

q -de Rham cohomology

Lance Gurney

University of Melbourne

Number Theory Down Under, ANU
7th September 2023

The plan

- ▶ de Rham cohomology
- ▶ q -de Rham cohomology
- ▶ Prismatic cohomology
- ▶ Sketch of proof

de Rham cohomology

de Rham cohomology

Differential k -forms on $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Z}}^n$:

$$\Omega_{\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}^k = \bigoplus_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} \mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n] dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_k}$$

de Rham cohomology

Differential k -forms on $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Z}}^n$:

$$\Omega_{\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}^k = \bigoplus_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} \mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n] dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_k}$$

Exterior derivative $\nabla : \Omega_{\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}^k \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}^{k+1}$

$$\nabla(f dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_k}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} dx_i \wedge dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_k}$$

de Rham cohomology

Differential k -forms on $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Z}}^n$:

$$\Omega_{\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}^k = \bigoplus_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} \mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n] dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_k}$$

Exterior derivative $\nabla : \Omega_{\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}^k \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}^{k+1}$

$$\nabla(f dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_k}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} dx_i \wedge dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_k}$$

de Rham complex $(\Omega_{\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}^\bullet, \nabla)$ of $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Z}}^n$

$$\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Omega_{\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}^1 \xrightarrow{\nabla} \dots \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Omega_{\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}^n$$

de Rham cohomology

Differential k -forms on $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Z}}^n$:

$$\Omega_{\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}^k = \bigoplus_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} \mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n] dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_k}$$

Exterior derivative $\nabla : \Omega_{\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}^k \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}^{k+1}$

$$\nabla(f dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_k}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} dx_i \wedge dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_k}$$

de Rham complex $(\Omega_{\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}^\bullet, \nabla)$ of $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Z}}^n$

$$\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Omega_{\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}^1 \xrightarrow{\nabla} \dots \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Omega_{\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}^n$$

de Rham cohomology of $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Z}}^n$

$$H_{\text{dR}}^i(\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]) = H^i(\Omega_{\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}^\bullet)$$

de Rham cohomology

Example ($\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1$ and $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^2$)

de Rham cohomology

Example ($\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Z}}^1$ and $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Z}}^2$)

$$H_{\mathrm{dR}}^0(\mathbf{Z}[x]) = \mathbf{Z}$$

$$H_{\mathrm{dR}}^1(\mathbf{Z}[x]) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbf{Z}}{(i+1)\mathbf{Z}} x^i dx$$

de Rham cohomology

Example ($\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Z}}^1$ and $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Z}}^2$)

$$H_{\text{dR}}^0(\mathbf{Z}[x]) = \mathbf{Z}$$

$$H_{\text{dR}}^1(\mathbf{Z}[x]) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbf{Z}}{(i+1)\mathbf{Z}} x^i dx$$

$$H_{\text{dR}}^0(\mathbf{Z}[x, y]) = \mathbf{Z}$$

$$H_{\text{dR}}^1(\mathbf{Z}[x, y]) = \bigoplus_{i,j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbf{Z}}{d_{ij}\mathbf{Z}} \left(\frac{i+1}{d_{ij}} x^i y^{j+1} dx + \frac{j+1}{d_{ij}} x^{i+1} y^j dy \right)$$

$$H_{\text{dR}}^2(\mathbf{Z}[x, y]) = \bigoplus_{i,j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbf{Z}}{l_{ij}\mathbf{Z}} x^i y^j dx \wedge dy$$

Cech–Alexander complex

Cech–Alexander complex

$$f \in A, A\langle f \rangle = A[f^1/1!, f^2/2!, f^3/3!, \dots] \subset A \otimes \mathbf{Q}$$

Cech–Alexander complex

$$f \in A, A\langle f \rangle = A[f^1/1!, f^2/2!, f^3/3!, \dots] \subset A \otimes \mathbf{Q}$$

Cech–Alexander complex $C_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n])^\bullet$:

$$\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^{\otimes 2} \langle\langle I_2 \rangle\rangle \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^{\otimes 3} \langle\langle I_3 \rangle\rangle \longrightarrow \dots$$

Cech–Alexander complex

$$f \in A, A\langle f \rangle = A[f^1/1!, f^2/2!, f^3/3!, \dots] \subset A \otimes \mathbf{Q}$$

Cech–Alexander complex $C_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n])^\bullet$:

$$\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^{\otimes 2} \langle\langle I_2 \rangle\rangle \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^{\otimes 3} \langle\langle I_3 \rangle\rangle \longrightarrow \dots$$

where

$$I_k := \ker(\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^{\otimes(k+1)} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n])$$

Cech–Alexander complex

$$f \in A, A\langle f \rangle = A[f^1/1!, f^2/2!, f^3/3!, \dots] \subset A \otimes \mathbf{Q}$$

Cech–Alexander complex $C_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n])^\bullet$:

$$\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^{\otimes 2} \langle \langle I_2 \rangle \rangle \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^{\otimes 3} \langle \langle I_3 \rangle \rangle \longrightarrow \dots$$

where

$$I_k := \ker(\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^{\otimes(k+1)} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n])$$

Theorem (Grothendieck)

Cech–Alexander complex

$$f \in A, A\langle f \rangle = A[f^1/1!, f^2/2!, f^3/3!, \dots] \subset A \otimes \mathbf{Q}$$

Cech–Alexander complex $C_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n])^\bullet$:

$$\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^{\otimes 2} \langle \langle I_2 \rangle \rangle \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^{\otimes 3} \langle \langle I_3 \rangle \rangle \longrightarrow \dots$$

where

$$I_k := \ker(\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^{\otimes(k+1)} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n])$$

Theorem (Grothendieck)

There is a natural quasi-isomorphism

$$\Omega_{\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}^\bullet \xrightarrow{\sim} C_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n])^\bullet$$

Cech–Alexander complex

Example

Cech–Alexander complex

Example

$$\mathbf{Z}[x] \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{Z}[x, y] \langle\langle x - y \rangle\rangle \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{Z}[x, y, z] \langle\langle x - y, y - z \rangle\rangle \xrightarrow{d} \dots$$

Cech–Alexander complex

Example

$$\mathbf{Z}[x] \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{Z}[x, y] \langle\langle x - y \rangle\rangle \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{Z}[x, y, z] \langle\langle x - y, y - z \rangle\rangle \xrightarrow{d} \dots$$

$$\mathbf{Z}[x, y] \langle\langle x - y \rangle\rangle = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f_i(y) \frac{(x - y)^i}{i!} \right\}$$

Cech–Alexander complex

Example

$$\mathbf{Z}[x] \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{Z}[x, y] \langle\langle x - y \rangle\rangle \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{Z}[x, y, z] \langle\langle x - y, y - z \rangle\rangle \xrightarrow{d} \dots$$

$$\mathbf{Z}[x, y] \langle\langle x - y \rangle\rangle = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f_i(y) \frac{(x - y)^i}{i!} \right\}$$

$$d(f(x)) = f(x) - f(y) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f^{(i)}(y) \frac{(x - y)^i}{i!}$$

Cech–Alexander complex

Example

$$\mathbf{Z}[x] \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{Z}[x, y] \langle\langle x - y \rangle\rangle \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{Z}[x, y, z] \langle\langle x - y, y - z \rangle\rangle \xrightarrow{d} \dots$$

$$\mathbf{Z}[x, y] \langle\langle x - y \rangle\rangle = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f_i(y) \frac{(x - y)^i}{i!} \right\}$$

$$d(f(x)) = f(x) - f(y) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f^{(i)}(y) \frac{(x - y)^i}{i!}$$

Grothendieck's Theorem is just **integration**

$$\mathbf{Z}[x] dx \ni f(x) dx \longmapsto \int_y^x f(z) dz \in \mathbf{Z}[x, y] \langle\langle x - y \rangle\rangle$$

q -analogue $\xrightarrow{q=1}$ classical notion

q -analogue $\xrightarrow{q=1}$ classical notion

q -analogues of integers, factorials, binomials

q -analogue $\xrightarrow{q=1}$ classical notion

q -analogues of **integers**, **factorials**, **binomials**

$$[n]_q := \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1} = 1 + q + \cdots + q^{n-1}$$

q -analogue $\xrightarrow{q=1}$ classical notion

q -analogues of **integers**, **factorials**, **binomials**

$$[n]_q := \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1} = 1 + q + \cdots + q^{n-1} \quad , \quad [n]_q! := [1]_q [2]_q \cdots [n]_q$$

q -analogue $\xrightarrow{q=1}$ classical notion

q -analogues of **integers**, **factorials**, **binomials**

$$[n]_q := \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1} = 1 + q + \cdots + q^{n-1} \quad , \quad [n]_q! := [1]_q [2]_q \cdots [n]_q$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q := \frac{[n]_q!}{[n-i]_q! [i]_q!}$$

q -analogue $\xrightarrow{q=1}$ classical notion

q -analogues of **integers**, **factorials**, **binomials**

$$[n]_q := \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1} = 1 + q + \cdots + q^{n-1} \quad , \quad [n]_q! := [1]_q [2]_q \cdots [n]_q$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q := \frac{[n]_q!}{[n-i]_q! [i]_q!} = \text{number of } i\text{-dimensional subspaces of } \mathbf{F}_q^n$$

q -analogue $\xrightarrow{q=1}$ classical notion

q -analogues of **integers**, **factorials**, **binomials**

$$[n]_q := \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1} = 1 + q + \cdots + q^{n-1} \quad , \quad [n]_q! := [1]_q [2]_q \cdots [n]_q$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q := \frac{[n]_q!}{[n-i]_q! [i]_q!} = \text{number of } i\text{-dimensional subspaces of } \mathbf{F}_q^n$$

q -analogue of $(x - y)^n$ is the **q -Pochhammer symbol**

$$(x, y; q)_n := \prod_{i=1}^n (x - q^i y)$$

q -analogue $\xrightarrow{q=1}$ classical notion

q -analogues of **integers**, **factorials**, **binomials**

$$[n]_q := \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1} = 1 + q + \cdots + q^{n-1} \quad , \quad [n]_q! := [1]_q [2]_q \cdots [n]_q$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q := \frac{[n]_q!}{[n-i]_q! [i]_q!} = \text{number of } i\text{-dimensional subspaces of } \mathbf{F}_q^n$$

q -analogue of $(x - y)^n$ is the **q -Pochhammer symbol**

$$(x, y; q)_n := \prod_{i=1}^n (x - q^i y)$$

q -derivative of $f(x) \in \mathbf{Z}[x]$

$$\nabla_{x,q}(f) = \frac{f(qx) - f(x)}{qx - x} \quad , \quad \nabla_{x,q}(x^n) = [n]_q x^{n-1}$$

q -de Rham complex after Aomoto & Jackson

q -de Rham complex after Aomoto & Jackson

q -de Rham complex $q\Omega_{\mathbf{z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}^\bullet = (\Omega_{\mathbf{z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}^\bullet[[q-1]], \nabla_q)$

q -de Rham complex after Aomoto & Jackson

q -de Rham complex $q\Omega_{\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}^\bullet = (\Omega_{\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}^\bullet[[q-1]], \nabla_q)$

$$\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n][[q-1]] \xrightarrow{\nabla_q} \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n][[q-1]] dx_i \xrightarrow{\nabla_q} \dots$$

q -de Rham complex after Aomoto & Jackson

q -de Rham complex $q\Omega_{\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}^\bullet = (\Omega_{\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}^\bullet[[q-1]], \nabla_q)$

$$\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n][[q-1]] \xrightarrow{\nabla_q} \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n][[q-1]] dx_i \xrightarrow{\nabla_q} \dots$$

$$\nabla_q(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla_{x_i, q}(f) dx_i \quad \text{and similarly in higher degrees}$$

q -de Rham complex after Aomoto & Jackson

q -de Rham complex $q\Omega_{\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}^\bullet = (\Omega_{\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}^\bullet[[q-1]], \nabla_q)$

$$\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n][[q-1]] \xrightarrow{\nabla_q} \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n][[q-1]] dx_i \xrightarrow{\nabla_q} \dots$$

$$\nabla_q(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla_{x_i, q}(f) dx_i \quad \text{and similarly in higher degrees}$$

$q\Omega_{\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}^\bullet$ is a q -analogue of de Rham complex

$$q\Omega_{\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}^\bullet / (q-1) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_{\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}^\bullet$$

q -de Rham complex after Aomoto & Jackson

q -de Rham complex $q\Omega_{\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}^\bullet = (\Omega_{\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}^\bullet[[q-1]], \nabla_q)$

$$\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n] [[q-1]] \xrightarrow{\nabla_q} \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n] [[q-1]] dx_i \xrightarrow{\nabla_q} \dots$$

$$\nabla_q(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla_{x_i, q}(f) dx_i \quad \text{and similarly in higher degrees}$$

$q\Omega_{\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}^\bullet$ is a q -analogue of de Rham complex

$$q\Omega_{\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}^\bullet / (q-1) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_{\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}^\bullet$$

q -de Rham complex is **not invariant** under change of coordinates

q -de Rham complex after Aomoto & Jackson

q -de Rham complex $q\Omega_{\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}^\bullet = (\Omega_{\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}^\bullet[[q-1]], \nabla_q)$

$$\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n] [[q-1]] \xrightarrow{\nabla_q} \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n] [[q-1]] dx_i \xrightarrow{\nabla_q} \dots$$

$$\nabla_q(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla_{x_i, q}(f) dx_i \quad \text{and similarly in higher degrees}$$

$q\Omega_{\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}^\bullet$ is a q -analogue of de Rham complex

$$q\Omega_{\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}^\bullet / (q-1) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_{\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}^\bullet$$

q -de Rham complex is **not invariant** under change of coordinates e.g. $x \mapsto x + 1$ does not induce

$$q\Omega_{\mathbf{Z}[x]}^\bullet \xrightarrow{\sim} q\Omega_{\mathbf{Z}[x]}^\bullet$$

q -de Rham cohomology

q -de Rham cohomology

q -de Rham cohomology

$$H_{q\text{-dR}}^i(\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]) := H^i(q\Omega_{\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}^\bullet)$$

q -de Rham cohomology

q -de Rham cohomology

$$H_{q\text{-dR}}^i(\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]) := H^i(q\Omega_{\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}^\bullet)$$

Example

q -de Rham cohomology

q -de Rham cohomology

$$H_{q\text{-dR}}^i(\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]) := H^i(q\Omega_{\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}^\bullet)$$

Example

$$H_{q\text{-dR}}^0(\mathbf{Z}[X]) = \mathbf{Z}[[q-1]]$$

$$H_{q\text{-dR}}^1(\mathbf{Z}[X]) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbf{Z}[[q-1]]}{[i+1]_q} x^i dx$$

q -de Rham cohomology

q -de Rham cohomology

Conjecture (Scholze)

q -de Rham cohomology

Conjecture (Scholze)

q -de Rham *cohomology* should be invariant under coordinate changes.

q -de Rham cohomology

Conjecture (Scholze)

q -de Rham *cohomology* should be invariant under coordinate changes. There should be a complex $C_q(\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n])^\bullet$ which

q -de Rham cohomology

Conjecture (Scholze)

q -de Rham *cohomology* should be invariant under coordinate changes. There should be a complex $C_q(\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n])^\bullet$ which

- ▶ is quasi-isomorphic to $q\Omega_{\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}^\bullet$

q -de Rham cohomology

Conjecture (Scholze)

q -de Rham *cohomology* should be invariant under coordinate changes. There should be a complex $C_q(\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n])^\bullet$ which

- ▶ is quasi-isomorphic to $q\Omega_{\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}^\bullet$, and
- ▶ invariant under coordinate changes

q -de Rham cohomology

Conjecture (Scholze)

q -de Rham *cohomology* should be invariant under coordinate changes. There should be a complex $C_q(\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n])^\bullet$ which

- ▶ is quasi-isomorphic to $q\Omega_{\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}^\bullet$, and
- ▶ invariant under coordinate changes

True after extension $\mathbf{Z}[[q-1]] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p[[q-1]]$ using p -adic prismatic cohomology (Bhatt–Scholze)

q -de Rham cohomology

Conjecture (Scholze)

q -de Rham *cohomology* should be invariant under coordinate changes. There should be a complex $C_q(\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n])^\bullet$ which

- ▶ is quasi-isomorphic to $q\Omega_{\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}^\bullet$, and
- ▶ invariant under coordinate changes

True after extension $\mathbf{Z}[[q-1]] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p[[q-1]]$ using p -adic prismatic cohomology (Bhatt–Scholze)

Proposition (G.)

q -de Rham cohomology

Conjecture (Scholze)

q -de Rham *cohomology* should be invariant under coordinate changes. There should be a complex $C_q(\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n])^\bullet$ which

- ▶ is quasi-isomorphic to $q\Omega_{\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}^\bullet$, and
- ▶ invariant under coordinate changes

True after extension $\mathbf{Z}[[q-1]] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p[[q-1]]$ using p -adic prismatic cohomology (Bhatt–Scholze)

Proposition (G.)

The conjecture is true with following modifications

q -de Rham cohomology

Conjecture (Scholze)

q -de Rham *cohomology* should be invariant under coordinate changes. There should be a complex $C_q(\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n])^\bullet$ which

- ▶ is quasi-isomorphic to $q\Omega_{\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}^\bullet$, and
- ▶ invariant under coordinate changes

True after extension $\mathbf{Z}[[q-1]] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p[[q-1]]$ using p -adic prismatic cohomology (Bhatt–Scholze)

Proposition (G.)

The conjecture is true with following modifications

- ▶ $\mathbf{Z}[[q-1]] \rightarrow S_q$

q -de Rham cohomology

Conjecture (Scholze)

q -de Rham *cohomology* should be invariant under coordinate changes. There should be a complex $C_q(\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n])^\bullet$ which

- ▶ is quasi-isomorphic to $q\Omega_{\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}^\bullet$, and
- ▶ invariant under coordinate changes

True after extension $\mathbf{Z}[[q-1]] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p[[q-1]]$ using p -adic prismatic cohomology (Bhatt–Scholze)

Proposition (G.)

The conjecture is true with following modifications

- ▶ $\mathbf{Z}[[q-1]] \rightarrow S_q$
- ▶ replace ∇_q with $\xi\nabla_q$ for a certain $\xi \in S_q$

δ -rings

δ -rings

A δ -ring A is a (torsion free) ring A equipped with commuting endomorphisms $\varphi_n : A \rightarrow A$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$,

δ -rings

A δ -ring A is a (torsion free) ring A equipped with commuting endomorphisms $\varphi_n : A \rightarrow A$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$, which are **Frobenius lifts** for p prime

$$\varphi_p(x) = x^p \bmod pA$$

δ -rings

A δ -ring A is a (torsion free) ring A equipped with commuting endomorphisms $\varphi_n : A \rightarrow A$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$, which are **Frobenius lifts** for p prime

$$\varphi_p(x) = x^p \text{ mod } pA$$

Example

δ -rings

A δ -ring A is a (torsion free) ring A equipped with commuting endomorphisms $\varphi_n : A \rightarrow A$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$, which are **Frobenius lifts** for p prime

$$\varphi_p(x) = x^p \bmod pA$$

Example

- ▶ \mathbf{Z} , $\varphi_p(a) = a$ (Fermat's little theorem)

δ -rings

A δ -ring A is a (torsion free) ring A equipped with commuting endomorphisms $\varphi_n : A \rightarrow A$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$, which are **Frobenius lifts** for p prime

$$\varphi_p(x) = x^p \text{ mod } pA$$

Example

- ▶ \mathbf{Z} , $\varphi_p(a) = a$ (Fermat's little theorem)
- ▶ $\mathbf{Z}[x]$, $\varphi_p(x) = x^p$

δ -rings

A δ -ring A is a (torsion free) ring A equipped with commuting endomorphisms $\varphi_n : A \rightarrow A$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$, which are **Frobenius lifts** for p prime

$$\varphi_p(x) = x^p \bmod pA$$

Example

- ▶ \mathbf{Z} , $\varphi_p(a) = a$ (Fermat's little theorem)
- ▶ $\mathbf{Z}[x]$, $\varphi_p(x) = x^p$
- ▶ $\mathbf{Z}\{x\} \subset \mathbf{Q}[x = x_1, x_2, \dots]$, smallest sub-ring containing $\mathbf{Z}[x_1, x_2, \dots]$ on which $\varphi_p(x_n) = x_{np}$ lifts the Frobenius

δ -rings

A δ -ring A is a (torsion free) ring A equipped with commuting endomorphisms $\varphi_n : A \rightarrow A$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$, which are **Frobenius lifts** for p prime

$$\varphi_p(x) = x^p \text{ mod } pA$$

Example

- ▶ \mathbf{Z} , $\varphi_p(a) = a$ (Fermat's little theorem)
- ▶ $\mathbf{Z}[x]$, $\varphi_p(x) = x^p$
- ▶ $\mathbf{Z}\{x\} \subset \mathbf{Q}[x = x_1, x_2, \dots]$, smallest sub-ring containing $\mathbf{Z}[x_1, x_2, \dots]$ on which $\varphi_p(x_n) = x_{np}$ lifts the Frobenius
- ▶ $W(R) \subset \prod_{\mathbf{N}} R$ largest subring on which $\varphi_p((r_n)_n) = (r_{pn})_n$ is lifts the Frobenius

The ring $\mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}_{\text{dist}}$

The ring $\mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}_{\text{dist}}$

de Rham \mathbf{Z} ,

The ring $\mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}_{\text{dist}}$

de Rham \mathbf{Z} , q -de Rham $\mathbf{Z}[[q - 1]]$,

The ring $\mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}_{\text{dist}}$

de Rham \mathbf{Z} , q -de Rham $\mathbf{Z}[[q - 1]]$, prismatic $\mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}_{\text{dist}}$

The ring $\mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}_{\text{dist}}$

de Rham \mathbf{Z} , q -de Rham $\mathbf{Z}[[q-1]]$, prismatic $\mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}_{\text{dist}}$

$$\text{Hom}(\mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}, R) \xrightarrow{\sim} W(R) : \theta \mapsto (\theta(\varphi_n(\xi)))_n$$

The ring $\mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}_{\text{dist}}$

de Rham \mathbf{Z} , q -de Rham $\mathbf{Z}[[q-1]]$, prismatic $\mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}_{\text{dist}}$

$$\text{Hom}(\mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}, R) \xrightarrow{\sim} W(R) : \theta \mapsto (\theta(\varphi_n(\xi)))_n$$

A Witt vector $\xi = (\xi_n)_n \in W(R)$ is **distinguished** if

The ring $\mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}_{\text{dist}}$

de Rham \mathbf{Z} , q -de Rham $\mathbf{Z}[[q-1]]$, prismatic $\mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}_{\text{dist}}$

$$\text{Hom}(\mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}, R) \xrightarrow{\sim} W(R) : \theta \mapsto (\theta(\varphi_n(\xi)))_n$$

A Witt vector $\xi = (\xi_n)_n \in W(R)$ is **distinguished** if

- ▶ $\xi_1 \in R$ is (topologically) nilpotent,

The ring $\mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}_{\text{dist}}$

de Rham \mathbf{Z} , q -de Rham $\mathbf{Z}[[q-1]]$, prismatic $\mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}_{\text{dist}}$

$$\text{Hom}(\mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}, R) \xrightarrow{\sim} W(R) : \theta \mapsto (\theta(\varphi_n(\xi)))_n$$

A Witt vector $\xi = (\xi_n)_n \in W(R)$ is **distinguished** if

- ▶ $\xi_1 \in R$ is (topologically) nilpotent,
- ▶ $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \xi_{p^r} = p$, and

The ring $\mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}_{\text{dist}}$

de Rham \mathbf{Z} , q -de Rham $\mathbf{Z}[[q-1]]$, prismatic $\mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}_{\text{dist}}$

$$\text{Hom}(\mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}, R) \xrightarrow{\sim} W(R) : \theta \mapsto (\theta(\varphi_n(\xi)))_n$$

A Witt vector $\xi = (\xi_n)_n \in W(R)$ is **distinguished** if

- ▶ $\xi_1 \in R$ is (topologically) nilpotent,
- ▶ $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \xi_{p^r} = p$, and
- ▶ $\xi_n \in R$ is a unit for n composite

The ring $\mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}_{\text{dist}}$

de Rham \mathbf{Z} , q -de Rham $\mathbf{Z}[[q-1]]$, prismatic $\mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}_{\text{dist}}$

$$\text{Hom}(\mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}, R) \xrightarrow{\sim} W(R) : \theta \mapsto (\theta(\varphi_n(\xi)))_n$$

A Witt vector $\xi = (\xi_n)_n \in W(R)$ is **distinguished** if

- ▶ $\xi_1 \in R$ is (topologically) nilpotent,
- ▶ $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \xi_{p^r} = p$, and
- ▶ $\xi_n \in R$ is a unit for n composite

The ring $\mathbf{Z}\{\xi\} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}_{\text{dist}}$ is **characterised by**

$$\text{Hom}(\mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}_{\text{dist}}, R) \xrightarrow{\sim} W(R)_{\text{dist}} \subset W(R)$$

Prismatic cohomology

Prismatic cohomology

The **prismatic Čech–Alexander complex** $C_{\Delta}(\mathbf{Z}[x])^{\bullet}$ of $\mathbf{Z}[x]$

Prismatic cohomology

The **prismatic Čech–Alexander complex** $C_{\Delta}(\mathbf{Z}[X])^{\bullet}$ of $\mathbf{Z}[X]$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}_{\text{dist}\{X\}} &\longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}_{\text{dist}\{X, Y, \frac{X-Y}{\xi}\}} \\ &\longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}_{\text{dist}\{X, Y, Z, \frac{X-Y}{\xi}, \frac{Y-Z}{\xi}\}} \longrightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

Prismatic cohomology

The **prismatic Čech–Alexander complex** $C_{\Delta}(\mathbf{Z}[X])^{\bullet}$ of $\mathbf{Z}[X]$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}_{\text{dist}\{X\}} &\longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}_{\text{dist}\{X, Y, \frac{X-Y}{\xi}\}} \\ &\longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}_{\text{dist}\{X, Y, Z, \frac{X-Y}{\xi}, \frac{Y-Z}{\xi}\}} \longrightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

The **integral prismatic cohomology** is

$$H_{\Delta}^i(\mathbf{Z}[X]) = H^i(C_{\Delta}(\mathbf{Z}[X])^{\bullet})$$

Prismatic cohomology

The **prismatic Čech–Alexander complex** $C_{\Delta}(\mathbf{Z}[X])^{\bullet}$ of $\mathbf{Z}[X]$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}_{\text{dist}\{X\}} &\longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}_{\text{dist}\{X, Y, \frac{X-Y}{\xi}\}} \\ &\longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}_{\text{dist}\{X, Y, Z, \frac{X-Y}{\xi}, \frac{Y-Z}{\xi}\}} \longrightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

The **integral prismatic cohomology** is

$$H_{\Delta}^i(\mathbf{Z}[X]) = H^i(C_{\Delta}(\mathbf{Z}[X])^{\bullet})$$

Remark

Prismatic cohomology

The **prismatic Čech–Alexander complex** $C_{\Delta}(\mathbf{Z}[X])^{\bullet}$ of $\mathbf{Z}[X]$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}_{\text{dist}\{X\}} &\longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}_{\text{dist}\{X, Y, \frac{X-Y}{\xi}\}} \\ &\longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}_{\text{dist}\{X, Y, Z, \frac{X-Y}{\xi}, \frac{Y-Z}{\xi}\}} \longrightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

The **integral prismatic cohomology** is

$$H_{\Delta}^i(\mathbf{Z}[X]) = H^i(C_{\Delta}(\mathbf{Z}[X])^{\bullet})$$

Remark

Integral prismatic cohomology really lives on a stack $\Sigma_{\mathbf{Z}}$ which admits a cover $\text{Spf}(\mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}_{\text{dist}}) \longrightarrow \Sigma_{\mathbf{Z}}$

The ring S_q

The ring S_q

$\mathbf{Z}[q^{1/n} : n \in \mathbf{N}]$ is a δ -ring, $\varphi_p(q^{1/n}) = q^{p/n}$

The ring S_q

$\mathbf{Z}[q^{1/n} : n \in \mathbf{N}]$ is a δ -ring, $\varphi_p(q^{1/n}) = q^{p/n}$

$$S_q := \mathbf{Z}[q^{1/n}]_{\{\xi\} \text{ dist}} \left\{ \frac{\Phi_n(q^{1/n})}{\xi} \right\}$$

where $\Phi_n(x)$ is the n th **cyclotomic polynomial**

The ring S_q

$\mathbf{Z}[q^{1/n} : n \in \mathbf{N}]$ is a δ -ring, $\varphi_p(q^{1/n}) = q^{p/n}$

$$S_q := \mathbf{Z}[q^{1/n}]_{\{\xi\} \text{ dist}} \left\{ \frac{\Phi_n(q^{1/n})}{\xi} \right\}$$

where $\Phi_n(x)$ is the n th **cyclotomic polynomial**

Fact $\varphi_n \left(\frac{\Phi_n(q^{1/n})}{\xi} \right) = \frac{\Phi_n(q)}{\varphi_n(\xi)}$ is **invertible** in S_q

The ring S_q

$\mathbf{Z}[q^{1/n} : n \in \mathbf{N}]$ is a δ -ring, $\varphi_p(q^{1/n}) = q^{p/n}$

$$S_q := \mathbf{Z}[q^{1/n}]_{\{\xi\}}^{\text{dist}} \left\{ \frac{\Phi_n(q^{1/n})}{\xi} \right\}$$

where $\Phi_n(x)$ is the n th **cyclotomic polynomial**

Fact $\varphi_n \left(\frac{\Phi_n(q^{1/n})}{\xi} \right) = \frac{\Phi_n(q)}{\varphi_n(\xi)}$ is **invertible** in S_q

Proposition (G.)

The ring S_q

$\mathbf{Z}[q^{1/n} : n \in \mathbf{N}]$ is a δ -ring, $\varphi_p(q^{1/n}) = q^{p/n}$

$$S_q := \mathbf{Z}[q^{1/n}]_{\{\xi\} \text{ dist}} \left\{ \frac{\Phi_n(q^{1/n})}{\xi} \right\}$$

where $\Phi_n(x)$ is the n th **cyclotomic polynomial**

Fact $\varphi_n \left(\frac{\Phi_n(q^{1/n})}{\xi} \right) = \frac{\Phi_n(q)}{\varphi_n(\xi)}$ is **invertible** in S_q

Proposition (G.)

There is a natural quasi-isomorphism

$$C_{\Delta}(\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n])^{\bullet} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}\{\xi\} \text{ dist}} S_q \xrightarrow{\sim} (q\Omega_{\mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}^{\bullet} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}[[q-1]]} S_q, \xi \nabla_q)$$

Sketch of the proof for $\mathbf{Z}[x]$

Sketch of the proof for $\mathbf{Z}[x]$

First step is to replace $C_{\Delta}(\mathbf{Z}[x])^{\bullet}$

$$\mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}_{\text{dist}\{X\}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}_{\text{dist}\{X, y, \frac{X-y}{\xi}\}} \longrightarrow \cdots$$

Sketch of the proof for $\mathbf{Z}[x]$

First step is to replace $C_{\Delta}(\mathbf{Z}[x])^{\bullet}$

$$\mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}_{\text{dist}\{X\}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}_{\text{dist}\{X, Y, \frac{X-Y}{\xi}\}} \longrightarrow \cdots$$

with a simpler complex using δ -structure on $\mathbf{Z}[x]$, $\varphi_p(x) = x^p$

Sketch of the proof for $\mathbf{Z}[x]$

First step is to replace $C_{\Delta}(\mathbf{Z}[x])^{\bullet}$

$$\mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}_{\text{dist}\{X\}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}_{\text{dist}\{X, Y, \frac{X-Y}{\xi}\}} \longrightarrow \dots$$

with a simpler complex using δ -structure on $\mathbf{Z}[x]$, $\varphi_p(x) = x^p$

Define $\tilde{C}_{\Delta}(\mathbf{Z}[x])^{\bullet}$

Sketch of the proof for $\mathbf{Z}[x]$

First step is to replace $C_{\Delta}(\mathbf{Z}[x])^{\bullet}$

$$\mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}_{\text{dist}\{x\}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}_{\text{dist}\{x, y, \frac{x-y}{\xi}\}} \longrightarrow \dots$$

with a simpler complex using δ -structure on $\mathbf{Z}[x]$, $\varphi_p(x) = x^p$

Define $\tilde{C}_{\Delta}(\mathbf{Z}[x])^{\bullet}$

$$\mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}_{\text{dist}[x]} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}_{\text{dist}[x, y]\left\{\frac{x-y}{\xi}\right\}} \longrightarrow \dots$$

Sketch of the proof for $\mathbf{Z}[x]$

First step is to replace $C_{\Delta}(\mathbf{Z}[x])^{\bullet}$

$$\mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}_{\text{dist}\{X\}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}_{\text{dist}\{X, Y, \frac{X-Y}{\xi}\}} \longrightarrow \dots$$

with a simpler complex using δ -structure on $\mathbf{Z}[x]$, $\varphi_p(x) = x^p$

Define $\tilde{C}_{\Delta}(\mathbf{Z}[x])^{\bullet}$

$$\mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}_{\text{dist}[X]} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}_{\text{dist}[X, Y]\left\{\frac{X-Y}{\xi}\right\}} \longrightarrow \dots$$

The homomorphism $\mathbf{Z}\{x\} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}[x]$, $\varphi_n(x) \mapsto x^n$

Sketch of the proof for $\mathbf{Z}[x]$

First step is to replace $C_{\Delta}(\mathbf{Z}[x])^{\bullet}$

$$\mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}_{\text{dist}\{X\}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}_{\text{dist}\{X, Y, \frac{X-Y}{\xi}\}} \longrightarrow \dots$$

with a simpler complex using δ -structure on $\mathbf{Z}[x]$, $\varphi_p(x) = x^p$

Define $\tilde{C}_{\Delta}(\mathbf{Z}[x])^{\bullet}$

$$\mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}_{\text{dist}[X]} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}_{\text{dist}[X, Y]\left\{\frac{X-Y}{\xi}\right\}} \longrightarrow \dots$$

The homomorphism $\mathbf{Z}\{x\} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}[x]$, $\varphi_n(x) \mapsto x^n$ induces a quasi-isomorphism

$$C_{\Delta}(\mathbf{Z}[x])^{\bullet} \longrightarrow \tilde{C}_{\Delta}(\mathbf{Z}[x])^{\bullet}$$

Sketch of the proof for $\mathbf{Z}[x]$

Sketch of the proof for $\mathbf{Z}[x]$

Explicitly compute $\tilde{C}_\Delta(\mathbf{Z}[x])^\bullet \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}_{\text{dist}}} \mathcal{S}_q$

$$\mathcal{S}_q[x] \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_q[x, y] \left\{ \frac{x-y}{\xi} \right\} \longrightarrow \dots$$

Sketch of the proof for $\mathbf{Z}[x]$

Explicitly compute $\tilde{C}_\Delta(\mathbf{Z}[x])^\bullet \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}_{\text{dist}}} \mathcal{S}_q$

$$\mathcal{S}_q[x] \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_q[x, y] \left\{ \frac{x-y}{\xi} \right\} \longrightarrow \dots$$

$\xi^n [n]_q!$ divides $(x, y; q)_n = \prod_{i=1}^n (x - yq^i)$

Sketch of the proof for $\mathbf{Z}[x]$

Explicitly compute $\tilde{C}_\Delta(\mathbf{Z}[x])^\bullet \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}_{\text{dist}}} \mathcal{S}_q$

$$\mathcal{S}_q[x] \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_q[x, y] \left\{ \frac{x-y}{\xi} \right\} \longrightarrow \dots$$

$\xi^n [n]_q!$ divides $(x, y; q)_n = \prod_{i=1}^n (x - yq^i)$

$$\mathcal{S}_q[x, y] \left\{ \frac{x-y}{\xi} \right\} = \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n(x) \frac{(x, y; q)_n}{\xi^n [n]_q!} : f_n(x) \in \mathcal{S}_q[x] \right\}$$

Sketch of the proof for $\mathbf{Z}[x]$

Explicitly compute $\tilde{C}_\Delta(\mathbf{Z}[x])^\bullet \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}^{\text{dist}}} \mathcal{S}_q$

$$\mathcal{S}_q[x] \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_q[x, y] \left\{ \frac{x-y}{\xi} \right\} \longrightarrow \dots$$

$\xi^n [n]_q!$ divides $(x, y; q)_n = \prod_{i=1}^n (x - yq^i)$

$$\mathcal{S}_q[x, y] \left\{ \frac{x-y}{\xi} \right\} = \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n(x) \frac{(x, y; q)_n}{\xi^n [n]_q!} : f_n(x) \in \mathcal{S}_q[x] \right\}$$

(similarly for higher terms)

Then prove q -analogue of Grothendieck's Theorem to get quasi-isomorphism

$$\tilde{C}_\Delta(\mathbf{Z}[x]) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\Omega_{\mathbf{Z}[x]}^\bullet \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{S}_q, \xi \nabla_q)$$

Sketch of the proof for $\mathbf{Z}[x]$

Explicitly compute $\tilde{C}_\Delta(\mathbf{Z}[x])^\bullet \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}\{\xi\}^{\text{dist}}} \mathcal{S}_q$

$$\mathcal{S}_q[x] \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_q[x, y] \left\{ \frac{x-y}{\xi} \right\} \longrightarrow \dots$$

$\xi^n [n]_q!$ divides $(x, y; q)_n = \prod_{i=1}^n (x - yq^i)$

$$\mathcal{S}_q[x, y] \left\{ \frac{x-y}{\xi} \right\} = \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n(x) \frac{(x, y; q)_n}{\xi^n [n]_q!} : f_n(x) \in \mathcal{S}_q[x] \right\}$$

(similarly for higher terms)

Then prove q -analogue of Grothendieck's Theorem to get quasi-isomorphism

$$\tilde{C}_\Delta(\mathbf{Z}[x]) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\Omega_{\mathbf{Z}[x]}^\bullet \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{S}_q, \xi \nabla_q)$$

QED

Thank you!