they are of constant multiplicity.

- **The Bloch waves** are the orthonormal eigenfunctions of  $P(\xi)$

$$P(\xi)\varphi_n(\xi, y) = \lambda_n(\xi)\varphi_n(\xi, y), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad y \in \mathbb{T}^d, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

# Floquet-Bloch theory : Bloch waves and energies

- We use the Ansatz :  $\psi^\varepsilon(t, x) = U^\varepsilon\left(t, x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)$ , where  $U^\varepsilon(t, x, y)$  is assumed to be  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -periodic in  $y$  and solves

$$i\varepsilon^2 \partial_t U^\varepsilon(t, x, y) = P(\varepsilon D) U^\varepsilon(t, x, y) + \varepsilon^2 V(x) U^\varepsilon(t, x, y), \quad U^\varepsilon|_{t=0} = \psi_0^\varepsilon$$

$$\text{where } P(\xi) = \frac{1}{2} (\xi + D_y)^2 + V_{per}(y), \quad y \in \mathbb{T}^d := \mathbb{R}^d / \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

- **The Bloch energies** are the eigenvalues of the self-adjoint operator on the torus  $P(\xi)$  :

$$\lambda_1(\xi) \leq \lambda_2(\xi) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n(\xi) \rightarrow +\infty.$$

They are  $2\pi\mathbb{Z}^d$  periodic and smooth in domain where they are of constant multiplicity.

- **The Bloch waves** are the orthonormal eigenfunctions of  $P(\xi)$

$$P(\xi)\varphi_n(\xi, y) = \lambda_n(\xi)\varphi_n(\xi, y), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad y \in \mathbb{T}^d, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

# Floquet Bloch theory : Bloch decomposition

- Consider  $(\Pi_n(\xi))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  a family of projectors on Bloch bands and

$$U_n^\varepsilon(t, x) := \Pi_n(\varepsilon D_x) U^\varepsilon(t, x, y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \Pi_n(\varepsilon \xi) U^\varepsilon(t, w, y) e^{i\xi \cdot (x-w)} \frac{dw d\xi}{(2\pi)^d} dy,$$

$$\text{so that } U^\varepsilon(t, x, y) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n^\varepsilon(t, x, y).$$

- This construction leads to the following representation formula for the solution of the Schrödinger equation

$$\psi^\varepsilon(t, x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n^\varepsilon\left(t, x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

- If  $\Pi_n(\xi)$  is the projector on the eigenspace of  $\lambda_n(\xi)$ , an isolated eigenvalue,

$$U_n^\varepsilon(t, \cdot) = u_n^\varepsilon(t, \cdot) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon|t|),$$

where  $u_n^\varepsilon$  solves

$$i\varepsilon^2 \partial_t u_n^\varepsilon = \lambda_n(\varepsilon D_x) u_n^\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2 V(x) u_n^\varepsilon, \quad u_n^\varepsilon|_{t=0} = u_{n,0}^\varepsilon.$$

# Floquet Bloch theory : Bloch decomposition

- Consider  $(\Pi_n(\xi))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  a family of projectors on **Bloch bands** and

$$U_n^\varepsilon(t, x) := \Pi_n(\varepsilon D_x) U^\varepsilon(t, x, y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \Pi_n(\varepsilon \xi) U^\varepsilon(t, w, y) e^{i\xi \cdot (x-w)} \frac{dw d\xi}{(2\pi)^d} dy,$$

$$\text{so that } U^\varepsilon(t, x, y) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n^\varepsilon(t, x, y).$$

- This construction leads to the following representation formula for the solution of the Schrödinger equation

$$\psi^\varepsilon(t, x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n^\varepsilon\left(t, x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

- If  $\Pi_n(\xi)$  is the projector on the eigenspace of  $\lambda_n(\xi)$ , an **isolated** eigenvalue,

$$U_n^\varepsilon(t, \cdot) = u_n^\varepsilon(t, \cdot) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon|t|),$$

where  $u_n^\varepsilon$  solves

$$i\varepsilon^2 \partial_t u_n^\varepsilon = \lambda_n(\varepsilon D_x) u_n^\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2 V(x) u_n^\varepsilon, \quad u_n^\varepsilon|_{t=0} = u_{n,0}^\varepsilon.$$



# Floquet Bloch theory : Bloch decomposition

- Consider  $(\Pi_n(\xi))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  a family of projectors on Bloch bands and

$$U_n^\varepsilon(t, x) := \Pi_n(\varepsilon D_x) U^\varepsilon(t, x, y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \Pi_n(\varepsilon \xi) U^\varepsilon(t, w, y) e^{i\xi \cdot (x-w)} \frac{dw d\xi}{(2\pi)^d} dy,$$

$$\text{so that } U^\varepsilon(t, x, y) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n^\varepsilon(t, x, y).$$

- This construction leads to the following representation formula for the solution of the Schrödinger equation

$$\psi^\varepsilon(t, x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n^\varepsilon\left(t, x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

- If  $\Pi_n(\xi)$  is the projector on the eigenspace of  $\lambda_n(\xi)$ , an isolated eigenvalue,

$$U_n^\varepsilon(t, \cdot) = u_n^\varepsilon(t, \cdot) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon|t|),$$

where  $u_n^\varepsilon$  solves

$$i\varepsilon^2 \partial_t u_n^\varepsilon = \lambda_n(\varepsilon D_x) u_n^\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2 V(x) u_n^\varepsilon, \quad u_n^\varepsilon|_{t=0} = u_{n,0}^\varepsilon.$$

# Floquet Bloch theory : Well prepared initial data

Assume  $V = 0$  and take

$$\psi_0^\varepsilon(x) = \varphi_n\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) a_0^\varepsilon(x), \quad a_0^\varepsilon(x) = e^{\frac{i}{\varepsilon}x \cdot \xi_0} a(x), \quad a \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Then

- $U_0^\varepsilon(x, y) = \varphi_n(y) a_0^\varepsilon(x),$
- $U^\varepsilon(t, x, y) = \varphi_n(y) u^\varepsilon(t, x),$  with

$$u^\varepsilon(t, x) = \text{Exp} \left[ \frac{it}{\varepsilon^2} \lambda_n(\varepsilon D) \right] a_0^\varepsilon(x).$$

Therefore, assuming  $\nabla \lambda(\xi_0) = 0,$

$$\int_a^b \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) |\psi^\varepsilon(t, x)|^2 dt \sim \int_a^b \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) |\Phi(t, x)|^2 dt dx.$$

with

$$i\partial_t \Phi = d^2 \lambda_n(\xi_0) D \cdot D \Phi, \quad \Phi(0, x) = a(x).$$

# Floquet Bloch theory : Well prepared initial data

Assume  $V = 0$  and take

$$\psi_0^\varepsilon(x) = \varphi_n\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) a_0^\varepsilon(x), \quad a_0^\varepsilon(x) = e^{\frac{i}{\varepsilon}x \cdot \xi_0} a(x), \quad a \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Then

- $U_0^\varepsilon(x, y) = \varphi_n(y) a_0^\varepsilon(x)$ ,
- $U^\varepsilon(t, x, y) = \varphi_n(y) u^\varepsilon(t, x)$ , with

$$u^\varepsilon(t, x) = \text{Exp} \left[ \frac{it}{\varepsilon^2} \lambda_n(\varepsilon D) \right] a_0^\varepsilon(x).$$

Therefore, assuming  $\nabla \lambda(\xi_0) = 0$ ,

$$\int_a^b \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) |\psi^\varepsilon(t, x)|^2 dt \sim \int_a^b \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) |\Phi(t, x)|^2 dt dx.$$

with

$$i\partial_t \Phi = d^2 \lambda_n(\xi_0) D \cdot D \Phi, \quad \Phi(0, x) = a(x).$$

# Quantifying the lack of dispersion : a more general question

- Consider equations of the form

$$\begin{cases} i\varepsilon^2 \partial_t u^\varepsilon(t, x) = \lambda(\varepsilon D_x) u^\varepsilon(t, x) + \varepsilon^2 V(x) u^\varepsilon(t, x), & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\ u^\varepsilon|_{t=0} = u_0^\varepsilon. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

- This equation ceases to be dispersive as soon as  $\lambda(\xi)$  has critical points  $\xi \neq 0$ , and this is always the case if  $\lambda$  is a Bloch energy.

# Quantifying the lack of dispersion : a more general question

- Consider equations of the form

$$\begin{cases} i\varepsilon^2 \partial_t u^\varepsilon(t, x) = \lambda(\varepsilon D_x) u^\varepsilon(t, x) + \varepsilon^2 V(x) u^\varepsilon(t, x), & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\ u^\varepsilon|_{t=0} = u_0^\varepsilon. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

- This equation ceases to be dispersive as soon as  $\lambda(\xi)$  has critical points  $\xi \neq 0$ , and this is always the case if  $\lambda$  is a Bloch energy.

# Quantifying the lack of dispersion : The assumptions

## Assumptions:

H0 The sequence  $(u_0^\varepsilon)$  is uniformly bounded in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\varepsilon$ -oscillating :

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{|\xi| > R/\varepsilon} |\widehat{u_0^\varepsilon}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \xrightarrow{R \rightarrow +\infty} 0.$$

H1  $V \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\lambda \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  grows at most polynomially; i.e. there exist  $C, N > 0$  such that:

$$|\lambda(\xi)| \leq C(1 + |\xi|)^N, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

H2 The set  $\Lambda := \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d : \nabla \lambda(\xi) = 0\}$  is a submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  of codimension  $0 < p \leq d$  and the Hessian  $\nabla^2 \lambda$  is of maximal rank over  $\Lambda$ . Moreover, each connected component of  $\Lambda$  is compact.

## Remark

If all critical points of  $\lambda$  are non-degenerate, then  $\Lambda$  is a discrete set in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . If moreover one has that  $\lambda$  is  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -periodic, this set is finite modulo  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ .

# Quantifying the lack of dispersion : The assumptions

## Assumptions:

H0 The sequence  $(u_0^\varepsilon)$  is uniformly bounded in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\varepsilon$ -oscillating :

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{|\xi| > R/\varepsilon} |\widehat{u_0^\varepsilon}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \xrightarrow{R \rightarrow +\infty} 0.$$

H1  $V \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\lambda \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  grows at most polynomially; i.e. there exist  $C, N > 0$  such that:

$$|\lambda(\xi)| \leq C(1 + |\xi|)^N, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

H2 The set  $\Lambda := \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d : \nabla \lambda(\xi) = 0\}$  is a submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  of codimension  $0 < p \leq d$  and the Hessian  $\nabla^2 \lambda$  is of maximal rank over  $\Lambda$ . Moreover, each connected component of  $\Lambda$  is compact.

## Remark

If all critical points of  $\lambda$  are non-degenerate, then  $\Lambda$  is a discrete set in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . If moreover one has that  $\lambda$  is  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -periodic, this set is finite modulo  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ .

# Quantifying the lack of dispersion : non-degenerate case

Theorem (Obstruction to smoothing effects in presence of critical points)

Assume **H0** & **H1** and that *all critical points of  $\lambda$  are non-degenerate*.

Then there exists a subsequence  $(u_0^{\varepsilon_k})$  such that  $\forall a < b$  and  $\forall \phi \in \mathcal{C}_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$  :

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(x) |u^{\varepsilon_k}(t, x)|^2 dx dt = \sum_{\xi \in \Lambda} \int_a^b \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(x) |u_\xi(t, x)|^2 dx dt,$$

where  $u_\xi$  solves the Schrödinger equation:

$$i \partial_t u_\xi(t, x) = \nabla^2 \lambda(\xi) D_x \cdot D_x u_\xi(t, x) + V(x) u_\xi(t, x),$$

with initial data  $u_\xi|_{t=0}$  which is the weak limit in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  of  $(e^{-i\xi/\varepsilon_k \cdot x} u_0^{\varepsilon_k})$ .

If  $\Lambda = \emptyset$  then the right-hand side above is equal to zero.

**Example** : If  $u_0^\varepsilon(x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{d/4}} \rho\left(\frac{x-x_0}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right) e^{i\xi_0/\varepsilon \cdot x}$ , then  $u_\xi = 0$  for all  $\xi$  and the

Theorem yields that  $(u^\varepsilon)$  converge to zero in  $L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ .



# Quantifying the lack of dispersion : non-degenerate case

Theorem (Obstruction to smoothing effects in presence of critical points)

Assume H0 & H1 and that *all critical points of  $\lambda$  are non-degenerate*.

Then there exists a subsequence  $(u_0^{\varepsilon_k})$  such that  $\forall a < b$  and  $\forall \phi \in \mathcal{C}_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$  :

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(x) |u^{\varepsilon_k}(t, x)|^2 dx dt = \sum_{\xi \in \Lambda} \int_a^b \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(x) |u_\xi(t, x)|^2 dx dt,$$

where  $u_\xi$  solves the Schrödinger equation:

$$i \partial_t u_\xi(t, x) = \nabla^2 \lambda(\xi) D_x \cdot D_x u_\xi(t, x) + V(x) u_\xi(t, x),$$

with initial data  $u_\xi|_{t=0}$  which is the weak limit in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  of  $(e^{-i\xi/\varepsilon_k \cdot x} u_0^{\varepsilon_k})$ .

If  $\Lambda = \emptyset$  then the right-hand side above is equal to zero.

**Example** : If  $u_0^\varepsilon(x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{d/4}} \rho\left(\frac{x-x_0}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right) e^{i\xi_0/\varepsilon \cdot x}$ , then  $u_\xi = 0$  for all  $\xi$  and the

Theorem yields that  $(u^\varepsilon)$  converge to zero in  $L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ .

# Quantifying the lack of dispersion : degenerate case

- When the non-degeneracy of the critical points is replaced by H2, we obtain a similar result which requires notations.

- Define the tangent bundle of  $\Lambda$  as the union of all tangent spaces to  $\Lambda$ ,

$$T\Lambda := \{(z, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \Lambda : z \in T_\xi \Lambda\}.$$

- The normal bundle of  $\Lambda$  is the union of linear subspaces normal to  $\Lambda$ :

$$N\Lambda := \{(y, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \Lambda : y \in N_\xi \Lambda = (T_\xi \Lambda)^\perp\}.$$

Every point  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  can be uniquely written as  $x = z + y$ , where  $z \in T_\xi \Lambda$  and  $y \in N_\xi \Lambda$ .

- Given a function  $\phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we write  $m_\phi(z, \xi)$ , where  $z \in T_\xi \Lambda$ , to denote the operator acting on  $L^2(N_\xi \Lambda)$  by multiplication by  $\phi(z + \cdot)$ .
- The Hessian of  $\lambda$  induces a second order operator  $\Delta_{N_\xi \Lambda}$  acting on functions defined on  $N_\xi \Lambda$ .

# Quantifying the lack of dispersion : degenerate case

- When the non-degeneracy of the critical points is replaced by H2, we obtain a similar result which requires notations.
- Define the tangent bundle of  $\Lambda$  as the union of all tangent spaces to  $\Lambda$ ,

$$T\Lambda := \{(z, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \Lambda : z \in T_\xi \Lambda\}.$$

- The normal bundle of  $\Lambda$  is the union of linear subspaces normal to  $\Lambda$ :

$$N\Lambda := \{(y, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \Lambda : y \in N_\xi \Lambda = (T_\xi \Lambda)^\perp\}.$$

Every point  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  can be uniquely written as  $x = z + y$ , where  $z \in T_\xi \Lambda$  and  $y \in N_\xi \Lambda$ .

- Given a function  $\phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we write  $m_\phi(z, \xi)$ , where  $z \in T_\xi \Lambda$ , to denote the operator acting on  $L^2(N_\xi \Lambda)$  by multiplication by  $\phi(z + \cdot)$ .
- The Hessian of  $\lambda$  induces a second order operator  $\Delta_{N_\xi \Lambda}$  acting on functions defined on  $N_\xi \Lambda$ .

# Quantifying the lack of dispersion : degenerate case

- When the non-degeneracy of the critical points is replaced by H2, we obtain a similar result which requires notations.
- Define the tangent bundle of  $\Lambda$  as the union of all tangent spaces to  $\Lambda$ ,

$$T\Lambda := \{(z, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \Lambda : z \in T_\xi \Lambda\}.$$

- The normal bundle of  $\Lambda$  is the union of linear subspaces normal to  $\Lambda$ :

$$N\Lambda := \{(y, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \Lambda : y \in N_\xi \Lambda = (T_\xi \Lambda)^\perp\}.$$

Every point  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  can be uniquely written as  $x = z + y$ , where  $z \in T_\xi \Lambda$  and  $y \in N_\xi \Lambda$ .

- Given a function  $\phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we write  $m_\phi(z, \xi)$ , where  $z \in T_\xi \Lambda$ , to denote the operator acting on  $L^2(N_\xi \Lambda)$  by multiplication by  $\phi(z + \cdot)$ .
- The Hessian of  $\lambda$  induces a second order operator  $\Delta_{N_\xi \Lambda}$  acting on functions defined on  $N_\xi \Lambda$ .

# Quantifying the lack of dispersion : degenerate case

- When the non-degeneracy of the critical points is replaced by H2, we obtain a similar result which requires notations.
- Define the tangent bundle of  $\Lambda$  as the union of all tangent spaces to  $\Lambda$ ,

$$T\Lambda := \{(z, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \Lambda : z \in T_\xi \Lambda\}.$$

- The normal bundle of  $\Lambda$  is the union of linear subspaces normal to  $\Lambda$ :

$$N\Lambda := \{(y, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \Lambda : y \in N_\xi \Lambda = (T_\xi \Lambda)^\perp\}.$$

Every point  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  can be uniquely written as  $x = z + y$ , where  $z \in T_\xi \Lambda$  and  $y \in N_\xi \Lambda$ .

- Given a function  $\phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we write  $m_\phi(z, \xi)$ , where  $z \in T_\xi \Lambda$ , to denote the operator acting on  $L^2(N_\xi \Lambda)$  by multiplication by  $\phi(z + \cdot)$ .
- The Hessian of  $\lambda$  induces a second order operator  $\Delta_{N_\xi \Lambda}$  acting on functions defined on  $N_\xi \Lambda$ .

# Quantifying the lack of dispersion : degenerate case

- When the non-degeneracy of the critical points is replaced by H2, we obtain a similar result which requires notations.

- Define the tangent bundle of  $\Lambda$  as the union of all tangent spaces to  $\Lambda$ ,

$$T\Lambda := \{(z, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \Lambda : z \in T_\xi \Lambda\}.$$

- The normal bundle of  $\Lambda$  is the union of linear subspaces normal to  $\Lambda$ :

$$N\Lambda := \{(y, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \Lambda : y \in N_\xi \Lambda = (T_\xi \Lambda)^\perp\}.$$

Every point  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  can be uniquely written as  $x = z + y$ , where  $z \in T_\xi \Lambda$  and  $y \in N_\xi \Lambda$ .

- Given a function  $\phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we write  $m_\phi(z, \xi)$ , where  $z \in T_\xi \Lambda$ , to denote the operator acting on  $L^2(N_\xi \Lambda)$  by multiplication by  $\phi(z + \cdot)$ .
- The Hessian of  $\lambda$  induces a second order operator  $\Delta_{N_\xi \Lambda}$  acting on functions defined on  $N_\xi \Lambda$ .

# Quantifying the lack of dispersion : degenerate case

## Theorem

Assume **H0**, **H1** & **H2**. Then there exist a subsequence  $(u_0^{\varepsilon_k})$ , a positive measure  $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_+(T\Lambda)$  and a measurable fami. of  $s$ -adj., positive, trace-class operators

$$M_0 : T_\xi \Lambda \ni (z, \xi) \longmapsto M_0(z, \xi) \in \mathcal{L}_+^1(L^2(N_\xi \Lambda)), \quad \text{Tr}_{L^2(N_\xi \Lambda)} M_0(z, \xi) = 1,$$

such that for every  $a < b$  and every  $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$  one has:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(x) |u^{\varepsilon_k}(t, x)|^2 dx dt \\ = \int_a^b \int_{T\Lambda} \text{Tr}_{L^2(N_\xi \Lambda)} [m_\phi(z, \xi) M(t, z, \xi)] \nu(dz, d\xi) dt, \end{aligned}$$

where  $M(\cdot, z, \xi) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{L}_+^1(L^2(N_\xi \Lambda)))$  solves the following Heisenberg equation:

$$i \partial_t M(t, z, \xi) + \left[ \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{N_\xi \Lambda} + m_V(z, \xi), M(t, z, \xi) \right] = 0, \quad M|_{t=0} = M_0.$$

# Quantifying the lack of dispersions : comments

- The measure  $\nu$  and the family of operators  $M_0(z, \xi)$ , for  $z \in T_\xi \Lambda$ , only depend on the subsequence of initial data  $(u_0^{\varepsilon_k})$ .
- This result is the analogue of the previous Theorem : the **sum** over critical points is replaced by an **integral** with respect to a measure over  $T\Lambda$ , and the **Schrödinger equation** becomes a **Heisenberg equation**.
- When  $\Lambda$  is a set of isolated critical points:  $T\Lambda = \{0\} \times \Lambda$  and the measure  $\nu$  is simply

$$\nu = \sum_{\xi \in \Lambda} \alpha_\xi \delta_\xi, \quad \text{where } \alpha_\xi = \|u_\xi|_{t=0}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2.$$

In addition,  $N_\xi \Lambda = \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $M(t, \xi)$  is the orth. proj. onto  $u_\xi(t, \cdot)$ .



# Quantifying the lack of dispersions : comments

- The measure  $\nu$  and the family of operators  $M_0(z, \xi)$ , for  $z \in T_\xi \Lambda$ , only depend on the subsequence of initial data  $(u_0^{\varepsilon_k})$ .
- This result is the analogue of the previous Theorem : the **sum** over critical points is replaced by an **integral** with respect to a measure over  $T\Lambda$ , and the **Schrödinger equation** becomes a **Heisenberg equation**.
- When  $\Lambda$  is a set of isolated critical points:  $T\Lambda = \{0\} \times \Lambda$  and the measure  $\nu$  is simply

$$\nu = \sum_{\xi \in \Lambda} \alpha_\xi \delta_\xi, \quad \text{where } \alpha_\xi = \|u_\xi|_{t=0}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2.$$

In addition,  $N_\xi \Lambda = \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $M(t, \xi)$  is the orth. proj. onto  $u_\xi(t, \cdot)$ .

# Quantifying the lack of dispersions : comments

- The measure  $\nu$  and the family of operators  $M_0(z, \xi)$ , for  $z \in T_\xi \Lambda$ , only depend on the subsequence of initial data  $(u_0^{\varepsilon_k})$ .
- This result is the analogue of the previous Theorem : the **sum** over critical points is replaced by an **integral** with respect to a measure over  $T\Lambda$ , and the **Schrödinger equation** becomes a **Heisenberg equation**.
- When  $\Lambda$  is a set of isolated critical points:  $T\Lambda = \{0\} \times \Lambda$  and the measure  $\nu$  is simply

$$\nu = \sum_{\xi \in \Lambda} \alpha_\xi \delta_\xi, \quad \text{where } \alpha_\xi = \|u_\xi|_{t=0}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2.$$

In addition,  $N_\xi \Lambda = \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $M(t, \xi)$  is the orth. proj. onto  $u_\xi(t, \cdot)$ .

# Strategy of the proof : phase space analysis

- **Phase space analysis:** Let  $W(u^\varepsilon)$  be the **Wigner transform** of  $(u^\varepsilon)$ ,

$$W^\varepsilon(t, x, \xi) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \bar{u}^\varepsilon \left( t, x + \varepsilon \frac{v}{2} \right) u^\varepsilon \left( t, x - \varepsilon \frac{v}{2} \right) e^{iv \cdot \xi} dv.$$

The Wigner transform plays the role of a generalised energy density since

$$|u^\varepsilon(t, x)|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} W^\varepsilon(t, x, \xi) d\xi.$$

- **Wigner measures** of  $(u^\varepsilon)$  are positive measures  $\mu(t)$  satisfying for some subsequence  $\varepsilon_k$  and for all  $a < b, c \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ ,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} c(x, \xi) W^{\varepsilon_k}(t, x, \xi) dx d\xi dt = \int_a^b \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} c(x, \xi) \mu(t, dx, d\xi) dt.$$

- Besides,  $\varepsilon$ -oscillation  $\implies$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(x) |u^{\varepsilon_k}(t, x)|^2 dx dt = \int_a^b \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \phi(x) \mu(t, dx, d\xi) dt.$$

# Strategy of the proof : phase space analysis

- **Phase space analysis**: Let  $W(u^\varepsilon)$  be the **Wigner transform** of  $(u^\varepsilon)$ ,

$$W^\varepsilon(t, x, \xi) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \bar{u}^\varepsilon \left( t, x + \varepsilon \frac{v}{2} \right) u^\varepsilon \left( t, x - \varepsilon \frac{v}{2} \right) e^{iv \cdot \xi} dv.$$

The Wigner transform plays the role of a generalised energy density since

$$|u^\varepsilon(t, x)|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} W^\varepsilon(t, x, \xi) d\xi.$$

- **Wigner measures** of  $(u^\varepsilon)$  are positive measures  $\mu(t)$  satisfying for some subsequence  $\varepsilon_k$  and for all  $a < b$ ,  $c \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ ,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} c(x, \xi) W^{\varepsilon_k}(t, x, \xi) dx d\xi dt = \int_a^b \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} c(x, \xi) \mu(t, dx, d\xi) dt.$$

- Besides,  $\varepsilon$ -oscillation  $\implies$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(x) |u^{\varepsilon_k}(t, x)|^2 dx dt = \int_a^b \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \phi(x) \mu(t, dx, d\xi) dt.$$

# Strategy of the proof : phase space analysis

- **Phase space analysis**: Let  $W(u^\varepsilon)$  be the **Wigner transform** of  $(u^\varepsilon)$ ,

$$W^\varepsilon(t, x, \xi) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \bar{u}^\varepsilon \left( t, x + \varepsilon \frac{v}{2} \right) u^\varepsilon \left( t, x - \varepsilon \frac{v}{2} \right) e^{iv \cdot \xi} dv.$$

The Wigner transform plays the role of a generalised energy density since

$$|u^\varepsilon(t, x)|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} W^\varepsilon(t, x, \xi) d\xi.$$

- **Wigner measures** of  $(u^\varepsilon)$  are positive measures  $\mu(t)$  satisfying for some subsequence  $\varepsilon_k$  and for all  $a < b$ ,  $c \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ ,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} c(x, \xi) W^{\varepsilon_k}(t, x, \xi) dx d\xi dt = \int_a^b \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} c(x, \xi) \mu(t, dx, d\xi) dt.$$

- Besides,  $\varepsilon$ -oscillation  $\implies$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(x) |u^{\varepsilon_k}(t, x)|^2 dx dt = \int_a^b \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \phi(x) \mu(t, dx, d\xi) dt.$$

# Strategy of the proof : localisation of Wigner measures

Set for  $\chi \in \mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{R})$  and  $c \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ ,

$$I^\varepsilon(\chi, c) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \chi(t) c(x, \xi) W^{\varepsilon_k}(t, x, \xi) dx d\xi dt.$$

- Invariance of Wigner measure : Egorov's theorem  $\implies$

## Proposition

Any  $\mu_t$  is invariant by the flow  $\phi_s^1 : (x, \xi) \mapsto (x + s\nabla\lambda(\xi), \xi)$ .

- Localization of Wigner measures

## Corollary

$$\text{Supp}(\mu_t) \subset \{(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}, \nabla\lambda(\xi) = 0\}.$$

# Strategy of the proof : localisation of Wigner measures

Set for  $\chi \in \mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{R})$  and  $c \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ ,

$$I^\varepsilon(\chi, c) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \chi(t) c(x, \xi) W^{\varepsilon_k}(t, x, \xi) dx d\xi dt.$$

- **Invariance of Wigner measure** : Egorov's theorem  $\implies$

## Proposition

Any  $\mu_t$  is invariant by the flow  $\phi_s^1 : (x, \xi) \mapsto (x + s\nabla\lambda(\xi), \xi)$ .

- **Localization of Wigner measures**

## Corollary

$$\text{Supp}(\mu_t) \subset \{(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}, \nabla\lambda(\xi) = 0\}.$$

# Strategy of the proof : localisation of Wigner measures

Set for  $\chi \in \mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{R})$  and  $c \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ ,

$$I^\varepsilon(\chi, c) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \chi(t) c(x, \xi) W^{\varepsilon_k}(t, x, \xi) dx d\xi dt.$$

- **Invariance of Wigner measure** : Egorov's theorem  $\implies$

## Proposition

Any  $\mu_t$  is invariant by the flow  $\phi_s^1 : (x, \xi) \mapsto (x + s\nabla\lambda(\xi), \xi)$ .

- **Localization of Wigner measures**

## Corollary

$$\text{Supp}(\mu_t) \subset \{(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}, \nabla\lambda(\xi) = 0\}.$$



# Strategy of the proof : Two scale observables

We add to the phase space  $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$  a new variable  $\eta \in \overline{\mathbb{R}^d}$ .

[FK], [Nier], [Miller], [FK & Gérard], [Laser & Teufel], [Harris, Lukkarinen, Teufel & Theil], [Macia], [Anantharaman & Macia]

With  $c = c(x, \xi, \eta) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{3d})$  satisfying additional properties, which satisfy :

- 1 there exists a compact  $K$  such that for all  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $(x, \xi) \mapsto c(x, \xi, \eta)$  is a smooth function compactly supported in  $K$ ;
- 2 there exists a function  $c_\infty(x, \xi, \omega)$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}^{2d} \times \mathbf{S}^{d-1}$  and  $R_0 > 0$  such that if  $|\eta| > R_0$ , then  $c(x, \xi, \eta) = c_\infty(x, \xi, \eta/|\eta|)$ .

Assume  $\Lambda = \xi_0 + 2\pi\mathbb{Z}^d$ . We associate with such  $c$ , the two-scale observable

$$c_\varepsilon^\sharp(x, \xi) = c\left(x, \xi, \frac{\xi - \xi_0}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

- Remarks :
- 1) If  $c \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ ,  $c$  is admissible.
  - 2) Wigner transform acts on two-scale observables.

# Strategy of the proof : Two scale observables

We add to the phase space  $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$  a new variable  $\eta \in \overline{\mathbb{R}^d}$ .

[FK], [Nier], [Miller], [FK & Gérard], [Laser & Teufel], [Harris, Lukkarinen, Teufel & Theil], [Macia], [Anantharaman & Macia]

With  $c = c(x, \xi, \eta) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{3d})$  satisfying additional properties, which satisfy :

- 1 there exists a compact  $K$  such that for all  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $(x, \xi) \mapsto c(x, \xi, \eta)$  is a smooth function compactly supported in  $K$ ;
- 2 there exists a function  $c_\infty(x, \xi, \omega)$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}^{2d} \times \mathbf{S}^{d-1}$  and  $R_0 > 0$  such that if  $|\eta| > R_0$ , then  $c(x, \xi, \eta) = c_\infty(x, \xi, \eta/|\eta|)$ .

Assume  $\Lambda = \xi_0 + 2\pi\mathbb{Z}^d$ . We associate with such  $c$ , the two-scale observable

$$c_\varepsilon^\sharp(x, \xi) = c\left(x, \xi, \frac{\xi - \xi_0}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

- Remarks :
- 1) If  $c \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ ,  $c$  is admissible.
  - 2) Wigner transform acts on two-scale observables.

# Strategy of the proof : Two scale observables

We add to the phase space  $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$  a new variable  $\eta \in \overline{\mathbb{R}^d}$ .

[FK], [Nier], [Miller], [FK & Gérard], [Laser & Teufel], [Harris, Lukkarinen, Teufel & Theil], [Macia], [Anantharaman & Macia]

With  $c = c(x, \xi, \eta) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{3d})$  satisfying additional properties, which satisfy :

- 1 there exists a compact  $K$  such that for all  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $(x, \xi) \mapsto c(x, \xi, \eta)$  is a smooth function compactly supported in  $K$ ;
- 2 there exists a function  $c_\infty(x, \xi, \omega)$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}^{2d} \times \mathbf{S}^{d-1}$  and  $R_0 > 0$  such that if  $|\eta| > R_0$ , then  $c(x, \xi, \eta) = c_\infty(x, \xi, \eta/|\eta|)$ .

Assume  $\Lambda = \xi_0 + 2\pi\mathbb{Z}^d$ . We associate with such  $c$ , the two-scale observable

$$c_\varepsilon^\#(x, \xi) = c\left(x, \xi, \frac{\xi - \xi_0}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

Remarks : 1) If  $c \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ ,  $c$  is admissible.

2) Wigner transform acts on two-scale observables.

# Strategy of the proof : Two scale observables

We add to the phase space  $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$  a new variable  $\eta \in \overline{\mathbb{R}^d}$ .

[FK], [Nier], [Miller], [FK & Gérard], [Laser & Teufel], [Harris, Lukkarinen, Teufel & Theil], [Macia], [Anantharaman & Macia]

With  $c = c(x, \xi, \eta) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{3d})$  satisfying additional properties, which satisfy :

- 1 there exists a compact  $K$  such that for all  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $(x, \xi) \mapsto c(x, \xi, \eta)$  is a smooth function compactly supported in  $K$ ;
- 2 there exists a function  $c_\infty(x, \xi, \omega)$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}^{2d} \times \mathbf{S}^{d-1}$  and  $R_0 > 0$  such that if  $|\eta| > R_0$ , then  $c(x, \xi, \eta) = c_\infty(x, \xi, \eta/|\eta|)$ .

Assume  $\Lambda = \xi_0 + 2\pi\mathbb{Z}^d$ . We associate with such  $c$ , the two-scale observable

$$c_\varepsilon^\#(x, \xi) = c\left(x, \xi, \frac{\xi - \xi_0}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

- Remarks :**
- 1) If  $c \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ ,  $c$  is admissible.
  - 2) Wigner transform acts on two-scale observables.

# Strategy of the proof : Two scale Wigner measures

## Theorem

There exist,  $\varepsilon_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow +\infty]{} 0$ ,  $\nu \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbf{S}^{d-1}))$ ,  $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}^0(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$  such that

$$I^{\varepsilon_n}(\chi, c_{\varepsilon_n}^\#) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow +\infty]{} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi(t) (a(x, \xi_0, D)\Phi(t), \Phi(t)) dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi(t) \langle a_\infty(\cdot, \xi_0, \cdot), \nu_t \rangle dt.$$

- ①  $\Phi$  solves the effective mass equation

$$i\partial_t \Phi = \text{Hess } \lambda(\xi_0) D \cdot D \Phi + V_{\text{ext}}(x)\Phi, \quad \Phi(0) = \Phi_0,$$

where  $\Phi_0$  is a weak limit in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  of the sequence  $x \mapsto e^{\frac{i}{\varepsilon}\xi_0 \cdot x} u_0^\varepsilon(x)$ .

- ②  $\nu^t$  is invariant by the flow  $\phi_s^t : (x, \omega) \mapsto (x + s\text{Hess } \lambda(\xi_0)\omega, \omega)$ .

## Corollary

If  $\text{Hess } \lambda(\xi_0)$  is non degenerated, then  $\nu_t = 0$  and  $\mu_t(x, \xi) \mathbf{1}_{\xi=\xi_0} = |\Phi(t, x)|^2 dx$ .

# Strategy of the proof : Two scale Wigner measures

## Theorem

There exist,  $\varepsilon_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} 0$ ,  $\nu \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{M}^+(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbf{S}^{d-1}))$ ,  $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}^0(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$  such that

$$I^{\varepsilon_n}(\chi, c_{\varepsilon_n}^\#) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi(t) (a(x, \xi_0, D)\Phi(t), \Phi(t)) dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi(t) \langle a_\infty(\cdot, \xi_0, \cdot), \nu_t \rangle dt.$$

- 1  $\Phi$  solves the effective mass equation

$$i\partial_t \Phi = \text{Hess } \lambda(\xi_0) D \cdot D \Phi + V_{\text{ext}}(x)\Phi, \quad \Phi(0) = \Phi_0,$$

where  $\Phi_0$  is a weak limit in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  of the sequence  $x \mapsto e^{i\varepsilon \xi_0 \cdot x} u_0^\varepsilon(x)$ .

- 2  $\nu^t$  is invariant by the flow  $\phi_s^2 : (x, \omega) \mapsto (x + s \text{Hess } \lambda(\xi_0)\omega, \omega)$ .

## Corollary

If  $\text{Hess } \lambda(\xi_0)$  is non degenerated, then  $\nu_t = 0$  and  $\mu_t(x, \xi) \mathbf{1}_{\xi=\xi_0} = |\Phi(t, x)|^2 dx$ .

# Back to effective mass theory : the assumptions

Let  $I \subset \mathbb{N}$ , a set of indices  $n$  such that the multiplicity of the Bloch energy  $\lambda_n(\xi)$  is constant for every  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$

- Assume that H2 holds for any  $\lambda_n$ ,  $n \in I$  and denote by  $\Lambda_n$  the set of critical points of  $\lambda_n$ .
- Assume  $\psi_0^\varepsilon = \sum_{n \in I} \psi_{n,0}^\varepsilon$ ,  $\psi_{n,0}^\varepsilon = U_n^\varepsilon \left( 0, x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right)$ , where  $\widehat{U}_n^\varepsilon(0, \xi)$  is in the eigenspace of  $\lambda_n(\xi)$ .
- Assume that  $\psi_0^\varepsilon$  is strongly  $\varepsilon$ -oscillating :

$$\forall s \geq 0, \exists C_s > 0 : \quad \| \langle \varepsilon D_x \rangle^s \psi_0^\varepsilon \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C_s, \quad \text{uniformly in } \varepsilon.$$

# Back to effective mass theory : the assumptions

Let  $I \subset \mathbb{N}$ , a set of indices  $n$  such that the multiplicity of the Bloch energy  $\lambda_n(\xi)$  is constant for every  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$

- Assume that H2 holds for any  $\lambda_n$ ,  $n \in I$  and denote by  $\Lambda_n$  the set of critical points of  $\lambda_n$ .
- Assume  $\psi_0^\varepsilon = \sum_{n \in I} \psi_{n,0}^\varepsilon$ ,  $\psi_{n,0}^\varepsilon = U_n^\varepsilon \left(0, x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)$ , where  $\widehat{U}_n^\varepsilon(0, \xi)$  is in the eigenspace of  $\lambda_n(\xi)$ .
- Assume that  $\psi_0^\varepsilon$  is **strongly  $\varepsilon$ -oscillating** :

$$\forall s \geq 0, \exists C_s > 0 : \quad \|\langle \varepsilon D_x \rangle^s \psi_0^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C_s, \quad \text{uniformly in } \varepsilon.$$



# Back to effective mass theory : the key points

## Lemma (Control on the rests)

If  $\psi_0^\varepsilon$  is *strongly  $\varepsilon$ -oscillating*, then as  $N$  goes to  $+\infty$ ,

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sum_{n \in I, |n| > N} \|U_n^\varepsilon(0)\|_{H_\varepsilon^s(\mathbb{R}^d, H^s(\mathbb{T}^d))} \rightarrow 0.$$

## Lemma (Control of the evolution of $U^\varepsilon$ )

$$\|U^\varepsilon(t, \cdot)\|_{H_\varepsilon^s(\mathbb{R}^d; H^s(\mathbb{T}^d))} \leq \|U^\varepsilon(0)\|_{H_\varepsilon^s(\mathbb{R}^d; H^s(\mathbb{T}^d))} + C_s \varepsilon |t|,$$

## Lemma (Restriction to the diagonal)

For  $V \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^d; H^s(\mathbb{T}_y^d))$ , set  $L^\varepsilon V(x) := V(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon})$ . Then  $L^\varepsilon$  maps  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^d; H^s(\mathbb{T}_y^d))$  into  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and is uniformly bounded in  $\varepsilon$ .

# Back to effective mass theory : the key points

## Lemma (Control on the rests)

If  $\psi_0^\varepsilon$  is *strongly  $\varepsilon$ -oscillating*, then as  $N$  goes to  $+\infty$ ,

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sum_{n \in I, |n| > N} \|U_n^\varepsilon(0)\|_{H_\varepsilon^s(\mathbb{R}^d, H^s(\mathbb{T}^d))} \rightarrow 0.$$

## Lemma (Control of the evolution of $U^\varepsilon$ )

$$\|U^\varepsilon(t, \cdot)\|_{H_\varepsilon^s(\mathbb{R}^d; H^s(\mathbb{T}^d))} \leq \|U^\varepsilon(0)\|_{H_\varepsilon^s(\mathbb{R}^d; H^s(\mathbb{T}^d))} + C_s \varepsilon |t|,$$

## Lemma (Restriction to the diagonal)

For  $V \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^d; H^s(\mathbb{T}_y^d))$ , set  $L^\varepsilon V(x) := V(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon})$ . Then  $L^\varepsilon$  maps  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^d; H^s(\mathbb{T}_y^d))$  into  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and is uniformly bounded in  $\varepsilon$ .

# Back to effective mass theory : the key points

## Lemma (Control on the rests)

If  $\psi_0^\varepsilon$  is *strongly  $\varepsilon$ -oscillating*, then as  $N$  goes to  $+\infty$ ,

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sum_{n \in I, |n| > N} \|U_n^\varepsilon(0)\|_{H_\varepsilon^s(\mathbb{R}^d, H^s(\mathbb{T}^d))} \rightarrow 0.$$

## Lemma (Control of the evolution of $U^\varepsilon$ )

$$\|U^\varepsilon(t, \cdot)\|_{H_\varepsilon^s(\mathbb{R}^d; H^s(\mathbb{T}^d))} \leq \|U^\varepsilon(0)\|_{H_\varepsilon^s(\mathbb{R}^d; H^s(\mathbb{T}^d))} + C_s \varepsilon |t|,$$

## Lemma (Restriction to the diagonal)

For  $V \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^d; H^s(\mathbb{T}_y^d))$ , set  $L^\varepsilon V(x) := V(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon})$ . Then  $L^\varepsilon$  maps  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^d; H^s(\mathbb{T}_y^d))$  into  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and is uniformly bounded in  $\varepsilon$ .

# Back to effective mass theory : combining the key points

## Lemma

Set  $\psi_n^\varepsilon(t) = L^\varepsilon \Pi_{\lambda_n} U^\varepsilon(t)$ , then

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\| \psi^\varepsilon(t) - \sum_{n=0}^N \psi_n^\varepsilon(t) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \rightarrow 0.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \psi^\varepsilon(t) - \sum_{n=0}^N \psi_n^\varepsilon(t) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} &\leq \left\| L^\varepsilon U^\varepsilon(t) - \sum_{n=0}^N L^\varepsilon U_n^\varepsilon(t) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ &\leq \left\| U^\varepsilon(t) - \sum_{n=0}^N U_n^\varepsilon(t) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, H^s(\mathbb{T}^d))} \\ &\leq \left\| U^\varepsilon(0) - \sum_{n=0}^N U_n^\varepsilon(0) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, H^s(\mathbb{T}^d))} + C\varepsilon|t| \end{aligned}$$

# Back to effective mass theory : application of the Theorem

- $\psi^\varepsilon(t, x) \sim \sum_{n \in I, n \leq N} \psi_n^\varepsilon(t, x)$  with  $\psi_n^\varepsilon(t) = L^\varepsilon \Pi_{\lambda_n} U^\varepsilon(t)$  and
  - $(\psi_n^\varepsilon(t))$  is  $\varepsilon$ -oscillating for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .
  - $\psi_n^\varepsilon$  solves

$$i\varepsilon^2 \partial_t \psi_n^\varepsilon(t, x) = \lambda_n(\varepsilon D_x) \psi_n^\varepsilon(t, x) + \varepsilon^2 V(x) \psi_n^\varepsilon(t, x) + \varepsilon^2 f_n^\varepsilon(t, x),$$

with  $\exists C > 0, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \|f_n^\varepsilon(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C\varepsilon$ .

- There exist a subsequence  $\varepsilon_k$  such that, for every  $a < b, \phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(x) |\psi^\varepsilon(t, x)|^2 dx dt = \sum_{n \in I, n \leq N} \int_a^b \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \phi(x) \mu_t^n(dx, d\xi) dt,$$

where, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the measures  $\mu_t^n \in \mathcal{M}_+(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  are Wigner measures of  $(\psi_n^\varepsilon)$ .

# Generalized effective mass Theorem

## Theorem

Under the assumptions described above,  $\exists(\varepsilon_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $\nu_n \in \mathcal{M}_+(T^*\Lambda_n)$  and a meas. fami. of self-adjoint, positive, trace-class operators

$$M_{0,n} : T_\xi^*\Lambda_n \ni (z, \xi) \longmapsto M_{0,n}(z, \xi) \in \mathcal{L}_+^1(L^2(N_\xi\Lambda_n)), \quad \text{Tr}_{L^2(N_\xi\Lambda_n)} M_{0,n}(z, \xi) = 1,$$

such that for every for every  $a < b$  and every  $\phi \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$  one has:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(x) |\psi^{\varepsilon_k}(t, x)|^2 dx dt \\ = \sum_{n \in I} \int_a^b \int_{T^*\Lambda_n} \text{Tr}_{L^2(N_\xi\Lambda_n)} [m_\phi(z, \xi) M_n(t, z, \xi)] \nu_n(dz, d\xi) dt, \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{with } \begin{cases} i\partial_t M_n(t, z, \xi) + \left[ \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{N_\xi\Lambda_n} + m_V(z, \xi), M_n(t, z, \xi) \right] = 0, \\ M_n|_{t=0} = M_{0,n}. \end{cases}$$

# Conclusion

- **Second microlocalisation** along  $\Lambda$  has led to a complete description of the mechanism for any ( $\varepsilon$ -oscillating) initial data.
- The analysis is not restricted to too “special” initial data (strong  $\varepsilon$ -oscillation).
- We have introduced a **generalized effective mass equation** with an operator-valued macroscopic item satisfying a **Heisenberg equation** (instead of a function satisfying a Schrödinger equation).
- **Question** : what to do for a Bloch band containing two eigenvalues which cross?
- **Question** : how to ameliorate the reconstruction?

Thank you for your attention !

# Conclusion

- **Second microlocalisation** along  $\Lambda$  has led to a complete description of the mechanism for any ( $\varepsilon$ -oscillating) initial data.
- The analysis is not restricted to too “special” initial data (strong  $\varepsilon$ -oscillation).
- We have introduced a **generalized effective mass equation** with an operator-valued macroscopic item satisfying a **Heisenberg equation** (instead of a function satisfying a Schrödinger equation).
- **Question** : what to do for a Bloch band containing two eigenvalues which cross?
- **Question** : how to ameliorate the reconstruction?

Thank you for your attention !



# Conclusion

- **Second microlocalisation** along  $\Lambda$  has led to a complete description of the mechanism for any ( $\varepsilon$ -oscillating) initial data.
- The analysis is not restricted to too “special” initial data (strong  $\varepsilon$ -oscillation).
- We have introduced a **generalized effective mass equation** with an operator-valued macroscopic item satisfying a **Heisenberg equation** (instead of a function satisfying a Schrödinger equation).
- **Question** : what to do for a Bloch band containing two eigenvalues which cross?
- **Question** : how to ameliorate the reconstruction?

Thank you for your attention !

# Conclusion

- **Second microlocalisation** along  $\Lambda$  has led to a complete description of the mechanism for any ( $\varepsilon$ -oscillating) initial data.
- The analysis is not restricted to too “special” initial data (strong  $\varepsilon$ -oscillation).
- We have introduced a **generalized effective mass equation** with an operator-valued macroscopic item satisfying a **Heisenberg equation** (instead of a function satisfying a Schrödinger equation).
- **Question** : what to do for a Bloch band containing two eigenvalues which cross?
- **Question** : how to ameliorate the reconstruction?

Thank you for your attention !